

English version

Space engineering - Mechanical shock design and verification handbook

Ingénierie spatiale - Chocs mécaniques: Manuel de conception et de vérification

Raumfahrttechnik - Handbuch zu mechanischem Design und Verifikation für Stöße

This Technical Report was approved by CEN on 13 April 2022. It has been drawn up by the Technical Committee CEN/CLC/JTC 5.

CEN and CENELEC members are the national standards bodies and national electrotechnical committees of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Republic of North Macedonia, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey and United Kingdom.



**CEN-CENELEC Management Centre:
Rue de la Science 23, B-1040 Brussels**

Table of contents

European Foreword	9
Introduction	10
1 Scope	11
2 References	12
2.1 References of Part 1	12
2.2 References of Part 2	12
2.3 References of Part 3	14
2.4 References of Part 4	16
3 Terms, definitions and abbreviated terms	19
3.1 Terms and definitions from other documents	19
3.2 Terms and definitions specific to the present document	19
3.3 Abbreviated terms	20
4 Background – Shock environment description	24
4.1 Shock definition and main characteristics	24
4.1.1 Shock definition	24
4.1.2 Physical aspects of shocks	25
4.1.3 Main shock effects	25
4.1.4 Shock response spectra (SRS)	26
5 Shock events	31
5.1 Shock occurrence	31
5.2 Shock environmental categories	31
6 Introduction to shock design and verification process	34
6.1 Presentation of the global process	34
6.2 Means to conduct an evaluation of shock environment and criticality	36
7 Shocks in spacecraft	38
7.1 Overview	38
7.2 Potential shock sources for spacecraft	38
7.3 Shocks devices description	39

7.4	Detailed information on specific shock events.....	42
7.4.1	Overview.....	42
7.4.2	Launcher induced shocks.....	42
7.4.3	Clampband release.....	49
7.4.4	Other S/C separation systems.....	56
7.4.5	Internal shock sources.....	64
7.4.6	Landing and splashdown.....	69
7.5	Conclusion.....	72
8	Shock inputs derivation by similarity-heritage-extrapolation.....	73
8.1	Overview.....	73
8.2	Similarity-heritage-extrapolation methods principle.....	74
8.2.1	Overview.....	74
8.2.2	Use of database.....	74
8.2.3	Zoning procedure.....	78
8.2.4	SRS ratio as approximation of transfer functions.....	79
8.2.5	Difference between structural model and flight model.....	82
8.2.6	Statistical methods to derive maximum expected environment.....	83
8.3	Similarity-heritage-extrapolation methods in practice.....	92
8.3.1	Method A – Point source excitation.....	93
8.3.2	Method B – Clampband excitation.....	99
8.3.3	Method C – Launcher induced shock.....	105
8.3.4	Method D – Unified approach and practical implementation of attenuation rules for typical spacecraft shock generated environments...	114
8.3.5	Additional attenuation factors.....	121
8.3.6	Method E – Shock responses in instruments.....	122
9	Shock inputs derivation by numerical analysis.....	126
9.1	Numerical simulation principles.....	126
9.1.1	Rationale and limitations.....	126
9.2	Finite Element Analysis (FEA) Numerical methods.....	127
9.2.1	Comparison of explicit and implicit methods.....	127
9.2.2	Explicit and implicit integration schemes.....	129
9.2.3	Example of simulation codes (implicit and explicit).....	129
9.2.4	Modelling aspects.....	131
9.3	Statistical Energy Analysis (SEA) Numerical Methods.....	152
9.3.1	The classical SEA approach.....	152
9.3.2	The Transient SEA formulation.....	153
9.3.3	Prediction of shock response by Local Modal Phase Reconstruction (LMPR).....	153

