# TECHNICAL REPORT RAPPORT TECHNIQUE TECHNISCHER BERICHT

# CEN/CLC/TR 17603-31-

August 2021

ICS 49.140

#### **English version**

### Space Engineering - Thermal design handbook - Part 4: Conductive Heat Transfer

Ingénierie spatiale - Manuel de conception thermique -Partie 4: Transfert de chaleur par conduction

Raumfahrttechnik - Handbuch für thermisches Design -Teil 4: Leitender Wärmeübertragung

This Technical Report was approved by CEN on 14 June 2021. It has been drawn up by the Technical Committee CEN/CLC/JTC 5.

CEN and CENELEC members are the national standards bodies and national electrotechnical committees of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Republic of North Macedonia, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey and United Kingdom.





**CEN-CENELEC Management Centre:** Rue de la Science 23, B-1040 Brussels

## **Table of contents**

Europ	ean For	eword	10
1 Sco	pe		11
2 Refe	erences		12
3 Tern	ns. defii	nitions and symbols	13
3.1		and definitions	
3.2		riated terms	
3.3		ls	
4 Con		shape factors	
4.1	Genera	al	15
4.2	Planar-	-planar surfaces	16
	4.2.1	Two-dimensional configurations	16
4.3	Planar	surface-cylindrical surface	18
	4.3.1	Two-dimensional configurations	18
	4.3.2	Axisymmetrical configuration	21
4.4	Planar	surface-spherical surface	23
	4.4.1	Plane and sphere	
4.5	Cylindr	ical-cylindrical surfaces	
	4.5.1	Two-dimensional configurations	25
4.6	Spheric	cal-spherical surfaces	41
	4.6.1	Two concentric spheres	41
5 The	rmal joii	nt conductance	43
5.1	Genera	al	43
	5.1.1	Empirical correlations	44
	5.1.2	Thermal interface materials	48
	5.1.3	Joint geometries	50
5.2	Bare m	netallic joints	
	5.2.1	Metal-metal joints	62
	5.2.2	Metal-composite joints	94
	5.2.3	Composite-composite joints	96

5.3	Interfac	cial materials between metals	98
	5.3.1	Metallic foils between metals	98
	5.3.2	Metallic oxide powders between similar metals	109
	5.3.3	Porous metallic materials between similar metals.	109
2	5.3.4	Insulating spacers between similar metals	119
	5.3.5	Fluids between metals	133
	5.3.6	Elastomeric spacers between similar metals	142
5.4	Outgas	sing data	151
Biblio	araphy.		153
	y y -		
Figure	S		
Figure 4		nes of the conductive shape factor per unit length, S/L, vs. the ensionless width of the strip, X. Calculated by the compiler	17
Figure 4		les of the conductive shape factor per unit length, S/L, vs. X for different es of Y. Calculated by the compiler	19
Figure 4	dime	les of the conductive shape factor per unit length, S/L, vs. ensionless diameter of the cylinder cross section. Calculated by the piler.	20
Figure 4		nes of the dimensionless conductive shape factor, S/L, vs. cylinder neter to length ratio, D/L. Calculated by the compiler	22
Figure 4		les of the dimensionless conductive shape factor, S/D, vs. the ensionless diameter of the sphere, Z. Calculated by the compiler	24
Figure 4	ho; fo	les of the conductive shape factor per unit length, S/L, vs. radius ratio, or different values of the dimensionless distance between cylinder axes, alculated by the compiler	26
Figure 4	vs. t	les of the dimensionless conductive shape factors per unit length, $S_{ii}/L$ , he eccentricity of one of the holes $X_2$ , for different values of the relevant metrical parameters. From Faulkner & Andrews (1955) [13]	28
Figure 4	dian	les of the conductive shape factors per unit length, $S_{ij}/L$ , vs. the neter ratio $d_3$ , for different values of the relevant geometric parameters. In Faulkner & Andrews (1955) [13]	30
Figure 4	dime	les of the conductive shape factor per unit length, S/L, vs. the ensionless characteristic length of the holes, X. Calculated by the piler.	32
Figure <sup>2</sup>	dime	lues of the conductive shape factor per unit length, S/L, vs. the ensionless diameter of the hole, X, for several values of the aspect y, Y, of the rectangular bar cross-section. Calculated by the compiler	34
Figure 4	dime ratio	lues of the conductive shape factor per unit length, S/L, vs. the ensionless diameter of the hole, X, for different values of the aspect y, Y, of the rectangular bar cross section. After Griggs, Pitts & Goyal (3) [25]	36
Figure 4		lues of the conductive shape factor per unit length, $S/L$ , vs. the ensionless diameter of the hole, $X$ , for several values of the aspect	

