# TECHNICAL REPORT

# ISO/TR 6030

First edition 2022-07

# Smart community infrastructures – Disaster risk reduction – Survey results and gap analysis

struct Infrastructures urbaines intelligentes – Réduction des risques de





© ISO 2022

rtation, no part of 'including phore 'on either ! All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8 CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva Phone: +41 22 749 01 11 Email: copyright@iso.org Website: www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

Contents			Page
For	eword		iv
Intr	oductio	on	v
1	Scop	e	1
2	Norn	native references	1
3		ns and definitions	
_			
4	4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.5 4.6 4.7 4.8 4.9 4.10 4.11 4.12 4.13 4.14 4.15 4.16 4.17 4.18	c concept and purposes of disaster risk reduction General Disaster risk reduction planning Disaster research Safer infrastructure Human resource development Stockpiling Securing evacuation support Securing evacuation facilities Procurement and supply of goods Rescue, emergency and firefighting Medical activities Health (physical and mental) Voluntary support Epidemic prevention Securing transportation routes Securing communication means and lifelines Livelihood recovery Recovery planning Recovery action	3 4 4 4 4 5 5 5 5 5 6 6 6 6 6
	4.19 4.20	Recovery action	6
	4.21	Collection and disseminating disaster information	
5	Exist 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5 5.6 5.7	ting practices and documents relevant to disaster risk reduction  General  Literature review — Document search  Survey design  Specific examples of global initiatives  Issues landscape  Solution landscape  Common areas of function	
6	Gap analysis		
	6.1 6.2	General Gap analysis types Gap analysis by community infrastructure functions Gap analysis by hazard types and infrastructure types Possible areas for action by standardization bodies	22 22 27
Α.			
	risk	nformative) Examples of global smart community infrastructures for discreduction	33
RIDI	nograph	ny	40

# Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see <a href="www.iso.org/directives">www.iso.org/directives</a>).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see <a href="https://www.iso.org/patents">www.iso.org/patents</a>).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT), see <a href="https://www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html">www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html</a>.

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 268, *Sustainable cities and communities*, Subcommittee SC 1, *Smart community infrastructures*.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at <a href="https://www.iso.org/members.html">www.iso.org/members.html</a>.

# Introduction

Over the last decade, global communities have made great progress towards reducing disaster risk through strengthening resilience against natural hazards. However, in addition to geological hazards, ongoing climate changes can exacerbate existing hydrometeorological hazard risks by increasing the frequency and intensity of these hazards, in either unprecedented combinations and/or unexpected locations. As a result, more communities and assets can be exposed to these hazards, leading to greater damage by disasters.

In order to protect communities against natural hazard risks, infrastructures can play a key role in strengthening resilience. Critical infrastructures that communities rely on, such as energy, information and communication technologies (ICT), transportation, waste and water, and other infrastructures affect vital community functions such as livelihoods, medical activities, financial services. This results in an increasing cost of disasters for all sectors of the community whether it is governments, businesses, and individuals. These costs include not only direct costs but also indirect ones such as costs from flow-on effects from disasters. Through the implementation of infrastructure that can strengthen resilience, communities can recover from the impacts of disasters quickly and effectively.

The demand for smart community infrastructures, as scalable and integrable products, will continue to grow in the decades ahead. However, it is imperative that such infrastructures can also be designed in a way that reduces disaster risk and strengthens disaster resilience. Through an analysis of existing documents on smart community infrastructure for disaster risk reduction and a survey of global examples, this document is intended to identify existing gaps in the implementation of smart community infrastructure for disaster risk reduction, and to identify topics for potential areas in the standardization of smart community infrastructures for disaster risk reduction. Through the accumulation of global best practices, this document identifies areas for potential standardization, which includes but is not limited to, the strengthening of disaster risk reduction technologies utilized in critical infrastructures such as energy, waste and water, transportation, ICT, and the built environment. This document seeks to provide the foundation for future standardization deliverables which promote the interoperability of disaster risk reduction technologies globally. 3 8

This document is a previous general ded by tills

# Smart community infrastructures – Disaster risk reduction – Survey results and gap analysis

# 1 Scope

This document identifies existing global smart community infrastructures that enhance disaster risk reduction, the key purposes served by these global examples, gaps in coverage, and the need for standardization activities, which establishes the basis for the next steps for standardization.

This document is intended to be a basis for the future standardization of smart community infrastructures for disaster risk reduction through the identification of areas for potential standardization. This includes, but is not limited to, infrastructures related to energy, waste and water, transportation, information and communication technologies (ICT), and the general built environment.

It does not address specifications or requirements already covered by other relevant international standards.

This document primarily addresses disasters caused by natural hazards, such as geological and hydrometeorological hazards, and does not focus on human-induced disasters such as terrorism or biological hazards such as pandemics.

## 2 Normative references

There are no normative references in this document.

## 3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminology databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- ISO Online browsing platform: available at <a href="https://www.iso.org/obp">https://www.iso.org/obp</a>
- IEC Electropedia: available at <a href="https://www.electropedia.org/">https://www.electropedia.org/</a>

### 3.1

#### community

group of people with an arrangement of responsibilities, activities and relationships

Note 1 to entry: In many, but not all, contexts, a community has a defined geographical boundary.

Note 2 to entry: A city is a type of community.

[SOURCE: ISO 37120:2018, 3.3]

#### 3.2

#### community infrastructure

systems of facilities, equipment and services that support the operations and activities of communities

Note 1 to entry: Such community infrastructures include, but are not limited to, energy, water, transportation, waste and information and communication technologies (ICT).

[SOURCE: ISO 37100:2016, 3.6.1]