9.3.4	Virtual SEA modelling for robust SEA modelling in the mid-frequency.....	155
9.4	Best practices for shock derivation by simulation.....	157
9.5	Examples of methodology for numerical simulation	158
9.5.1	Numerical simulation for clampband release	158
9.5.2	Numerical simulation for Shogun.....	161
9.5.3	Numerical simulation for launcher induced shock.....	165
9.5.4	Implicit vs. explicit method: Example of a shock prediction on a complex structure.....	174
9.5.5	Shock prediction analysis examples using SEA-Shock module of SEA+ software	176
10	Deriving a specification from a shock environment	180
10.1	Specification tool	180
10.2	Deriving the qualification environment – MEE and qualification margin.....	183
10.3	From level derivation/Measure to specification	183
11	Shock attenuation.....	185
11.1	Definitions.....	185
11.1.1	History of shock attenuation	185
11.1.2	Impedance breakdown	186
11.1.3	Shock and vibration Isolator	187
11.1.4	Damper	189
11.1.5	Shock absorber	190
11.2	Theoretical background	191
11.2.1	Shock attenuation problematic approach	191
11.2.2	Shock isolator device features	193
11.2.3	Rubber and damping effect.....	193
11.2.4	Elastomer type selection	199
11.3	Attenuator device development.....	202
11.3.1	Overview	202
11.3.2	Attenuator requirement definition	202
11.3.3	Attenuator device development logic.....	205
11.4	Attenuator device manufacturing	210
11.4.1	Overview.....	210
11.4.2	Manufacturing process.....	210
11.4.3	Moulding technology	211
11.4.4	Manufacturing limitations.....	213
11.5	Product assurance logic.....	213
11.6	Existing attenuator products	214
11.6.1	Overview.....	214

11.6.2	Compact shock attenuators for electronic equipment	214
11.6.3	SASSA (shock attenuator system for spacecraft and adaptor)	216
11.6.4	Shock isolators for EXPERT on-board equipment	221
12	General approach to shock verification	226
12.1	Rationale for shock verification	226
12.2	Test rationale and model philosophy	229
12.2.1	Qualification test	229
12.2.2	Acceptance test	231
12.2.3	System / subsystem distinction	231
12.2.4	Model philosophy	231
12.3	Environmental test categories	233
12.3.1	Combination or separation of sources	233
12.3.2	Pyroshock environmental categories	233
12.4	Shock sensitive equipment and severity criteria	235
12.4.1	Identification of shock sensitive equipment	235
12.4.2	Severity criteria	235
12.4.3	Synthesis	247
12.5	Equivalence between shock and other mechanical environment	248
12.5.1	Quasi static equivalence – effective mass method	248
12.5.2	Use of sine vibration test data	251
12.5.3	Use of random vibration test data	252
12.6	Similarity between equipment – Verification by similarity	257
12.6.1	Introduction	257
12.6.2	Similarity criteria for shock	257
12.6.3	Example of process for verification by similarity	259
12.7	Specific guidelines for shock verification	263
12.7.1	Optical instrument	263
12.7.2	Propulsion sub system	270
13	Shock testing	283
13.1	Shock test specifications	283
13.1.1	Test levels and forcing function	283
13.1.2	Number of applications	283
13.1.3	Mounting conditions	284
13.1.4	Test article operation	284
13.1.5	Safety and cleanliness	285
13.1.6	Instrumentation	285
13.1.7	Test tolerances	285

13.1.8	Test success criteria	286
13.2	Criteria for test facility selection	287
13.3	Test methods and facilities	288
13.3.1	Basis	288
13.3.2	Procedure I – System level shock test.....	288
13.3.3	Procedure II – Equipment shock test by pyrotechnic device (explosive detonation).....	302
13.3.4	Procedure III – Equipment shock test by mechanical impact (metal-metal impact)	308
13.3.5	Procedure IV – Equipment shock test with an electrodynamic shaker	320
13.4	Test monitoring	336
13.4.1	Accelerometers	336
13.4.2	Strain gauges.....	351
13.4.3	Load cells.....	356
13.4.4	Laser vibrometer	359
13.4.5	Acquisition systems.....	366
13.5	In-flight shock monitoring	380
13.5.1	Overview	380
13.5.2	VEGA in-flight acquisition systems.....	380
14	Data analysis tools for shock	383
14.1	Introduction.....	383
14.2	Shock Response Spectra (SRS).....	383
14.2.1	Basis	383
14.2.2	Definition.....	383
14.2.3	SRS properties.....	385
14.2.4	SRS algorithm.....	388
14.2.5	Recommendations on SRS computation	389
14.2.6	Q-factor	390
14.2.7	SRS limitations.....	392
14.3	Fast Fourier Transform (FFT)	393
14.3.1	FFT definition	393
14.3.2	Precautions	393
14.4	Time-Frequency Analysis (TFA)	393
14.4.1	General	393
14.4.2	Linear Time-Frequency Transform (TFT)	394
14.4.3	Quadratic Time-Frequency Transform.....	397
14.4.4	Interpretation and precautions.....	401
14.5	Prony decomposition	401

