# **TECHNICAL REPORT** RAPPORT TECHNIQUE **TECHNISCHER REPORT**

# CLC/TR 50658

September 2022

ICS 13.220.50; 29.060.20; 91.140.50

**English Version** 

## Cable management systems (CMS) providing support for cables with intrinsic fire resistance

Systèmes de câblage servant à soutenir les câbles à résistance intrinsèque au feu

Führungssysteme für Kabel und Leitungen (CMS) zur Verlegung von Kabeln mit intrinsischem Feuerwiderstand

This Technical Report was approved by CENELEC on 2022-09-12.

CENELEC members are the national electrotechnical committees of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, the Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, the Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Republic of North Macedonia, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovania, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Türkiye and the United Kingdom.



European Committee for Electrotechnical Standardization Comité Européen de Normalisation Electrotechnique Europäisches Komitee für Elektrotechnische Normung

CEN-CENELEC Management Centre: Rue de la Science 23, B-1040 Brussels

© 2022 CENELEC All rights of exploitation in any form and by any means reserved worldwide for CENELEC Members.

## **Contents**

### Page

| European foreword  | 5  |
|--|----|
| Introduction   | 6  |
| 1 Scope  | 7  |
| 2 Normative references   | 7  |
| 3 Terms and definitions  | 7  |
| 4 Fire resistance classification   | 10 |
| 5 Documentation  | 10 |
| 6 Rules for test   | 11 |
| 6.1 Common rules   | 11 |
| 6.2 CMS-support specific rules   |    |
| 7 Test Report  | 41 |
| Annex A (normative) Extended application of test results to other assemblies   | 43 |
| Annex B (informative) Extended application of test results to other assemblies |    |
| Bibliography   | 49 |
| a oreview ornered  |    |
| 2  |    |

## Figures

| Figure 1 — Typical circuit arrangement   | . 13 |
|--|------|
| Figure 2 — Positioning of thermocouples  | . 13 |
| Figure 3 — Test arrangement for cable tray system, mesh cable tray system and cable ladder system  | . 15 |
| Figure 4 — Trapeze system  | 16   |
| Figure 5 — Cantilever system with additional support at or near the end of the bracket   | 16   |
| Figure 6 — Cantilever system without additional support and location of the pendants   | 17   |
| Figure 7 — Exit out of the furnace wall showing additional gaps and the location of mineral wool for trapeze system (Figure 4) and cantilever system with additional support at or near the bracket (Figure 5) | . 17 |
| Figure 8 — Exit out of the furnace wall showing additional gaps and the location of mineral wool for cantilever system without additional support (Figure 6)   |      |
| Figure 9 — Point loads   | 18   |
| Figure 10 — Test arrangement for cable trunking system ceiling mounted   | . 22 |
| Figure 11 — Examples for arrangements of installations from the ceiling  | . 22 |
| Figure 12 — Test arrangement for cable trunking system wall mounted  | 23   |
| Figure 13 — Examples for arrangements of installations on the wall   | 23   |
| Figure 14 — Test arrangement for conduit system and cable ducting system ceiling mounted   | . 27 |
| Figure 15 — Examples for arrangements of installations from the ceiling  | . 27 |
| Figure 16 — Test arrangement for cable ducting system and conduit system wall mounted  | . 28 |
| Figure 17 — Examples for arrangements of installations on the wall   | 28   |
| Figure 18 — Test arrangement for cable cleats below a ceiling  | . 31 |
| Figure 19 — Typical arrangement for loading a ceiling mounted cleat with mandrels  | 32   |
| Figure 20 — Test arrangement for cable cleats designed for use with cable tray lengths, mesh cable tray lengths, cable ladder lengths and cable trunking lengths below a ceiling                               |      |
| Figure 21 — Typical arrangements for loading a ceiling mounted cable cleat designed for use with cable tray lengths, mesh cable tray lengths, cable ladder lengths and cable trunking lengths                  | . 34 |
| Figure 22 — Test arrangement for cable cleats on a wall  | 35   |
| Figure 23 — Typical arrangement for loading a wall mounted cleat with mandrels   | 36   |
| Figure 24 — Test arrangement for cable cleats designed for use with cable tray length, mesh cable tray length, cable ladder length and cable trunking length on a wall   | . 37 |
| Figure 25 — Typical arrangements for a wall mounted cable cleat designed for use with cable tray lengths, mesh cable tray lengths, cable ladder lengths and cable trunking lengths                             | . 38 |
| Figure 26 — Horizontal or inclined ceilings  | 40   |
| Figure 27 – Horizontally running fire resistant installations on vertical or inclined wall   | 40   |
| Figure 28 – Vertically running fire resistant installations on vertical or inclined walls  | 41   |
| Figure A.1 — Examples A to G for assemblies of cable tray system, mesh cable tray system and cable ladder system supported by pendant and bracket  | . 43 |
| Figure A.2 — Examples A to G for assemblies of cable trunking system supported by pendant only .   | 44   |
| Figure A.3 — Examples A to G for assemblies of cable trunking system supported by pendant and bracket  | 44   |

