

INTERNATIONAL STANDARD



Determination of RF field strength, power density and SAR in the vicinity of base stations for the purpose of evaluating human exposure



THIS PUBLICATION IS COPYRIGHT PROTECTED

Copyright © 2022 IEC, Geneva, Switzerland

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm, without permission in writing from either IEC or IEC's member National Committee in the country of the requester. If you have any questions about IEC copyright or have an enquiry about obtaining additional rights to this publication, please contact the address below or your local IEC member National Committee for further information.

IEC Secretariat
3, rue de Varembe
CH-1211 Geneva 20
Switzerland

Tel.: +41 22 919 02 11
info@iec.ch
www.iec.ch

About the IEC

The International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) is the leading global organization that prepares and publishes International Standards for all electrical, electronic and related technologies.

About IEC publications

The technical content of IEC publications is kept under constant review by the IEC. Please make sure that you have the latest edition, a corrigendum or an amendment might have been published.

IEC publications search - webstore.iec.ch/advsearchform

The advanced search enables to find IEC publications by a variety of criteria (reference number, text, technical committee, ...). It also gives information on projects, replaced and withdrawn publications.

IEC Just Published - webstore.iec.ch/justpublished

Stay up to date on all new IEC publications. Just Published details all new publications released. Available online and once a month by email.

IEC Customer Service Centre - webstore.iec.ch/csc

If you wish to give us your feedback on this publication or need further assistance, please contact the Customer Service Centre: sales@iec.ch.

IEC Products & Services Portal - products.iec.ch

Discover our powerful search engine and read freely all the publications previews. With a subscription you will always have access to up to date content tailored to your needs.

Electropedia - www.electropedia.org

The world's leading online dictionary on electrotechnology, containing more than 22 300 terminological entries in English and French, with equivalent terms in 19 additional languages. Also known as the International Electrotechnical Vocabulary (IEV) online.

preview generated by EVS

INTERNATIONAL STANDARD



**Determination of RF field strength, power density and SAR in the vicinity of
base stations for the purpose of evaluating human exposure**

INTERNATIONAL
ELECTROTECHNICAL
COMMISSION

ICS 13.280; 17.240

ISBN 978-2-8322-5778-4

Warning! Make sure that you obtained this publication from an authorized distributor.

CONTENTS

FOREWORD.....	16
INTRODUCTION.....	18
1 Scope.....	19
2 Normative references	20
3 Terms and definitions	21
4 Symbols and abbreviated terms.....	36
4.1 Physical quantities	36
4.2 Constants	36
4.3 Abbreviated terms.....	36
5 How to use this document.....	39
5.1 Quick start guide.....	39
5.2 RF evaluation purpose categories.....	42
5.3 Implementation case studies.....	42
6 Evaluation processes for product compliance, product installation compliance and in-situ RF exposure assessments	42
6.1 Evaluation process for product compliance	42
6.1.1 General	42
6.1.2 Establishing compliance boundaries	42
6.1.3 Iso-surface compliance boundary definition	43
6.1.4 Simple compliance boundaries	43
6.1.5 Methods for establishing the compliance boundary	45
6.1.6 Uncertainty	49
6.1.7 Reporting for product compliance	49
6.2 Evaluation process used for product installation compliance	50
6.2.1 General	50
6.2.2 General evaluation procedure for product installations.....	50
6.2.3 Product installation compliance based on the actual maximum transmitted power or EIRP	52
6.2.4 Product installation data collection.....	55
6.2.5 Simplified product installation evaluation process	56
6.2.6 Assessment area selection	59
6.2.7 Measurements.....	60
6.2.8 Computations	62
6.2.9 Uncertainty	62
6.2.10 Reporting for product installation compliance.....	63
6.3 In-situ RF exposure evaluation or assessment process	64
6.3.1 General	64
6.3.2 In-situ measurement process.....	64
6.3.3 Site analysis	65
6.3.4 Case A evaluation	66
6.3.5 Case B evaluation	66
6.3.6 Uncertainty	67
6.3.7 Reporting.....	67
6.4 Averaging procedures	67
6.4.1 Spatial averaging.....	67
6.4.2 Time averaging.....	68
7 Determining the evaluation method.....	68