	ratio, Y, of the rectangular bar cross section. After Griggs, Pitts & Goyal (1973) [25]	38
Figure 4-13	3: Values of the conductive shape factor per unit length, $S/L$ , vs. the dimensionless hole radius, $\rho$ , for several values of $n$ . Calculated by the compiler.	40
Figure 4-14	4: Values of the dimensionless conductive shape factor, $S/r_1$ , vs. radius ratio, $\rho$ . Calculated by the compiler.	42
Figure 5-1:	Estimation of the temperature drop at the interface.	43
Figure 5-2:	Variation of gap thickness parameter, $\delta_o$ , with contact surface parameter, $d$ . After Fletcher & Gyorog (1970) [17]	45
Figure 5-3:	Variation of contact conductance with apparent interface pressure. After Fletcher & Gyorog (1970) [17]	46
Figure 5-4:	Dimensionless conductance vs. dimensionless load. Stainless steel under vacuum conditions. From Thomas & Probert (1972) [47]	47
Figure 5-5:	Dimensionless conductance vs. dimensionless load. Stainless steel under vacuum conditions. From Thomas & Probert (1972) [47]	48
Figure 5-6:	Schematic representation of two surfaces in contact and heat flow across the interface.	48
Figure 5-7:	Interface material compressed between two contacting surfaces	49
Figure 5-8:	Plots of contact conductance vs. contact pressure for two different surface finishes. From Fried & Kelley (1966) [24]	51
Figure 5-9:	Plot of contact conductance vs. contact pressure for two different surface finishes. From Fried & Atkins (1965) [23]	52
Figure 5-10	2: Plots of contact conductance vs. contact pressure for two different surface finishes. From Fried (1966) [22] quoted by Scollon & Carpitella (1970) [43]	53
Figure 5-1	Plot of contact conductance vs. contact pressure for different ambient pressures. From Fried & Kelley (1966) [24]	54
Figure 5-12	2: Plots of contact conductance vs. contact pressure for different surface finishes and ambient pressures. Circle: From Fried & Atkins (1965) [23]. Square: From Fried (1966) [21] quoted by Scollon & Carpitella (1970) [43]	55
Figure 5-13	3: Plot of contact conductance vs. contact pressure for different surface finishes. From Clausing & Chao (1965) [7]	56
Figure 5-14	1: Plot of contact conductance vs. contact pressure for different surface finishes. From Fried & Atkins (1965) [23]	57
Figure 5-18	5: Plot of contact conductance vs. contact pressure for various surface finishes, mean temperatures and ambient pressures. Circle, square and rhombus: from Clausing & Chao (1965) [7]. Triangle: from Fried (1966) [21] quoted by Scollon & Carpitella (1970) [43].	58
Figure 5-16	6: Plot of contact conductance vs. contact pressure. Notice the directional effect on contact conductance. From Fried & Kelley (1966) [24]	59
Figure 5-17	7: Plot of contact conductance vs. contact pressure for different surface finishes. Circle and square: from Fried (1965) [21]. Rhombus and triangle: from Fried & Atkins (1965) [23]. Inverted triangle and right-oriented triangle: from Fried & Kelley (1966) [24]	60

