

Soil quality - Identification of ecotoxicological test species by DNA barcoding (ISO 21286:2019)

This document is a preview generated by EVS

## EESTI STANDARDI EESSÕNA

## NATIONAL FOREWORD

See Eesti standard EVS-EN ISO 21286:2020 sisaldab Euroopa standardi EN ISO 21286:2020 ingliskeelset teksti.	This Estonian standard EVS-EN ISO 21286:2020 consists of the English text of the European standard EN ISO 21286:2020.
Standard on jõustunud sellekohase teate avaldamisega EVS Teatajas.	This standard has been endorsed with a notification published in the official bulletin of the Estonian Centre for Standardisation.
Euroopa standardimisorganisatsioonid on teinud Euroopa standardi rahvuslikele liikmetele kättesaadavaks 29.04.2020.	Date of Availability of the European standard is 29.04.2020.
Standard on kättesaadav Eesti Standardikeskusest.	The standard is available from the Estonian Centre for Standardisation.

Tagasisidet standardi sisu kohta on võimalik edastada, kasutades EVS-i veebilehel asuvat tagasiside vormi või saates e-kirja meiliaadressile [standardiosakond@evs.ee](mailto:standardiosakond@evs.ee).

ICS 13.080.30

Standardite reprodutseerimise ja levitamise õigus kuulub Eesti Standardikeskusele

Andmete paljundamine, taastekitamine, kopeerimine, salvestamine elektroonsesse süsteemi või edastamine ükskõik millises vormis või millisel teel ilma Eesti Standardikeskuse kirjaliku loata on keelatud.

Kui Teil on küsimusi standardite autorikaitse kohta, võtke palun ühendust Eesti Standardikeskusega:  
Koduleht [www.evs.ee](http://www.evs.ee); telefon 605 5050; e-post [info@evs.ee](mailto:info@evs.ee)

The right to reproduce and distribute standards belongs to the Estonian Centre for Standardisation

No part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, without a written permission from the Estonian Centre for Standardisation.

If you have any questions about copyright, please contact Estonian Centre for Standardisation:

Homepage [www.evs.ee](http://www.evs.ee); phone +372 605 5050; e-mail [info@evs.ee](mailto:info@evs.ee)

EUROPEAN STANDARD

**EN ISO 21286**

NORME EUROPÉENNE

EUROPÄISCHE NORM

April 2020

ICS 13.080.30

English Version

## Soil quality - Identification of ecotoxicological test species by DNA barcoding (ISO 21286:2019)

Qualité du sol - Identification des espèces par codes-  
barres ADN dans les essais d'écotoxicologie (ISO  
21286:2019)

Bodenbeschaffenheit - Allgemeine Anleitung zur  
Verwendung des DNA-Barcodes in ökotoxikologischen  
Untersuchungen (ISO 21286:2019)

This European Standard was approved by CEN on 13 April 2020.

CEN members are bound to comply with the CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations which stipulate the conditions for giving this European Standard the status of a national standard without any alteration. Up-to-date lists and bibliographical references concerning such national standards may be obtained on application to the CEN-CENELEC Management Centre or to any CEN member.

This European Standard exists in three official versions (English, French, German). A version in any other language made by translation under the responsibility of a CEN member into its own language and notified to the CEN-CENELEC Management Centre has the same status as the official versions.

CEN members are the national standards bodies of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Republic of North Macedonia, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey and United Kingdom.



EUROPEAN COMMITTEE FOR STANDARDIZATION  
COMITÉ EUROPÉEN DE NORMALISATION  
EUROPÄISCHES KOMITEE FÜR NORMUNG

**CEN-CENELEC Management Centre: Rue de la Science 23, B-1040 Brussels**

## European foreword

The text of ISO 21286:2019 has been prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 190 "Soil quality" of the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) and has been taken over as EN ISO 21286:2020 by Technical Committee CEN/TC 444 "Environmental characterization of solid matrices" the secretariat of which is held by NEN.