7.1	Overview.....	68
7.2	Process to determine the evaluation method.....	68
7.2.1	General	68
7.2.2	Establishing the evaluation points in relation to the source-environment plane	69
7.2.3	Exposure metric selection.....	70
8	Evaluation methods	71
8.1	General.....	71
8.2	Measurement methods.....	72
8.2.1	General	72
8.2.2	RF field strength and power density measurements	72
8.2.3	SAR measurements	73
8.3	Computation methods	74
8.4	Methods for assessment based on actual maximum approach	76
8.4.1	General requirements	76
8.4.2	Actual transmitted power or EIRP monitoring	76
8.4.3	Actual transmitted power or EIRP control.....	77
8.5	Methods for the assessment of RF exposure to multiple sources	78
8.6	Methods for establishing the BS transmitted power or EIRP.....	79
9	Uncertainty	80
10	Reporting.....	80
10.1	General requirements	80
10.2	Report format.....	81
10.3	Opinions and interpretations	82
Annex A (informative)	Source-environment plane and guidance on the evaluation method selection.....	83
A.1	Guidance on the source-environment plane	83
A.1.1	General	83
A.1.2	Source-environment plane example	83
A.1.3	Source regions	84
A.2	Select between computation or measurement approaches	90
A.3	Select measurement method.....	91
A.3.1	Selection stages	91
A.3.2	Selecting between RF field strength, power density and SAR measurement approaches.....	91
A.3.3	Selecting between broadband and frequency selective measurement	92
A.3.4	Selecting RF field strength measurement procedures	93
A.4	Select computation method	93
A.5	Additional considerations	95
A.5.1	Simplicity	95
A.5.2	Evaluation method ranking	95
A.5.3	Applying multiple methods for RF exposure evaluation	95
Annex B (normative)	Evaluation methods.....	96
B.1	Overview.....	96
B.2	General.....	96
B.2.1	Coordinate systems and reference points	96
B.2.2	Variables	97
B.3	RF exposure evaluation principles	98
B.3.1	Simple calculation of RF field strength and power density.....	98

B.3.2	Measurement of RF field strength and power density.....	102
B.3.3	Spatial averaging.....	104
B.3.4	Time averaging.....	107
B.3.5	Comparing measured and computed values.....	109
B.3.6	Personal RF monitors	109
B.4	RF field strength and power density measurements	109
B.4.1	Applicability of RF field strength and power density measurements	109
B.4.2	In-situ RF exposure measurements	109
B.4.3	Laboratory based RF field strength and power density measurements	121
B.4.4	RF field strength and power density measurement uncertainty.....	131
B.5	SAR measurements	136
B.5.1	Overview of SAR measurements.....	136
B.5.2	SAR measurement requirements	136
B.5.3	SAR measurement description.....	138
B.5.4	SAR measurement uncertainty.....	143
B.6	Basic computation methods	146
B.6.1	General	146
B.6.2	Basic computation formulas for RF field strength or power density evaluation.....	146
B.6.3	Basic whole-body SAR and peak spatial-average SAR evaluation formulas	153
B.6.4	Basic compliance boundary assessment method for BS using parabolic dish antennas	160
B.6.5	Basic compliance boundary assessment method for intentionally radiating cables	163
B.7	Advanced computation methods.....	164
B.7.1	General	164
B.7.2	Synthetic model and ray tracing algorithms.....	164
B.7.3	Full wave RF exposure computation	171
B.7.4	Full wave SAR computation	180
B.8	Extrapolation from the evaluated values to the maximum or actual values	185
B.8.1	Extrapolation method.....	185
B.8.2	Extrapolation to maximum in-situ RF field strength or power density using broadband measurements	187
B.8.3	Extrapolation to maximum in-situ RF field strength / power density using frequency or code selective measurements	187
B.8.4	Influence of traffic in real operating network	188
B.8.5	Extrapolation for massive MIMO and beamforming BS.....	189
B.8.6	Maximum exposure extrapolation with dynamic spectrum sharing (DSS)	191
B.9	Guidance for implementing the actual maximum approach	192
B.9.1	BS actual EIRP evaluation assumptions	192
B.9.2	Technology duty-cycle factor description	193
B.9.3	CDF evaluation using modelling studies	195
B.9.4	CDF evaluation using measurement studies on operational BS sites	196
B.9.5	Actual transmitted power or EIRP monitoring counters.....	198
B.9.6	Configurations with multiple transmitters.....	198
B.10	Transmitted power or EIRP evaluation	200
B.10.1	General	200
B.10.2	Measurement of the transmitted power in conducted mode.....	200
B.10.3	Measurement of the transmitted power in OTA conditions.....	201