Figure 5-18	3: Plot of contact conductance vs. contact pressure for different surface finishes. Circle: From Fried (1966) [22] quoted by Scollon & Carpitella (1970) [43]. Square: From Gyorog (1970) [26]	.61
Figure 5-19	9: Plot of contact conductance vs. contact pressure for different surface finishes. From Fried (1966) [21] quoted by Scollon & Carpitella (1970) [43]	.62
Figure 5-20	D: Plot of contact conductance vs. contact pressure for different surface finishes: smooth surfaces. White square: from Padgett & Fletcher (1982) [35]. Black square: from Padgett & Fletcher (1982) [35]. Black triangle: from Fletcher & Gygorg (1971) [17]. Circle: from Clausing & Chao (1963) [7]	.63
Figure 5-21	1: Plot of contact conductance vs. contact pressure for different surface finishes: medium surfaces. White square: from Padgett & Fletcher (1982) [35]. Black square: from Padgett & Fletcher (1982) [35]. Black triangle: from Fletcher & Gygorg (1971) [17]. Circle: from Clausing & Chao (1963) [7]	.64
Figure 5-22	2: Experimental values of thermal contact conductance vs. contact pressure.  From Marchetti, Testa & Torrisi (1988) [31]	.65
Figure 5-23	3: Experimental values of thermal contact conductance vs. contact pressure. From Marchetti, Testa & Torrisi (1988) [31]	.66
Figure 5-24	4: 0,1 µm brass sample pair applied force comparison. Dashed line: 112 N; dashed-dotted line: 224 N; long-short dashed line: 336 N; long-double short dashed line: 448 N; dashed-triple dotted line: 560 N; solid line: 670 N	.67
Figure 5-25	5: 0,2 µm brass sample pair applied force comparison	.67
Figure 5-26	6: 0,4 µm brass sample pair applied force comparison	.68
Figure 5-27	7: 0,8 µm brass sample pair applied force comparison	.68
	3: 1,6 µm brass sample pair applied force comparison	
Figure 5-29	9: Brass sample pairs, 4,2 K surface finish comparison. Short dashed line: 0,1 μm; long dashed line: 0,2 μm; dashed-dotted line: 0,4 μm; long-short dashed line: 0,8 μm; long-double short dashed line: 1,6 μm	.69
Figure 5-30	D: Brass sample pairs, 4,2 K surface finish comparison. Long-double short dashed line: 112 N; short dashed line: 224 N; dashed-dotted line: 336 N; dotted line: 448 N; long dashed line: 560 N; solid line: 670 N	.70
Figure 5-31	1: γ copper sample pairs, 4,2 K surface finish comparison. Key as in Figure 5-30	.71
Figure 5-32	2: Physical model of two rotating cylinders contacted to each other	.71
Figure 5-33	3: Contact thermal resistance after applied high contact pressure vs. rotating speed.	.72
Figure 5-34	4: Contact thermal resistance after applied high contact pressure vs. rotating speed.	.73
Figure 5-35	5: Thermal contact conductance as a function of position for: (a) 4 x 6 load array; (b) 5 x 7 load array; c) 6 x 8 load array. From Peterson and Fletcher (1992) [37]	.75
Figure 5-36	6: Integrated thermal contact conductance. From Table 5-2	.76
Figure 5-37	7: Plot of contact conductance vs. contact pressure. Notice the directional effect on contact conductance. From Fried & Kelley (1966) [24]	.77
Figure 5-38	3: Thermal contact conductance vs. interfacial pressure for Al 2024-T4/SS 304 contacts: experimental data and theoretical results	.78

Figure 5-39: Thermal contact conductance vs. interfacial pressure for Al 2024- T4/Zircaloy-2 contacts: experimental data and theoretical results	79
Figure 5-40: Thermal contact conductance vs. interfacial pressure for SS 304/Zircaloy-2 contacts: experimental data and theoretical results.	80
Figure 5-41: Thermal contact conductance vs. interfacial pressure for Mg AZ31B/zircaloy-2 contacts: experimental data and theoretical results	81
Figure 5-42: Thermal contact conductance vs. interfacial pressure for Brass 271/Zircaloy-2 contacts: experimental data and theoretical results	82
Figure 5-43: Variation of contact conductance with apparent interface pressure for Al 2024-T4/SS 304 metal surfaces at different mean junction temperatures	83
Figure 5-44: Thermal contact conductance vs. contact pressure. Theoretical curve: $h_c = KP^{0,93}$ . (1) SS-AI, $K = 3,27 \times 10^{-11}$ . (2) SS-Cu, $K = 1,84 \times 10^{-11}$	84
Figure 5-45: Comparison between experimental and theoretical values for SS/AI interface. Theoretical curve: $h_c = 3,65 \times 10^{-9} P^{0,66}$	84
Figure 5-46: Comparison between experimental and theoretical values for SS/Cu interface.	85
Figure 5-47: Comparison between experimental and theoretical values for SS/AI interface.	85
Figure 5-48: Thermal contact resistance vs. applied pressure for SS to Cu specimens (RMS roughness values as indicated)	86
Figure 5-49: Thermal contact resistance vs. applied pressure for Cu to SS (RMS roughness values as indicated)	87
Figure 5-50: Dimensionless correlation of contact resistances between machined SS specimens pressed against copper optical-flats (surface finishes of the SS specimens as indicated).	88
Figure 5-51: Dimensionless correlation as for Figure 5-50 but for different surface finishes of the SS specimens.	89
Figure 5-52: Overall thermal conductance as a function of apparent contact pressure and mean junction temperature.	91
Figure 5-53: Joint Configuration.	92
Figure 5-54: Thermal contact conductance as a function of distance from center of bolt. From Peterson, Stanks & Fletcher (1991) [39]	92
Figure 5-55: Integrated thermal contact conductance. From Table 5-4	93
Figure 5-56: Stainless-steel and Graphite-epoxi-laminate	94
Figure 5-57: Stainless-steel and glass-epoxi-laminate	95
Figure 5-58: Experimental values of thermal transverse conductivity a) Graphite-epoxilaminate. b) Glass-epoxi-laminate.	
Figure 5-59: Graphite-epoxi-laminate and graphite-epoxi-laminate	97
Figure 5-60: Glass-epoxi-laminate and glass-epoxi-laminate	97
Figure 5-61: Plot of contact conductance vs. contact pressure. From Cunnington (1964) [9]	
Figure 5-62: Loading resistance with tin.	99
Figure 5-63: Unloading resistance with tin	99

