

---

---

**Microbiology of the food chain —  
Method validation —**

**Part 3:  
Protocol for the verification of  
reference methods and validated  
alternative methods in a single  
laboratory**

*Microbiologie de la chaîne alimentaire — Validation des méthodes —*

*Partie 3: Protocole pour la vérification dans un seul laboratoire de  
méthodes de référence et de méthodes alternatives validées*



This document is a preview generated by EKO



**COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT**

© ISO 2021

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office  
CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8  
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva  
Phone: +41 22 749 01 11  
Email: [copyright@iso.org](mailto:copyright@iso.org)  
Website: [www.iso.org](http://www.iso.org)

Published in Switzerland

# Contents

Page

Foreword.....	v
Introduction.....	vi
<b>1 Scope.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2 Normative references.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>3 Terms and definitions.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>4 General principles of verification of qualitative (detection) methods and quantification methods.....</b>	<b>5</b>
4.1 General.....	5
4.2 Implementation verification.....	5
4.3 (Food) item verification.....	6
4.4 Requirements for implementation verification and (food) item verification.....	6
4.5 Performance characteristics.....	9
<b>5 Qualitative methods — Technical protocol for verification.....</b>	<b>9</b>
5.1 Estimated LOD <sub>50</sub> (eLOD <sub>50</sub> ) determination.....	9
5.2 Experimental design.....	9
5.3 Selection of (food) items.....	10
5.4 Artificial contamination.....	10
5.4.1 Selection of strains.....	10
5.4.2 Inoculation of the test portions.....	11
5.5 Evaluation of results.....	13
5.5.1 Determination of eLOD <sub>50</sub> using protocol 1.....	13
5.5.2 Determination of eLOD <sub>50</sub> using protocol 2.....	16
5.5.3 Use of protocol 3.....	17
5.6 Acceptability limits.....	18
5.7 Root cause analysis.....	18
<b>6 Quantitative methods — Technical protocol for verification.....</b>	<b>19</b>
6.1 Intralaboratory reproducibility standard deviation determination.....	19
6.1.1 General.....	19
6.1.2 Experimental design.....	19
6.1.3 Selection of the (food) item.....	21
6.1.4 Natural contamination.....	21
6.1.5 Artificial contamination.....	21
6.1.6 Evaluation of results.....	22
6.1.7 Acceptability limit.....	23
6.1.8 Root cause analysis.....	24
6.2 Estimated bias (eBias) determination.....	25
6.2.1 General.....	25
6.2.2 Experimental design.....	25
6.2.3 Selection of (food) items.....	25
6.2.4 Artificial contamination.....	25
6.2.5 Evaluation of results.....	27
6.2.6 Acceptability limit.....	27
6.2.7 Root cause analysis.....	28
<b>7 Validated alternative confirmation and typing methods — Technical protocol for verification.....</b>	<b>28</b>
7.1 General.....	28
7.2 Implementation verification.....	28
7.3 Experimental design.....	28
7.3.1 General.....	28
7.3.2 Strain selection.....	29
7.4 Evaluation of results.....	29
7.5 Acceptability limit.....	30

7.6	Root cause analysis .....	30
<b>8</b>	<b>Summary of acceptability limits for the verification of validated methods .....</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>Annex A</b> (informative)	<b>Classification of (food) categories and suggested target combinations for verification studies .....</b>	<b>31</b>
<b>Annex B</b> (informative)	<b>Guidance on how to choose challenging (food) item(s) for (food) item verification .....</b>	<b>45</b>
<b>Annex C</b> (informative)	<b>Qualitative method verification — Example .....</b>	<b>47</b>
<b>Annex D</b> (informative)	<b>Quantitative method verification — Example .....</b>	<b>55</b>
<b>Annex E</b> (informative)	<b>Validated alternative confirmation or typing method verification — Examples .....</b>	<b>60</b>
<b>Annex F</b> (normative)	<b>Protocol for the verification of non-validated reference methods in a single laboratory .....</b>	<b>63</b>
<b>Bibliography</b> .....		<b>70</b>

## Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see [www.iso.org/directives](http://www.iso.org/directives)).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see [www.iso.org/patents](http://www.iso.org/patents)).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) see [www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html](http://www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html).