B.10.4	Measurement of the EIRP in OTA and laboratory conditions	201
B.10.5	Measurement of the EIRP in OTA and in-situ conditions	202
Annex C (informative)	Guidelines for the validation of power or EIRP control features and monitoring counter(s) related to the actual maximum approach	203
C.1	Overview.....	203
C.2	Guidelines for validating control feature(s) and monitoring counters.....	203
C.3	Validation of power or EIRP monitoring counter in laboratory conditions	204
C.3.1	Validation of power or EIRP monitoring counter in conducted mode – test procedure	204
C.3.2	Validation of power or EIRP monitoring counter in OTA mode – test procedure	206
C.3.3	Validation of control feature(s) in laboratory conditions.....	209
C.3.4	Validation of control features using in-situ measurements.....	212
C.4	Validation test report.....	214
C.5	Case studies	215
C.5.1	Case study A – In-situ validation.....	215
C.5.2	Case study B – In-situ validation.....	219
C.5.3	Case study C – In-situ validation	222
Annex D (informative)	Rationale supporting simplified product installation criteria.....	227
D.1	General.....	227
D.2	Class E2	227
D.3	Class E10	228
D.4	Class E100	229
D.5	Class E+	231
D.6	Simplified formulas for millimetre-wave antennas using massive MIMO or beam steering	232
Annex E (informative)	Technology-specific exposure evaluation guidance	234
E.1	Overview to guidance on specific technologies	234
E.2	Summary of technology-specific information	234
E.3	Guidance on spectrum analyser settings.....	235
E.3.1	Overview of spectrum analyser settings.....	235
E.3.2	Detection algorithms	236
E.3.3	Resolution bandwidth and channel power processing	236
E.3.4	Integration per service	239
E.4	Stable transmitted power signals	239
E.4.1	TDMA/FDMA technology.....	239
E.4.2	WCDMA/UMTS technology	240
E.4.3	OFDM technology	241
E.5	WCDMA measurement and calibration using a code domain analyser.....	241
E.5.1	WCDMA measurements – General.....	241
E.5.2	WCDMA decoder characteristics.....	241
E.5.3	Calibration	242
E.6	Wi-Fi measurements	244
E.6.1	General	244
E.6.2	Integration time for reproducible measurements	245
E.6.3	Channel occupation	245
E.6.4	Some considerations	246
E.6.5	Measurement configuration and steps.....	246
E.6.6	Influence of the application layers.....	247