14.5.1	Definition.....	401
14.5.2	Basic scheme.....	401
14.5.3	Advanced scheme.....	403
14.5.4	Use and limitation	404
14.6	Digital filters	406
14.6.1	Basis.....	406
14.6.2	Definition and parameters	406
14.6.3	FIR filters	407
14.6.4	IIR filters.....	408
14.6.5	Precautions.....	409
15	Shock data validation	410
15.1	Overview	410
15.2	Visual inspection.....	410
15.3	Data analysis – simplified criteria.....	413
15.3.1	Duration analysis	413
15.3.2	Validity frequency range.....	413
15.3.3	Final validity criteria - Positive versus negative SRS	415
15.4	Data analysis – refined criteria – Velocity validation.....	415
15.5	Corrections for anomalies	416
15.5.1	Overview.....	416
15.5.2	Correction for zeroshift.....	416
15.5.3	Correction for power line pickup.....	420
16	Introduction to shock damage risk assessment and objective	424
16.1	Overview	424
16.2	Assessment context.....	424
16.3	Outputs of SDRA and associated limitations.....	425
17	Unit susceptibility with respect to shock.....	426
17.1	Overview	426
17.2	Derivation of qualification shock levels at unit interface	428
17.3	Identification of critical frequency ranges	428
17.4	Considerations related to life duration and mission	431
17.5	List of shock sensitive components/units	431
17.5.1	Overview.....	431
17.5.2	Electronic components and associated degradation modes	432
17.5.3	Functional mechanical assemblies.....	456
17.5.4	Mechanisms and associated degradation modes.....	459

18 Shock damage risk analysis	460
18.1 Required inputs for detailed SDRA	460
18.2 Evaluation of transmissibility between equipment and sensitive components interfaces	461
18.2.1 Overview	461
18.2.2 Derivation by extrapolation from test data	461
18.2.3 Shock response prediction based on transmissibility	465
18.2.4 Guideline for equipment shock analysis	466
18.3 Verification method per type of components and/or units	480
18.3.1 Electronic equipment	480
18.3.2 Mechanisms – Ball bearings	502
18.3.3 Valves	526
18.3.4 Optical components	533

European Foreword

This document (CEN/TR 17603-32-25:2022) has been prepared by Technical Committee CEN/CLC/JTC 5 "Space", the secretariat of which is held by DIN.

It is highlighted that this technical report does not contain any requirement but only collection of data or descriptions and guidelines about how to organize and perform the work in support of EN 16603-32.

This Technical report (CEN/TR 17603-32-25:2022) originates from ECSS-E-HB-32-25A.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. CEN [and/or CENELEC] shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

This document has been prepared under a mandate given to CEN by the European Commission and the European Free Trade Association.

This document has been developed to cover specifically space systems and has therefore precedence over any TR covering the same scope but with a wider domain of applicability (e.g.: aerospace).

Introduction

In recent years, discussions concerning “what to do about shock” in relation to spacecraft have taken more importance. During launch and deployment operations, a spacecraft can be exposed to energetic shock environments. As spacecraft have become more capable, more equipment can be flown, and components are closer together. In addition, more sophisticated and delicate instruments are flown to maximize mission results.

