

## **Ergonomic principles related to mental workload - Part 3: Principles and requirements concerning methods for measuring and assessing mental workload**

Ergonomic principles related to mental workload -  
Part 3: Principles and requirements concerning  
methods for measuring and assessing mental  
workload

## EESTI STANDARDI EESSÕNA

## NATIONAL FOREWORD

<p>Käesolev Eesti standard EVS-EN ISO 10075-3:2004 sisaldab Euroopa standardi EN ISO 10075-3:2004 ingliskeelset teksti.</p> <p>Käesolev dokument on jõustatud 26.10.2004 ja selle kohta on avaldatud teade Eesti standardiorganisatsiooni ametlikus väljaandes.</p> <p>Standard on kättesaadav Eesti standardiorganisatsioonist.</p>	<p>This Estonian standard EVS-EN ISO 10075-3:2004 consists of the English text of the European standard EN ISO 10075-3:2004.</p> <p>This document is endorsed on 26.10.2004 with the notification being published in the official publication of the Estonian national standardisation organisation.</p> <p>The standard is available from Estonian standardisation organisation.</p>
--	---

<p><b>Käsitlusala:</b></p> <p>This part of ISO 10075 establishes principles and requirements for the measurement and assessment of mental workload and specifies the requirements for measurement instruments. This part of ISO 10075 provides information for choosing appropriate methods and provides information on aspects of assessing and measuring mental workload to improve communication among the parties involved.</p>	<p><b>Scope:</b></p> <p>This part of ISO 10075 establishes principles and requirements for the measurement and assessment of mental workload and specifies the requirements for measurement instruments. This part of ISO 10075 provides information for choosing appropriate methods and provides information on aspects of assessing and measuring mental workload to improve communication among the parties involved.</p>
---	---

ICS 13.180

Võtmesõnad:

English version

Ergonomic principles related to mental workload

Part 3: Principles and requirements concerning methods  
for measuring and assessing mental workload

(ISO 10075-3 : 2004)

Principes ergonomiques relatifs à la charge de travail mental – Partie 3: Principes et exigences concernant les méthodes de mesurage et d'évaluation de la charge de travail mental (ISO 10075-3 : 2004)

Ergonomische Grundlagen bezüglich psychischer Arbeitsbelastung – Teil 3: Grundsätze und Anforderungen an Verfahren zur Messung und Erfassung psychischer Arbeitsbelastung (ISO 10075-3 : 2004)

This European Standard was approved by CEN on 2004-07-16.

CEN members are bound to comply with the CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations which stipulate the conditions for giving this European Standard the status of a national standard without any alteration.

Up-to-date lists and bibliographical references concerning such national standards may be obtained on application to the Management Centre or to any CEN member.

The European Standards exist in three official versions (English, French, German). A version in any other language made by translation under the responsibility of a CEN member into its own language and notified to the Management Centre has the same status as the official versions.

CEN members are the national standards bodies of Austria, Belgium, Cyprus, the Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, the Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, and the United Kingdom.

**CEN**

European Committee for Standardization  
Comité Européen de Normalisation  
Europäisches Komitee für Normung

Management Centre: rue de Stassart 36, B-1050 Brussels

## Foreword

International Standard

ISO 10075-3 : 2004 Ergonomic principles related to mental workload – Part 3: Principles and requirements concerning methods for measuring and assessing mental workload,

which was prepared by ISO/TC 159 'Ergonomics' of the International Organization for Standardization, has been adopted by Technical Committee CEN/TC 122 'Ergonomics', the Secretariat of which is held by DIN, as a European Standard.

This European Standard shall be given the status of a national standard, either by publication of an identical text or by endorsement, and conflicting national standards withdrawn, by February 2005 at the latest.

In accordance with the CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations, the national standards organizations of the following countries are bound to implement this European Standard:

Austria, Belgium, Cyprus, the Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, the Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, and the United Kingdom.

## Endorsement notice

The text of the International Standard ISO 10075-3 : 2004 was approved by CEN as a European Standard without any modification.