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 34, *Food products*, Subcommittee SC 9, *Microbiology*, in collaboration with the European Committee for Standardization (CEN) Technical Committee CEN/TC 463, *Microbiology of the food chain*, in accordance with the Agreement on technical cooperation between ISO and CEN (Vienna Agreement).

A list of all parts in the ISO 16140 series can be found on the ISO website.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at [www.iso.org/members.html](http://www.iso.org/members.html).

## Introduction

### 0.1 The ISO 16140 series

The ISO 16140 series has been expanded in response to the need for various ways to validate or verify test methods. It is the successor to ISO 16140:2003. The ISO 16140 series consists of six parts with the general title, *Microbiology of the food chain — Method validation*:

- *Part 1: Vocabulary;*
- *Part 2: Protocol for the validation of alternative (proprietary) methods against a reference method;*
- *Part 3: Protocol for the verification of reference methods and validated alternative methods in a single laboratory;*
- *Part 4: Protocol for method validation in a single laboratory;*
- *Part 5: Protocol for factorial interlaboratory validation for non-proprietary methods;*
- *Part 6: Protocol for the validation of alternative (proprietary) methods for microbiological confirmation and typing procedures.*

ISO 17468 is a closely linked International Standard, which establishes technical rules for the development and validation of standardized methods.

In general, two stages are needed before a method can be used in a laboratory.

- The first stage is the validation of the method. Validation is conducted using a study in a single laboratory followed by an interlaboratory study (see ISO 16140-2, ISO 16140-5 and ISO 16140-6). In the case when a method is validated within one laboratory (see ISO 16140-4), no interlaboratory study is conducted.
- The second stage is method verification, where a laboratory demonstrates that it can satisfactorily perform a validated method. This is described in this document (i.e. ISO 16140-3). Verification is only applicable to methods that have been validated using an interlaboratory study.

In general, two types of methods are distinguished: reference methods and alternative methods.


A reference method is defined in ISO 16140-1:2016, 2.59, as an “internationally recognized and widely accepted method”. The note to entry clarifies that “these are ISO standards and standards jointly published by ISO and CEN or other regional/national standards of equivalent standing”.

In the ISO 16140 series, reference methods include standardized reference (ISO and CEN) methods as defined in ISO 17468:2016, 3.5, as a “reference method described in a standard”.

An alternative method (method submitted for validation) is defined in ISO 16140-1:2016, 2.4, as a “method of analysis that detects or quantifies, for a given category of products, the same analyte as is detected or quantified using the corresponding reference method”. The note to entry clarifies that: “The method can be proprietary. The term ‘alternative’ is used to refer to the entire ‘test procedure and reaction system’. This term includes all ingredients, whether material or otherwise, required for implementing the method”.

ISO 16140-4 addresses validation within a single laboratory. The results are therefore only valid for the laboratory that conducted the study. In this case, verification (as described in this document) is not applicable. ISO 16140-5 describes protocols for non-proprietary methods where a more rapid validation is required or when the method to be validated is highly specialized and the number of participating laboratories required by ISO 16140-2 cannot be reached. ISO 16140-4 and ISO 16140-5 can be used for validation against a reference method. ISO 16140-4 (qualitative and quantitative) and ISO 16140-5 (quantitative only) can also be used for validation without a reference method.

The flow chart in [Figure 1](#) gives an overview of the links between the different parts mentioned above. It also guides the user in selecting the right part of the ISO 16140 series, taking into account the purpose of the study and the remarks given above.




**Figure 1 — Flow chart for application of the ISO 16140 series**

**NOTE 1** In this document, the words “category”, “type” and/or “item” are sometimes combined with “(food)” to improve readability. However, the word “(food)” is interchangeable with “(feed)” and other areas of the food chain as mentioned in [Clause 1](#).