As such, the shock environment has become a source of concern for spacecraft and payload developers. However, not only the definition of the environment, but also the analysis and test verification is complex.

In the same way than for other mechanical environment, it is important to properly address the shock early in the development phase until the final verification of the shock environment, to ensure a successful qualification.

The experience, gained over the past years by Agencies and industries, greatly improves the state of the art in this domain and has helped clear a large number of equipment and spacecraft for launch.

However, one of the problems with respect to mechanical shock design and verification is the fact that relevant information is spread over ESA and industry documents and specialists. To improve this, the current know-how on mechanical shock design and verification is documented in the present handbook in order to make this expertise available to all European spacecraft and payload developers.

The handbook is divided into four parts:

- Part 1 Overview
- Part 2 Shock input derivation to space segment elements and equipment
- Part 3 Shock verification approach
- Part 4 Shock damage risk assessment

The intended users of the “Mechanical shock design and verification handbook” are engineers involved in design, analysis and verification in relation to shock environment in spacecraft. The current know-how relevant to mechanical shock design and verification is documented in this handbook in order to make this expertise available to all European spacecraft and payload developers.

The handbook provides adequate guidelines for shock design and verification; therefore it includes advisory information, recommendations and good practices, rather than requirements.

The handbook covers the shock in its globally, from the derivation of shock input to equipment and sub-systems inside a satellite structure, until its verification to ensure a successful qualification, and including its consequences on equipment and sub-systems. However the following aspects are not treated herein:

- No internal launcher shock is treated in the frame of this handbook even if some aspects are common to those presented hereafter. They are just considered as a shock source (after propagation in the launcher structure) at launcher/spacecraft interface.
- Shocks due to fall of structure or equipment are not taken into account as they are not in the frame of normal development of a spacecraft.

References

2.1 References of Part 1

- [RD-01] Guide de spécification d'essais et de conception d'équipements, Grzeskowiak, MBDA, Jan. 2003
- [RD-02] Mechanical Vibration and Shock – Mechanical Shock, Volume 2, C. Lalanne
- [RD-03] Harris' Shock and Vibration Handbook, CM Harris, AG Piersol, Fifth edition
- [RD-04] Pyroshock Test Criteria, NASA Technical Standard, NASA-STD-7003, May 1999
- [RD-05] Environmental Engineering considerations and laboratory tests, MIL Technical Standard, MIL-STD-810F, Jan. 2000
- [RD-06] Pyrotechnic Shock Loads, Test evaluation, equipment protection, E. Hornung, Daimler-Benz Aerospace AG, Space infrastructure, Bremen, Germany, H. Öery, University Aachen, Germany – 48th Congress of the International Astronautical Federation – Turin, Oct. 1997
- [RD-07] Susceptibility of equipment to pyroshocks – Return of experience from ESA programmes, Kiryenko, Parquet, Grzeskowiak, ESA/ESTEC and MBDA, Dec. 2002
- [RD-08] An introduction to the shock response spectrum, Tom Irvine, May 2002.
- [RD-09] The shock response spectrum at low frequencies, D. O. Smallwood, Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, NM

2.2 References of Part 2

- [RD-010] Report on alternative devices to pyrotechnics on spacecraft, M. Lucy, R. Hardy, E. Kist, J. Watson, S. Wise, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Langley Research Center
- [RD-011] Very low shock release pyromechanisms, Geachter, Tarkhani, LACROIX PYROTECHNOLOGIES, Dec. 2002
- [RD-012] Dynamics simulation of pyro actuated "ball lock" separation system for micro-satellites to evaluate release shock, S. Somanath, E.J. Francis, Vikram Sarabhai Space Centre (India) – ESA - 9th European Space Mechanisms and Tribology Symposium – Liege Belgium 19-21th September 2001