Figure 5-64: Loading resistance with lead.	100
Figure 5-65: Unloading resistance with lead.	100
Figure 5-66: Loading resistance with aluminium.	101
Figure 5-67: Unloading resistance with aluminium	101
Figure 5-68: Loading resistance with copper.	102
Figure 5-69: Unloading resistance with copper.	102
Figure 5-70. Dimensionless minimum resistance to bare joint resistance.	103
Figure 5-71: Dimensionless thermal contact conductance for specimen sets 1, 2 and 3 as a function of the distance from a load point. $P_{\text{contact}} = 689,5 \times 10^3  \text{Pa}$ . Values for $h_{\text{uncoated}}$ from Table 5-1 (clause 5.2.1.1). From Peterson and Fletcher (1992) [37].	104
Figure 5-72: Thermal contact conductance variation: a) 0,79 N.m; b) 1,92 N.m; c) 3,04 N.m. From Peterson & Fletcher (1991) [37]	107
Figure 5-73: Integrated thermal contact conductance. From Table 5-6	108
Figure 5-74: Plot of contact conductance vs. contact pressure for different surface finishes and mean temperatures. From Miller & Fletcher (1973) [32]	110
Figure 5-75: Plot of contact conductance vs. contact pressure for different surface finishes and mean temperatures. From Miller & Fletcher (1973) [32]	111
Figure 5-76: Plot of contact conductance vs. contact pressure for different porosities. From Miller & Fletcher (1973) [32]	112
Figure 5-77: Plot of contact conductance vs. contact pressure. From Gyorog (1970) [26]	113
Figure 5-78: Plot of contact conductance vs. contact pressure. From Gyorog (1970) [26]	114
Figure 5-79: Comparison of thermal conductance of fiber metals with aluminium bare junction conductance, $T_m = 307 \text{ K}$ .	116
Figure 5-80: Comparison of thermal conductance of powder metals with aluminium bare junction conductance, $T_m = 342 \text{ K}$ .	116
Figure 5-81: Effect of surface finish on thermal conductance with a porous copper interstitial material.	117
Figure 5-82: Effect of mean junction temperature on thermal conductance with a porous copper interstitial material	117
Figure 5-83: Dimensionless effectiveness parameter for porous metals and selected thermal control materials.	118
Figure 5-84: Effects of surface finish and temperature conductance with a porous nickel interstitial material.	118
Figure 5-85: Effects of mean junction temperature on thermal conductance with a porous copper interstitial material.	119
Figure 5-86: Plot of contact conductance vs. contact pressure. From Fletcher, Smuda & Gyorog (1969) [20].	
Figure 5-87: Plot of contact conductance vs. contact pressure. From Fletcher, Smuda & Gyorog (1969) [20]	121