## Contents

Page

Foreword .....	2
Introduction .....	3
1 Scope .....	3
2 Normative references .....	3
3 Terms and definitions .....	4
4 Measurement and assessment of mental workload .....	5
4.1 General principles .....	5
4.2 Procedural requirements .....	7
4.3 Quantitative requirements for measuring instruments .....	10
4.4 Documentation requirements .....	12
Annex A (informative) Additional information concerning generalizability .....	15
Annex B (informative) Checklist for choosing an instrument .....	16
Bibliography .....	17

## Introduction

This part of ISO 10075 specifies technical information relevant in the context of constructing, evaluating and choosing measurement instruments for assessing mental workload as defined and treated in ISO 10075 and ISO 10075-2. Familiarity with the concepts discussed in these two documents is required to understand the provisions of this part of ISO 10075.

Since mental workload is a part of the total workload, users of this part of ISO 10075 should also be familiar with the concepts and provisions presented in ISO 6385.

This part of ISO 10075 aims at providing information for the development of measurement instruments, about which specifications will be required to evaluate a given procedure with regard to its usability as a measuring instrument for assessing mental workload.

This part of ISO 10075 addresses requirements for instruments measuring different aspects of mental workload, but it does not specify which instruments should be used, e.g. psychological scaling or psychophysiological methods. The choice of which instruments to use can be facilitated by the provision of appropriate information.

## 1 Scope

This part of ISO 10075 establishes principles and requirements for the measurement and assessment of mental workload and specifies the requirements for measurement instruments. This part of ISO 10075 provides information for choosing appropriate methods and provides information on aspects of assessing and measuring mental workload to improve communication among the parties involved.

This part of ISO 10075 is intended for use mainly by ergonomic experts, for example, psychologists, occupational health specialists, and/or physiologists, with appropriate training in the theoretical background and usage of such methods, as well as in the interpretation of the results. They will find the information needed when developing or evaluating methods of mental-workload assessment.

Non-experts, e.g. employers, employees and their representatives, system managers and designers, and public authorities can find useful information for their orientation in the field of assessment and measurement of mental workload, e.g. what kinds of methods are available, which criteria are relevant in the evaluation of measurement instruments and what kind of information they should require and observe in deciding which instrument will be suitable for their purpose and which can be used.

**NOTE** A Technical Report on the terminology and use of this part of ISO 10075 will be available for further information for non-experts.

This part of ISO 10075 provides information on which to base a well-considered choice for an appropriate method in different situations. There are a large number of different methods available which are suitable for different purposes, situations and different levels of precision. There is a need for effective and efficient methods of measurement. The information provided in this part of ISO 10075 will allow users to evaluate the type of measurement approach most suitable for their specific purposes.

Conformance with the provisions of this part of ISO 10075 has to be provided by the documentation requirements.

## 2 Normative references

The following referenced documents are indispensable for the application of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO 6385:2004, *Ergonomic principles in the design of work systems*

ISO 10075:1991<sup>1)</sup>, *Ergonomic principles related to mental workload — General terms and definitions*

ISO 10075-2:1996, *Ergonomic principles related to mental workload — Part 2: Design principles*

### 3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the terms and definitions given in ISO 6385:2004, ISO 10075:1991 and ISO 10075-2:1996 and the following apply.

#### 3.1 objectivity

degree to which results gained with an instrument are independent of the person administering the instrument, analysing and interpreting the data

#### 3.2 reliability

degree of precision to which a method or instrument is able to measure what it measures

NOTE Reliability can be assessed as homogeneity, consistency or stability of measurement, or in the case of two or more raters, as inter-rater-reliability. Reliability is closely related to generalizability.

##### 3.2.1 homogeneity

degree to which all parts or items of a measurement procedure measure the same characteristic

##### 3.2.2 consistency

degree to which different parts or parallel forms of a measurement instrument lead to identical results, e.g. by dividing a scale into two or more parts or applying two or more parallel forms of an instrument

##### 3.2.3 stability

degree to which a (usually time delayed) replication of a measurement procedure leads to identical results

##### 3.2.4 inter-rater-reliability

degree to which two or more raters produce the same results in measuring the same characteristics

#### 3.3 validity

degree to which a method or instrument is able to measure what it is intended to measure

NOTE Validity can be assessed via concurrent validation (e.g. by agreement of results with the results of a simultaneously applied procedure known to measure the intended aspect), criterion-related validation (e.g. by establishing a relation with a relevant criterion), or factorial validity (e.g. by demonstrating that a measurement procedure assesses specific facets of a construct).

#### 3.4 sensitivity

degree to which a method or instrument is able to discriminate between different degrees of the object of measurement, e.g. different degrees of mental strain or fatigue

---

1) If revised, this International Standard will become ISO 10075-1.