- [RD-013] TO5 - Shock Measurement:- Exploitation des essais de chocs réalisés sur les composants pyrotechniques, Valerio Cippola, CNES, 2002
- [RD-014] Shock predictions for aerospace applications - return of experience from ESA programmes, Kiryenko, Kasper, Ngan, 6th International Symposium on Launcher Technologies, Munich, 2005
- [RD-015] Shock environment in spacecraft from an early definition to a final verification, Kiryenko, Parquet, ESA/ESTEC, Dec. 2002
- [RD-016] Guide de specification d'essais et de conception d'équipements, H. Grzeskowiak, MBDA, Jan. 2003
- [RD-017] Aerospace Systems Pyrotechnic Shock Data (Ground Test and Flight), Martin Marietta Corporation, Mars 1970
- [RD-018] A Comparison of the Normal Tolerance Limit and Bootstrap Methods With Application to Spacecraft Acoustic Environments, William O. Hughes, July 2005
- [RD-019] Factors for One-Sided Tolerance Limits and for Variables Sampling Plans, Owen, D.B., Sandia Monograph SC-R-607, Sandia Corporation, 1963
- [RD-020] Pyroshock Test Criteria, NASA Technical Standard, NASA-STD-7003, May 1999
- [RD-021] Dynamic Environmental Criteria, NASA Technical Standard NASA-STD-7005, March 2001
- [RD-022] Harris' Shock and Vibration Handbook, CM Harris, AG Piersol, Fifth edition
- [RD-023] Pyroshock Test Criteria, NASA Technical Standard, NASA-STD-7003, May 1999
- [RD-024] Environmental Engineering considerations and laboratory tests, MIL Technical Standard, MIL-STD-810F, Jan. 2000
- [RD-025] Survey of pyroshock prediction methodology, D.L. Van Ert
- [RD-026] R&D Propagation de choc – Rapport final, Pichon, EADS-Astrium, July 2002
- [RD-027] Simulation de la transmission de chocs avec le logiciel PAMSHOCK, B. Laine, Alcatel Alenia Space, April 2002
- [RD-028] Pyroshock modelling using mechanical shock sources, J.B. Bernaudin, EADS-Astrium, Dec. 2002
- [RD-029] Shock propagation prediction in satellite structures, Pichon, EADS-Astrium, Dec. 2002
- [RD-030] Shock propagation simulation using FEM software, S. Mary, V. Cippola, CNES, May 2005
- [RD-031] Ariane 5 shock environment qualification for an earth observation satellite, E. Courau, May 2005
- [RD-032] W. Westphall, "Ausbreitung vor Körperschall in Gebäuden", Acustica, Vol 7, 1957, p.335-348
- [RD-033] Richard H. Lyon, Gideon Maidanik, "Power Flow between Linearly Coupled Oscillators", Acoust. Soc. Am. 34, 623 (1962)

- [RD-034] R. H. Lyon and Dejong, "Statistical Energy Analysis", Sd Edition 1995 Butterworth-Heinemann
- [RD-035] L. Gagliardini, L. Houillon, L. Petrinelli, G. Borello, "Virtual SEA: mid-frequency structure-borne noise modeling based on Finite Element Analysis", SAE - NVC 2003-01-1555, Traverse City, MI, USA
- [RD-036] N. Lalor, "The Experimental Determination of Vibrational Energy Balance in Complex Structures", Paper 108429 Proc. SIRA Conference on Stress & Vibration, 1989, London
- [RD-037] G. Borello, "Influence sur le niveau de bruit sous coiffe Ariane 4 de l'interaction satellite-cavité acoustique », Intespace report D090.152.EIA/GB, 20 Août 1990
- [RD-038] D. Lednik, «Prediction of high frequency vibrations induced by pyrotechnic shock sources of separation-Part1: transient power flow between two coupled oscillators», ESA report 89/26, March 1989
- [RD-039] G. Borello(InterAC), V. Cipolla (CNES Toulouse), "Prediction of high frequency shock response of a satellite using SEA" European Conference on Spacecraft Structures, Materials & Mechanical Testing – December 11–13, 2002 – Toulouse, France
- [RD-040] 01dB-METRAVIB, Shock attenuators, Application Note IVA 18
- [RD-041] R. J. Schaefer, "Chapter 33 – Mechanical properties of rubber"
- [RD-042] Société de Technologie Michelin, "Le pneu – L'adhérence", Janvier 2001
- [RD-043] A. Klembczyk (Taylor device, INC), "Presentation to European Space Agency", Taylor device, February 4, 2010
- [RD-044] Barry Control "Isolator Selection Guide"
- [RD-045] ECSS-E-ST-35C Rev 1 "Space engineering – Propulsion general requirements", 6 March 2009
- [RD-046] S. Mary (CNES), Shock Environment Qualification for Small Satellite, Shock workshop ESTEC, May 2008
- [RD-047] ESA/ESTEC shock bench presentation, Kiryenko, Piret, Kasper, European Conference on Spacecraft Structures, Material and Mechanical Testing, Noordwijk, 2005