**NOTE 2** The general principle for method verification is that the method to be verified (either alternative or reference) has been validated. However, some reference methods (including ISO or CEN standards) are not yet (fully) validated. For verification of these methods, the protocols are described in [Annex F](#).

ISO 16140-6 is somewhat different from the other parts in the ISO 16140 series in that it relates to a very specific situation where only the confirmation procedure of a method is to be validated [e.g. the biochemical confirmation of *Enterobacteriaceae* (see ISO 21528-2)]. The confirmation procedure advances a suspected (presumptive) result to a confirmed positive result. The validation of alternative typing techniques (e.g. serotyping of *Salmonella*) is also covered by ISO 16140-6. The validation study in ISO 16140-6 clearly defines the selective agar(s) from which strains can be confirmed using the alternative confirmation method. If successfully validated, the alternative confirmation method can only be used if strains are recovered on an agar that was used and shown to be acceptable within the validation study. [Figure 2](#) shows the possibilities where an alternative confirmation method validated in accordance with ISO 16140-6 can be applied (see text in the boxes).

## Reference method



## Alternative method validated in accordance with ISO 16140-2



**Figure 2 — Use of validated alternative confirmation methods (see ISO 16140-6)**

**EXAMPLE** An example application of a validated alternative confirmation method is as follows.

An alternative confirmation method based on ELISA has been validated to replace the biochemical confirmation for *Salmonella* as described in ISO 6579-1. In the validation study, XLD (mandatory agar in accordance with ISO 6579-1) plus BGA and a specified chromogenic agar (two optional agars for second plating in accordance with ISO 6579-1) were used as the agars to start the confirmation. The validated confirmation method can be used to replace the biochemical confirmation under the following conditions:

- by laboratories using the ISO 6579-1; or
- by laboratories using an ISO 16140-2 validated alternative method that refers to ISO 6579-1 for confirmation; or
- by laboratories using an ISO 16140-2 validated alternative method that starts the confirmation from XLD and/or BGA agar and/or the specified chromogenic agar.

The validated confirmation method cannot be used under the following conditions:

- by laboratories using an ISO 16140-2 validated alternative method that refers only to agars other than those included in the validation to start the confirmation (e.g. Hektoen agar and SS agar only); or
- by laboratories using an ISO 16140-2 validated alternative method that refers only to a confirmation procedure that does not require isolation on agar.

## 0.2 Verification versus validation

ISO 16140-1:2016 defines the terms for validation and verification, as follows:

- **validation:** establishment of the performance characteristics of a method and provision of objective evidence that the performance requirements for a specified intended use are fulfilled;
- **verification:** demonstration that a validated method performs, in the user's hands, according to the method's specifications determined in the validation study and is fit for its intended purpose.

NOTE 1 The user's hand means the user laboratory.

Method verification applies to methods that are:

- reference methods, including ISO or CEN standards, that are validated using at least an interlaboratory study;



NOTE 2 However, some reference methods (including ISO or CEN standards) are not yet (fully) validated. For verification of these methods, the protocols are described in [Annex F](#).

- alternative methods, proprietary or otherwise, when the validation included an interlaboratory study. The method has been validated in accordance with
  - ISO 16140-2 for alternative (proprietary) methods,
  - ISO 16140-5 for non-proprietary methods, or
  - ISO 16140-6 for alternative (proprietary) confirmation and typing methods.

In a validation study, it is not possible to test all existing foods; the diversity and number of samples used in any validation study is limited. In most cases, the validation is based on five different food categories (categories as defined in ISO 16140-1:2016, 2.11, and specified in ISO 16140-2:2016, Annex A). Sometimes the validation is supplemented with additional (other) categories such as pet food and animal feed, environmental samples (food or feed production), and/or primary production samples.

When a minimum of five different food categories are validated, the method is regarded as being validated for a “broad range of foods”. And even though only five food categories are tested during the validation study, the method is expected to work for any type of food samples within the 15 food categories in ISO 16140-2: 2016, Annex A. In other words, the “scope” of validation of the method is a broad range of foods, corresponding to the 15 food categories included in ISO 16140-2:2016, Annex A. The scope of validation is important for selecting categories, types and items for the verification.