| Figure A.4 — Examples A to G for assemblies of cable ducting system and conduit system supported by pendant only   |   |
|--|---|
| Figure A.5 — Examples A to G for assemblies of cable ducting system and conduit system supported by pendant and bracket  |   |
| Figure B.1 — Examples A to G for assemblies of cable tray system, mesh cable tray system and cable ladder system supported by pendant, bracket, and additional support | 6 |

## Tables

## Page

| 0  |        |
|--|--------|
| Tables   | Page   |
| Table 1 — Fire resistance classifications for CMS providing support                            | 10     |
| Table 2 — Minimum test duration  | 11     |
| Table 3 — Minimum number of point loads per span   | 19     |
| Table 4 — Limiting stress values   | 20     |
| Table 5 — Extended applications of test arrangements according to Figures 11 and 13            | 25     |
| Table A.1 — Results for extending the test results to other assemblies according to examples i |        |
| Figures A.1 to A.5   | 45     |
| Provide and  | 2<br>S |
| 4  |        |

## **European foreword**

This document (CLC/TR 50658:2022) has been prepared by CLC/TC 213 "Cable management systems".

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. CENELEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

Any feedback and questions on this document should be directed to the users' national committee. A complete listing of these bodies can be found on the CENELEC website.

## Introduction

The purpose of the test detailed in this document is to evaluate the ability of a Cable Management System (CMS) to support cables with intrinsic fire resistance enabling them to maintain their function for a specified time period whilst exposed to fire. The test is conducted under conditions of a standard time/temperature curve when installed in a standardised representative condition.

This document for cable management products is used for electrotechnical purposes. It relates to the Council Directives on the approximation of laws, regulations and administrative provisions of the Member States relating to Low Voltage Directive 2014/35/EU through consideration of the essential requirements of this Directive.

This document is supported by separate standards to which references are made.

## 1 Scope

This document specifies test methods for cable management systems intended (CMS) to provide support for intrinsic fire-resistant cables in order to determine their abilities to maintain the function of electrical power cables and signal/control cables for a specified duration when subjected to fire under defined conditions.

This document establishes a non-hierarchical classification for this ability.

Additional devices to fix the cable management systems providing fire resistant support (CMS-support) to the building structure for example screws, anchors etc. are not covered by this document.

CMS intended to provide support and fire protection for cables are tested according to EN 1366-11.

This document does not apply to powertrack systems.

NOTE Rules for testing CMS-support for fibre optic cables and communication cables are under consideration.

## 2 Normative references

There are no normative references in this document.

## 3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminological databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- ISO Online browsing platform: available at <u>https://www.iso.org/obp</u>
- IEC Electropedia: available at <u>https://www.electropedia.org/</u>

#### 3.1

## cable management system

#### (CMS)

assembly including different system components intended for the accommodation of insulated conductors, cables and other electrical equipment in electrical and/or communication systems

Note 1 to entry: Examples of CMS or CMS products are cable tray systems, mesh cable tray systems, cable ladder systems, cable trunking systems, conduit systems, cable ducting systems, cable cleats, cable ties.

#### 3.2

# cable management systems providing fire resistant support (CMS-Support)

cable management system providing support for cables with intrinsic fire resistance to maintain their function for a specified time period under defined test conditions

Note 1 to entry: Support of the cables may be provided for example by suitable cable tray systems, mesh cable tray systems, cable ladder systems, cable trunking systems, conduit systems, cable ducting systems, cable cleats, cable ties.

#### 3.3

#### product type

group of system components of similar design with limited variations

Note 1 to entry: Cable tray lengths and fittings, mesh cable tray lengths and fittings and cable ladder lengths and fittings - variation in width and/or variation in perforation of the base area of the tested product but not more than  $\pm$  5 % (e.g., if the tested product has a perforation of 15 % the group has to be between 10 % and 20 %).

Note 2 to entry: Cable trunking lengths and fittings - variation in width.