2.3 References of Part 3

- [RD-048] Shock predictions for aerospace applications - return of experience from ESA programmes, S. Kiryenko, J. Kasper, Ngan, ESA/ESTEC, Oct 2005
- [RD-049] ECSS-E-ST-10-03C, Space engineering-Testing
- [RD-050] ECSS-E-ST-10-02C, Space engineering-Verification, 6 March 2009
- [RD-051] Test requirements for launch, upper stages and space vehicles, MIL Technical Standard, MIL-HDBK-340A, April 1999
- [RD-052] Environmental Engineering Considerations and Laboratory Tests, MIL Technical Standard, MIL-STD-810F, January 2000

- [RD-053] Pyroshock Test Criteria, NASA Technical Standard, NASA-STD-7003, May 1999
- [RD-054] Pyroshock Testing Techniques, Institute of Environmental Sciences and Technology, IEST-RP-DT032.1
- [RD-055] ESA/ESTEC shock bench presentation, S. Kiryenko, Kasper, ESA/ESTEC, May 2005
- [RD-056] Zeroshift of piezoelectric accelerometers in pyroshock measurements, Endevco Corporation
- [RD-057] Selecting Accelerometers for and Assessing Data from Mechanical Shock Measurements, PCB Piezotronics
- [RD-058] Selecting Accelerometers for Mechanical Shock Measurements, PCB Piezotronics
- [RD-059] Explosive, Gun & Impact Testing, PCB Piezotronics
- [RD-060] Brüel & Kjær product data
- [RD-061] Isotron and charge mode piezoelectric accelerometers pros and cons, Endevco
- [RD-062] Survivability and linearity of the high-survivability high-shock 60000g accelerometer, Endevco
- [RD-063] Problems in high-shock measurement, Endevco
- [RD-064] Steps to selecting the right accelerometer, Endevco
- [RD-065] An introduction to the shock response spectrum, T. Irvine, May 2002
- [RD-066] The shock response spectrum at low frequencies, D. O. Smallwood, Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, NM
- [RD-067] Digital calculation of shock response spectra from strong-motion earthquake records, Navin C. Nigam, Paul C. Jennings, California Institute Of Technology, June 1968
- [RD-068] The Scientist and Engineer's Guide to Digital Signal Processing, Second Edition, Steven W. Smith, California Technical Publishing, San Diego, California, 1999
- [RD-069] Time-Frequency Toolbox – For use with Matlab, François Auger, P. Flandrin, P. Gonçalves, O. Lemoine, CNRS France, 1996
- [RD-070] Les représentations temps-fréquence en traitement du signal, Sciences de l'ingénieur
- [RD-071] Analyses temps-fréquence linéaires et quadratiques, Sciences de l'ingénieur
- [RD-072] Shock qualification methods for equipment, J.B. Bernaudin, EADS-Astrium, May 2005
- [RD-073] Handbook for Dynamic Data Acquisition and Analysis, Himelblau, H., Piersol, A.G., Wise, J.H., and Grundvig, M.R., Institute of Environmental Sciences-Reference Publication-DTE012.1, Inst. Envir. Sc., Mt Prospect, IL, Mar. 1994.
- [RD-074] Pyroshock Data Acquisition and Analysis, A. G. Piersol, Piersol Engineering Company, Woodland Hills, California
- [RD-075] A shock amplifier evaluation, Anthony S. Chu Endevco Corporation, San Juan Capistrano, CA 92675