Figure 5-88: Plot of contact conductance vs. contact pressure. From Fletcher, Smuda & Gyorog (1969) [20]	122
Figure 5-89: Plot of contact conductance vs. contact pressure. From Fletcher, Smuda & Gyorog (1969) [20]	123
Figure 5-90: Plot of contact conductance vs. contact pressure. From Fletcher, Smuda & Gyorog (1969) [20]	124
Figure 5-91: Plot of contact conductance vs. contact pressure. From Fletcher, Smuda & Gyorog (1969) [20]	126
Figure 5-92: Plot of contact conductance vs. contact pressure. From Gyorog (1970) [26]	127
Figure 5-93: Plot of contact conductance vs. contact pressure. From Fletcher, Smuda & Gyorog (1969) [20]	128
Figure 5-94: Plot of contact conductance vs. contact pressure. From Fletcher, Smuda & Gyorog (1969) [20]	129
Figure 5-95: Plot of contact conductance vs. contact pressure. From Fletcher, Smuda & Gyorog (1969) [20]	130
Figure 5-96: Plot of contact conductance vs. contact pressure. From Fletcher, Smuda & Gyorog (1969) [20]	131
Figure 5-97: Plot of contact conductance vs. contact pressure. From Gyorog (1970) [26]	132
Figure 5-98: Plot of contact conductance vs. contact pressure. From Fletcher, Smuda & Gyorog (1969) [20]	133
Figure 5-99: Plot of contact conductance vs. contact pressure. From Cunnington (1964) [9]	134
Figure 5-100: Plot of contact conductance vs. contact pressure. From Cunnington (1964) [9]	135
Figure 5-101: Photograph of segmented surface test specimen	
Figure 5-102: Thermal contact resistance values for Al 6061-T6 with and without segmented surface interstitial material (one atmosphere).	136
Figure 5-103: Comparison between models and experimental results for SS1 and SS2	138
Figure 5-104: Comparison between models and experimental results for SS1 and SS2	139
Figure 5-105: Comparison between models and experimental results for SS3 and SS4	139
Figure 5-106: Comparison between models and experimental results for SS3 and SS4	140
Figure 5-107: Effect of variation of initial contact pressure on joint resistance for the edge tube/fin system. $h_o = 0$ , $h_e = 0$ , $P_i = 0$ , $P_o = 0$ , $T_i = 313$ K, $T_o = 293$ K, $T_i = 373$ K, $T_o = 293$ K.	142
Figure 5-108: Plot of contact conductance vs. contact pressure for various elastomeric materials at two mean temperatures. From Fletcher & Miller (1973) [18]	144
Tables	
Table 5-1: Load configuration thermal contact conductance data	74
Table 5-2: Integrated load configuration test thermal contact conductance values	76
Table 5-3: Parameters of Samples Used in Tests Shown in Figure 5-43	83

Table 5-4: Integrated thermal contact conductance values	2
Table 5-5: Thermal contact conductance data10	6
Table 5-6: Integrated thermal contact conductance values	8
Table 5-7: Values of contact conductance vs. contact pressure	9
Table 5-8: Values of contact conductance as a function of contact pressure and mean temperature	5
Table 5-9: Values of contact conductance as a function of contact pressure and mean temperature	9
Table 5-10: Values of contact conductance as a function of contact pressure and mean temperature	2
Table 5-11: Outgassing Data of Several Materials	
Mentis a previous opposite de la FELS	

## **European Foreword**

This document (CEN/CLC/TR 17603-31-04:2021) has been prepared by Technical Committee CEN/CLC/JTC 5 "Space", the secretariat of which is held by DIN.

It is highlighted that this technical report does not contain any requirement but only collection of data or descriptions and guidelines about how to organize and perform the work in support of EN 16603-31.

This Technical report (TR 17603-31-04:2021) originates from ECSS-E-HB-31-01 Part 4A.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. CEN [and/or CENELEC] shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

This document has been prepared under a mandate given to CEN by the European Commission and the European Free Trade Association.

This document has been developed to cover specifically space systems and has therefore precedence over any TR covering the same scope but with a wider domain of applicability (e.g.: aerospace).

## 1 Scope

This Part 4 of the spacecraft thermal control and design data handbooks, provides information on calculating the conductive heat transfer rate for a variety of two and three-dimensional configurations.

Calculations for the conductance of the interface between two surfaces (joints) require special consideration and are included as a separate clause.

#### The Thermal design handbook is published in 16 Parts

TR 17603-31-01	Thermal design handbook – Part 1: View factors
TR 17603-31-02	Thermal design handbook – Part 2: Holes, Grooves and Cavities
TR 17603-31-03	Thermal design handbook – Part 3: Spacecraft Surface Temperature
TR 17603-31-04	Thermal design handbook – Part 4: Conductive Heat Transfer
TR 17603-31-05	Thermal design handbook – Part 5: Structural Materials: Metallic and Composite
TR 17603-31-06	Thermal design handbook – Part 6: Thermal Control Surfaces
TR 17603-31-07	Thermal design handbook – Part 7: Insulations
TR 17603-31-08	Thermal design handbook – Part 8: Heat Pipes
TR 17603-31-09	Thermal design handbook – Part 9: Radiators
TR 17603-31-10	Thermal design handbook – Part 10: Phase – Change Capacitors
TR 17603-31-11	Thermal design handbook – Part 11: Electrical Heating
TR 17603-31-12	Thermal design handbook – Part 12: Louvers
TR 17603-31-13	Thermal design handbook – Part 13: Fluid Loops
TR 17603-31-14	Thermal design handbook – Part 14: Cryogenic Cooling
TR 17603-31-15	Thermal design handbook – Part 15: Existing Satellites
TR 17603-31-16	Thermal design handbook – Part 16: Thermal Protection System

# References

EN Reference	Reference in text	Title
EN 16601-00-01	ECSS-S-ST-00-01	ECSS System - Glossary of terms
All other references n		nis Part are listed, alphabetically, in the <b>Bibliography</b> .
	9	
		4