Two kinds of verification are distinguished:

- The first one is named **implementation verification**. Its purpose is to demonstrate that the user laboratory is able to perform the method correctly. The user laboratory tests a (food) item that was used in the validation study (for qualitative methods) and any (food) item within the scope of validation (for quantitative methods) and then compares the result obtained from the verification to the result obtained from the validation.
- The second one is named **(food) item verification**. Its purpose is to demonstrate that the user laboratory is capable of testing the (food) items it claims in the scope of laboratory application. The user laboratory tests (food) items included in the scope of validation that are commonly examined by the user. As not all (food) items can be included in the verification, the user laboratory is asked to test challenging (food) items.

The scope specifies the (group of) products – categories or types or items – for which the method can be applied. Different scopes are distinguished:

- **scope of the method:** (group of) products – categories or types or items – for which the method is claimed to be applicable.
- **scope of validation:** (group of) products – categories or types or items – for which the applicability of the method is claimed to be validated.

NOTE The claim for the scope of validation is in most cases wider than the products that are included in the validation study itself. For example, in the case of alternative (proprietary) methods validated in accordance with ISO 16140-2:2016: if at least five ( $\geq 5$ ) food categories – by using a minimum of three different food types per category – were tested in the validation study, then the scope of validation is a “broad range of foods” (so all 15 food categories are claimed in the scope of validation). When less than five ( $< 5$ ) food categories were tested, the scope of validation is limited to only those food categories included in the validation.

- **scope of laboratory application:** (group of) products – categories or types or items – for which the method is claimed to be used by the laboratory and are within the scope of validation.

The overlap between the different scopes (including an example) is illustrated in [Figure 3](#).




Figure 3 — Overlap between the different scopes (including an example)

At the time of publication of this document (i.e. ISO 16140-3:2021), some reference methods are not yet (fully) validated and would therefore fall outside the scope of this document. It is recognized that standardization organizations (including ISO and CEN committees) will need time to validate their reference methods. Therefore, these non-validated reference methods (including ISO or CEN standards) are verified in a user laboratory according to a specific protocol (see [Annex F](#)). This is seen as a temporary situation until these methods are validated by the ISO and/or CEN committees. For further information, see Reference [\[13\]](#).

In this document:

- “shall” indicates a requirement;
- “should” indicates a recommendation;
- “may” indicates a permission;
- “can” indicates a possibility or a capability.

Information marked “NOTE” is for guidance in understanding or clarifying the associated sentence.



# Microbiology of the food chain — Method validation —

## Part 3:

## Protocol for the verification of reference methods and validated alternative methods in a single laboratory

### 1 Scope

This document specifies the protocol for the verification of reference methods and validated alternative methods for implementation in the user laboratory.

This document is applicable to the verification of methods used for the analysis (detection and/or quantification), confirmation and typing of microorganisms in:

- products intended for human consumption;
- products intended for animal feeding;
- environmental samples in the area of food and feed production, handling;
- samples from the primary production stage.

This document is, in particular, applicable to bacteria and fungi. Some clauses can be applicable to other (micro)organisms or their metabolites, to be determined on a case-by-case basis.

The technical protocols for the verification of validated qualitative methods and validated quantitative methods are described in [Clauses 5](#) and [6](#). The technical protocol for the verification of validated alternative confirmation and typing methods is described in [Clause 7](#). The protocols for the verification of non-validated reference methods are described in [Annex F](#).

### 2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO 6887 (all parts), *Microbiology of the food chain — Preparation of test samples, initial suspension and decimal dilutions for microbiological examination*

ISO 7218, *Microbiology of food and animal feeding stuffs — General requirements and guidance for microbiological examinations*

ISO 16140-1:2016, *Microbiology of the food chain — Method validation — Part 1: Vocabulary*

### 3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the terms and definitions given in ISO 16140-1 and the following apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminological databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- ISO Online browsing platform: available at <https://www.iso.org/obp>
- IEC Electropedia: available at <http://www.electropedia.org/>