- [RD-076] The effects of digitizing rate and phase distortion errors on the shock response spectrum, James H. Wise, Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology
- [RD-077] Experimental contribution to the modelling of shock propagation induced by linear pyrotechnical source, C. Boutry, H. Grzeskowiak, P. Chabin
- [RD-078] Practical Measurement Analyze, 2.2 Reconstruction of the digitalized signal, C. Lalanne, TOME 6
- [RD-079] Techniques For The Normalization of Shock Data, S. Smith and W. Hollowell, 62nd Shock and Vibration Symposium, October 1991
- [RD-080] Shock Response Spectrum Synthesis via Wavelets, T. Irvine, www.vibrationdata.com
- [RD-081] Demonstration de la tenue aux environnements – Conception et realisation des essais en environnement – Part 3, AFNOR norm, AFNOR NF X 50144-3
- [RD-082] Shock Analysis Using The Pseudo Velocity Shock Spectrum, Part 1, Part 2, Part 3, H. Gaberson
- [RD-083] Pyro Shock mount investigation for Ariane 5 Components, E. Hornung (ERNO Deutsche Aerospace) et H.Ory (Aachen University – Germany) - 1992
- [RD-084] Pyrotechnic Shock Loads; Test evaluation, Equipment protection - E. Hornung (ERNO Deutsche Aerospace) et H.Ory (Aachen University – Germany)
- [RD-085] Modal Velocity as a criterion of Shock Severity – H. Gaberson et D. Chalmers
- [RD-086] Relation contrainte – vitesse et interet du SRC des pseudo vitesses, Christian Lalanne, XVIII symposium Vibrations Chocs et Bruit & ASTELAB, July 2012
- [RD-087] Relation between strain and velocity in resonant vibration - S. Crandall
- [RD-088] Stress and Strain limits on the attainable velocity in mechanical vibration, Hunt Journal of acoustics Society of America, 32,1123-1128 (1960)
- [RD-089] An introduction to the vibration response spectrum, T. Irvine, June 2000
- [RD-090] Flight Unit Qualification Guidelines, John W. Welch, June 2010
- [RD-091] Smallwood, David: "An Improved Recursive Formula for Calculating Shock Response Spectra" 51st Shock and Vibration Bulletin, 1980
- [RD-092] Kelly, R.D. and Richman, G., "Principals and Techniques of Shock Analysis", SVM-5, Sec. 6.7, Shock and Vibration Information Center. 1969

2.4 References of Part 4

- [RD-093] Shock predictions for aerospace applications - return of experience from ESA programmes, S. Kiryenko, J. Kasper, Ngan, ESA/ESTEC, Oct 2005
- [RD-094] Shock environment in spacecraft from an early definition to a final verification, S. Kiryenko, J.L. Parquet, ESA/ESTEC, Dec. 2002
- [RD-095] ESCC Generic Specification n°3602 Issue 3 – Relays, Electromagnetic, Latching

