

---

---

**Hydraulic fluid power – Interpolation  
method for particle count and filter  
test data**

*Transmissions hydrauliques – Méthode d'interpolation pour les  
données issues du comptage des particules et des essais du filtre*



This document is a preview generated by ELS



**COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT**

© ISO 2021

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office  
CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8  
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva  
Phone: +41 22 749 01 11  
Email: [copyright@iso.org](mailto:copyright@iso.org)  
Website: [www.iso.org](http://www.iso.org)

Published in Switzerland

# Contents

	Page
Foreword.....	iv
Introduction.....	v
1 Scope.....	1
2 Normative references.....	1
3 Terms and definitions.....	1
4 Background.....	1
5 Interpolation of particle concentration and Beta Ratio data.....	3
6 Example of interpolation of particle concentration data.....	4
7 Example of interpolation of filter Beta Ratio and removal efficiency data.....	6
8 Summary.....	10
BIBLIOGRAPHY.....	12

## Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see [www.iso.org/directives](http://www.iso.org/directives)).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see [www.iso.org/patents](http://www.iso.org/patents)).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT), see [www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html](http://www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html).

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 131, *Fluid power systems*, Subcommittee SC 6, *Contamination control*.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at [www.iso.org/members.html](http://www.iso.org/members.html).

## Introduction

The 2016 version of ISO 11171 provides options for reporting particle size in either units of  $\mu\text{m(c)}$  or  $\mu\text{m(b)}$ . While mathematical conversion of  $\mu\text{m(b)}$  sizes to  $\mu\text{m(c)}$  sizes is straightforward, there is no such universal means for converting particle concentrations or filter Beta Ratios. This is problematic when attempting to comply with contamination control and filter performance specifications given in integral units of  $\mu\text{m(c)}$  when data are in integral units of  $\mu\text{m(b)}$  corresponding to decimal point  $\mu\text{m(c)}$  sizes, or vice versa. For example, particle sizes of 4  $\mu\text{m(b)}$ , 6  $\mu\text{m(b)}$ , 14  $\mu\text{m(b)}$  and 21  $\mu\text{m(b)}$ , correspond to sizes of 3,6  $\mu\text{m(c)}$ , 5,4  $\mu\text{m(c)}$ , 12,6  $\mu\text{m(c)}$  and 18,9  $\mu\text{m(c)}$ , respectively. In the absence of a common interpolation method, otherwise acceptable fluid and filter products can be deemed unacceptable for use because of a discrepancy in the particle sizes reported. This document describes a recommended method for converting  $\mu\text{m(b)}$  data to  $\mu\text{m(c)}$  data and for interpolating particle concentration, Beta Ratio, and removal efficiency data. The resultant interpolated values can be used to convert cleanliness level or filter performance specifications and data from  $\mu\text{m(b)}$  to  $\mu\text{m(c)}$ .



# Hydraulic fluid power – Interpolation method for particle count and filter test data

## 1 Scope

This document describes a recommended method for the interpolation of particle concentration and filter Beta Ratio data when results are not otherwise available at the desired particle sizes. It is applicable for assessing conformance with existing fluid cleanliness and filter Beta Ratio specifications whereby the specification and actual test results are provided in different units of particle size, for example, the specification is in  $\mu\text{m}(c)$ , but the particle counts or Beta Ratio data are in units of  $\mu\text{m}(b)$ .

This document is also applicable when particle sizes in specifications and available data use the same units of particle size, but do not correspond to exactly the same sizes, for example, when particle counts at 20  $\mu\text{m}(c)$  are specified, but data was collected at 21  $\mu\text{m}(c)$ . This method allows interpolation to intermediate particle sizes within the range of existing data and does not permit extrapolation to particle sizes outside the range of available data.

## 2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO 4406, *Hydraulic fluid power — Fluids — Method for coding the level of contamination by solid particles*

ISO 11171, *Hydraulic fluid power — Calibration of automatic particle counters for liquids*

ISO 16889, *Hydraulic fluid power — Filters — Multi-pass method for evaluating filtration performance of a filter element*

## 3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the terms and definitions given in ISO 4406, ISO 11171 and ISO 16889 apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminological databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- ISO Online browsing platform: available at <https://www.iso.org/obp>
- IEC Electropedia: available at <http://www.electropedia.org/>

## 4 Background

In contamination control programmes, filter purchase decisions and quality control programmes, particle count and filter Beta Ratio data are compared to established benchmarks, such as fluid cleanliness specifications, filter performance specifications and historical data. Meaningful assessments can only be made if identical sizes are being compared. This became an issue with ISO 11171:2016. Historical data and specifications prior to 2016 were reported in size units of  $\mu\text{m}(c)$ . Beginning in 2016, however, some chose to report size in units of  $\mu\text{m}(b)$  while others report in  $\mu\text{m}(c)$ . The two units of particle size,  $\mu\text{m}(c)$  and  $\mu\text{m}(b)$ , are mathematically related, but the corresponding values for particle concentration and Beta Ratio are not. The 10 % difference in particle size between the two units of particle size yields differences in the corresponding particle concentrations and Beta Ratios. These, in turn, can significantly impact critical contamination control decisions.