This European Standard shall be given the status of a national standard, either by publication of an identical text or by endorsement, at the latest by October 2020, and conflicting national standards shall be withdrawn at the latest by October 2020.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. CEN shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

According to the CEN-CENELEC Internal Regulations, the national standards organizations of the following countries are bound to implement this European Standard: Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Republic of North Macedonia, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey and the United Kingdom.

## Endorsement notice

The text of ISO 21286:2019 has been approved by CEN as EN ISO 21286:2020 without any modification.

# Contents

	Page
Foreword.....	iv
Introduction.....	v
<b>1 Scope.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2 Normative references.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>3 Terms and definitions.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>4 Principle.....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>5 Reagents and material.....</b>	<b>3</b>
5.1 Biological material.....	3
5.2 Enzyme.....	3
5.3 Oligonucleotide PCR primers.....	3
5.4 Reagents.....	3
<b>6 Apparatus.....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>7 General requirements.....</b>	<b>5</b>
7.1 Experimental precaution and contamination avoidance.....	5
7.2 Safety precautions.....	5
7.2.1 Chemical hazards.....	5
7.2.2 Physical hazards.....	6
<b>8 Procedure.....</b>	<b>6</b>
8.1 DNA isolation.....	6
8.2 Quantification.....	7
8.3 PCR.....	7
8.3.1 Target genomic region.....	7
8.3.2 Primer design.....	7
8.3.3 Primer synthesis.....	7
8.3.4 PCR.....	8
8.4 Checking the amplicon size.....	9
8.5 Purification.....	9
8.6 Sequencing.....	9
8.7 Bioinformatics.....	9
8.7.1 General.....	9
8.7.2 Electropherogram or raw sequence quality checking.....	10
8.7.3 Trimming of low-quality regions and primers sequences.....	10
8.7.4 Sequence overlapping.....	10
8.7.5 Sequence verification.....	11
8.7.6 Reviewing the edited sequence.....	11
8.7.7 Species assignment.....	11
8.7.8 Quality of the reference databases.....	12
<b>9 Calculation and expression of results.....</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>10 Validity of the test.....</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>11 Test report.....</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>Annex A (informative) <i>Eisenia</i> Barcoding Initiative: A ring test to evaluate the applicability of DNA barcoding for the identification of <i>Eisenia</i> species.....</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>Bibliography.....</b>	<b>18</b>

## Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see [www.iso.org/directives](http://www.iso.org/directives)).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see [www.iso.org/patents](http://www.iso.org/patents)).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation on the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) see the following URL: [www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html](http://www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html).

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 190, *Soil quality*, Subcommittee SC 4, *Biological characterization*.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at [www.iso.org/members.html](http://www.iso.org/members.html).

## Introduction

Currently, test species identification is usually based on morphological characters. However, this does not always give clear results because

- a) few taxonomic experts are available,
- b) closely related species can differ by a few, easily overlooked characters, and
- c) even more importantly, several test species are in fact complexes of cryptic species.

A good example is the compost worm *Eisenia fetida/andrei* (used in ISO 11268-1, ISO 11268-2 and ISO 17512-1), in which morphological traits alone may not be sufficient to discriminate between both species[5][36]. Another well-known case is the predatory mite, *Hypoaspis (Geolaelaps) aculeifer*[50], which might get confused with *H. miles*, widely used in biological pest control[31].

Species misidentifications, the use of a morphospecies which is actually a complex of cryptic species, or even species mixing in lab cultures, can be a serious problem for the reliability of the ecotoxicological tests. Sibling species in a morphospecies complex can exhibit ecological, behavioural, and physiological differences, and can differ also in their response to toxicants (e.g. References [2], [17], [35], [40]). This also seems to be the case of the springtail *Folsomia candida* (used in ISO 11267 and ISO 17512-2), in which considerable levels of genetic differentiation have been found among natural populations of *F. candida* and among laboratory strains[9][19][41]. Although different laboratory strains have been found to exhibit only minor differences in the sensitivity towards some chemicals[12][9], other studies have detected significant variation in phenmedipham avoidance behaviour and divergent fitness responses to cadmium exposure among genetically differentiated strains[14][30]. Moreover, even if two species have similar responses to toxicants, the presence of two species within the same laboratory culture can result in the production of sterile hybrids, which will bias the outcome of reproduction tests[36].