- [RD-096] ESCC Generic Specification n°3601 Issue 3 – Relays, Electromagnetic, Non-latching
- [RD-097] Shock Test Report for ENVISAT P/L Relays, Test N° 2229 doc n° YTO/REP/VIB/1070 issue 1 dated 13/8/1996 issued by ESA/ESTEC
- [RD-098] ENVISAT Relay Report Shock Test – Facility Data Report, Test n°3526” doc. N° MTT/REP/VIB/2299 ISSUE 1 DATED 15/01/2000
- [RD-099] Test requirements for launch, upper stages and space vehicles, MIL Technical Standard, MIL-HDBK-340A, April 1999
- [RD-0100] Equipment Design - Chapter 41 Harris and Crede Shock and Vibration Handbook – A. Piersol
- [RD-0101] MIL-STD-883E NOTICE 3 Method 2020.7 22 March 1989 Method 2020.7 “Particle Impact Noise Detection Test”
- [RD-0102] CARES (Ceramics Analysis and Reliability Evaluation of Structures) Theory Guide, September 13, 2007
- [RD-0103] Design Strength of Optical Glass, Keith B. Doyle, Mark A. Kahan
- [RD-0104] TIE-33: Design strength of optical glass and ZERODUR®, Technical Information, Oct 2004
- [RD-0105] ZERODUR® Glass Ceramics - Strength Data for the Design of Structures with High Mechanical Stresses, Peter Hartmann, Kurt Nattermann, Thorsten Döhring, Markus Kuhr, Peter Thomas, Guenther Kling, Peter Gath, Stefano Lucarelli
- [RD-0106] Pyrotechnic shock response predictions combining statistical energy analysis and local random phase reconstruction, Bodin E., Brévar B., Wagstaff P., Borello G., Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 112 (1), July 2002
- [RD-0107] Simulation des niveaux vibratoires générés par les chocs pyrotechniques en vue de prédire les dysfonctionnements électriques des équipements embarqués, D. Wattiaux, PhD dissertation, Faculté Polytechnique de Mons, Belgium, 2009.
- [RD-0108] Shock analysis philosophy for electronic units, B. Brévar, V. Cipolla, Proceedings of the European Conference on Spacecraft Structures, Materials & Mechanical Testing, ESTEC, NL, 2005
- [RD-0109] Comportement dynamique d’un équipement électronique soumis à des chocs mécaniques ou pyrotechniques, E. Bodin, PhD dissertation, Université de Technologie de Compiègne, 2001
- [RD-0110] Shock response predictions on electronic units, B. Brévar, E. Bodin, M. Blanquet, Proceedings of the European Conference on Spacecraft Structures, Materials & Mechanical Testing, Toulouse, France, 2002.
- [RD-0111] Prediction of an electronic equipment response to a pyrotechnic shock excitation, E. Bodin, B. Brévar, T. Youssefi, Launch Vehicle Vibration Proceedings, Toulouse, 14-16 December 1999.

- [RD-0112] Shock Analysis of the Sentinel-3 SLSTR Parabolic Mirror Assembly, B. Braun, D. Keil, D. European Conference on Spacecraft Structures Materials & Environmental Testing, 1-4 April 2014, Braunschweig, Germany.
- [RD-0113] Vibration Analysis for electronic equipment, 2nd EDITION, D. S. Steinberg, JOHN WILEY, 1988, vol. 1.
- [RD-0114] Elastohydrodynamic Lubrication, D. Dowson., & G.R. Higginson, Pub. Pergammon, 1977
- [RD-0115] Simplified solution for elliptical-contact deformation between two elastic solids, D.E. Brewe & B.J. Hamrock, Jnl. Of Lubrication Technology Trans ASME, 1977, Series F, Vol. 99 p 485.
- [RD-0116] ECSS-E-ST-32-10C, Space engineering – Structural factors of safety for spaceflight hardware
- [RD-0117] Effects of Axial Vibration on Ball Bearings & Draft Design Guidelines for Vibration-Induced Gapping, S.D. Lewis, ESA-ESTL-TM-0019
- [RD-0118] Test Method Standard, Electronic and Electrical Component Parts, MIL-STD-202G, 2002
- [RD-0119] ROARKS's Formulas for Stress and Strain, 6th edition, Chapter 13, Bodies under Direct Bearing and Shear Stress
- [RD-0120] ECSS-E-ST-33-01C, Space engineering – Mechanisms, 6 March 2009
- [RD-0121] ECSS-Q-ST-70-08C, Space product assurance - Manual soldering of high-reliability electrical connections, 6 March 2009
- [RD-0122] ECSS-Q-ST-70-38C, Space product assurance – High-reliability soldering for surface-mount and mixed technology, 31 July 2008