Implementing species identification via DNA barcoding can help to overcome these obstacles, ensuring that the species or strain used for testing is well characterized. As a result, quality assurance can be improved, making the results obtained by different ecotoxicological laboratories far more reliable and comparable. For *Eisenia fetida/E. andrei* this work, including an international ringtest, has already been performed[36], see [Annex A](#). The conclusions of this ringtest can be summarized as follows.

- DNA barcoding is a reliable and practical method for identifying *Eisenia* species.
- Only 17 out of 28 ecotoxicological laboratories were correct in their taxonomic assignment. Most laboratories with wrong or unknown assignments actually have *E. andrei* in stock.
- The existence of a cryptic species pair within *E. fetida* is a plausible hypothesis.
- It is important that earthworms used for ecotoxicological tests are regularly (re-)identified by DNA barcoding.

Very probably, similar experiences and recommendations can be drawn for other invertebrates species used in terrestrial ecotoxicology, as well as plants. Indeed, DNA barcoding has proven to be useful for specimen identification and species delimitation in many organism groups, including other earthworms[13][37], enchytraeids[16], mites[15], collembolans[32], molluscs[42], nematodes[28] and terrestrial plants[8].

# Soil quality — Identification of ecotoxicological test species by DNA barcoding

## 1 Scope

This document specifies a protocol to identify ecotoxicological test specimens (mainly invertebrates and plants) to the species level, based on the DNA barcoding technique. This protocol can be used by laboratories performing DNA barcoding in order to standardize both the wet-lab and data analysis workflows as much as possible, and make them compliant with community standards and guidelines.

This document does not intend to specify one particular strain for each test method, but to accurately document the species/strain which was used.

NOTE 1 This does not imply that DNA barcoding is performed in parallel to each test run, but rather regularly (e.g. once a year, such as reference substance testing) and each time a new culture is started or new individuals are added to an ongoing culture.

This document does not aim at duplicating or replacing morphological-based species identifications. On the contrary, DNA barcoding is proposed as a complementary identification tool where morphology is inconclusive, or to diagnose cryptic species, in order to ensure that the results obtained from different ecotoxicological laboratories are referring to the same species or strain.

This document is applicable to identifications of immature forms which lack morphological diagnostic characters (eggs, larvae, juveniles), as well as the streamline identification of specimens collected in field monitoring studies, where large numbers of organisms from diverse taxa are classified.

NOTE 2 In principle, all species regularly used in ecotoxicological testing can be analysed by DNA barcoding. Besides the earthworms *Eisenia fetida* and *E. andrei*, further examples for terrestrial species are *Lumbricus terrestris*, *L. rubellus*, *Allolobophora chlorotica*, *Aporrectodea rosea*, and *A. caliginosa*, *Dendrodrilus rubidus*, *Enchytraeus albidus*, and *E. crypticus* (Haplotaxida); *Folsomia candida*, *F. fimetaria*, *Proisotoma minuta*, and *Sinella curviseta* (Collembola); *Hypoaspis aculeifer* and *Oppia nitens* (Acari); *Aleochara bilineata* and *Poecilus cupreus* (Coleoptera); *Scathophaga stercoraria*, *Musca autumnalis* (Diptera) or *Pardosa* sp. (Arachnida). Nematodes or snails and even plants can also be added to this list.

## 2 Normative references

There are no normative references in this document.

## 3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminological databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- ISO Online browsing platform: available at <https://www.iso.org/obp>
- IEC Electropedia: available at <http://www.electropedia.org/>

### 3.1

#### amplicon

specific DNA product generated by PCR (3.5) using one pair of PCR primers (3.6)

### 3.2

#### DNA barcode

unique pattern of DNA sequence that identifies each species