E.6.7	Power control	247
E.7	LTE measurements	248
E.7.1	Overview	248
E.7.2	LTE transmission modes.....	248
E.7.3	LTE-FDD frame structure.....	249
E.7.4	LTE-TDD frame structure.....	250
E.7.5	Maximum LTE exposure evaluation	252
E.7.6	Instantaneous LTE exposure evaluation	257
E.7.7	MIMO multiplexing of LTE BS	258
E.8	NR BS measurements.....	258
E.8.1	General	258
E.8.2	Maximum NR exposure evaluation.....	258
E.9	Establishing compliance boundaries using numerical simulations of MIMO array antennas emitting correlated waveforms	268
E.9.1	General	268
E.9.2	Field combining near base stations for correlated exposure with the purpose of establishing compliance boundaries	268
E.9.3	Numerical simulations of MIMO array antennas with densely packed columns.....	269
E.9.4	Numerical simulations of large MIMO array antennas	270
E.10	Massive MIMO antennas.....	270
E.10.1	Overview	270
E.10.2	Deterministic conservative approach	270
E.10.3	Statistical conservative approach.....	270
E.10.4	Example approaches	271
Annex F (informative)	Guidelines for the assessment of BS compliance with ICNIRP- 2020 brief exposure limits	288
F.1	General.....	288
F.2	Brief exposure limits	288
F.3	Implications of brief exposure limits on signal modulation and TDD duty cycle	290
F.4	Implications of brief exposure limits on the actual maximum approach	290
Annex G (informative)	Uncertainty	294
G.1	Background.....	294
G.2	Requirement to estimate uncertainty	294
G.3	How to estimate uncertainty	295
G.4	Guidance on uncertainty and assessment schemes	295
G.4.1	General	295
G.4.2	Overview of assessment schemes	295
G.4.3	Examples of assessment schemes	296
G.4.4	Assessment schemes and compliance probabilities	299
G.5	Guidance on uncertainty	301
G.5.1	Overview	301
G.5.2	Measurement uncertainty and confidence levels	302
G.6	Applying uncertainty for compliance assessments.....	303
G.7	Example influence quantities for field measurements	304
G.7.1	General	304
G.7.2	Calibration uncertainty of measurement antenna or field probe.....	304
G.7.3	Frequency response of the measurement antenna or field probe	304
G.7.4	Isotropy of the measurement antenna or field probe	306

G.7.5	Frequency response of the spectrum analyser	306
G.7.6	Temperature response of a broadband field probe	306
G.7.7	Linearity deviation of a broadband field probe	307
G.7.8	Mismatch uncertainty	307
G.7.9	Deviation of the experimental source from numerical source	307
G.7.10	Meter fluctuation uncertainty for time-varying signals	307
G.7.11	Uncertainty due to power variation in the RF source	308
G.7.12	Uncertainty due to field gradients	308
G.7.13	Mutual coupling between measurement antenna or isotropic probe and object	309
G.7.14	Uncertainty due to field scattering from the surveyor's body	310
G.7.15	Measurement device	312
G.7.16	Fields out of measurement range	312
G.7.17	Noise	313
G.7.18	Integration time	313
G.7.19	Power chain	313
G.7.20	Positioning system	313
G.7.21	Matching between probe and the EUT	313
G.7.22	Drifts in output power of the EUT, probe, temperature, and humidity	313
G.7.23	Perturbation by the environment	313
G.8	Example influence quantities for RF field strength computations by ray tracing or full wave methods	314
G.8.1	General	314
G.8.2	System	314
G.8.3	Technique uncertainties	315
G.8.4	Environmental uncertainties	315
G.9	Influence quantities for SAR measurements	316
G.9.1	General	316
G.9.2	Post-processing	316
G.9.3	EUT holder	316
G.9.4	EUT positioning	317
G.9.5	Phantom shell uncertainty	318
G.9.6	SAR correction depending on target liquid permittivity and conductivity	318
G.9.7	Liquid permittivity and conductivity measurements	319
G.9.8	Liquid temperature	319
G.10	Influence quantities for SAR calculations	319
G.11	Spatial averaging	319
G.11.1	General	319
G.11.2	Small-scale fading variations	320
G.11.3	Error on the estimation of local average power density	321
G.11.4	Characterization of environment statistical properties	322
G.11.5	Characterization of different spatial averaging schemes	322
G.12	Influence of human body on measurements of the electric RF field strength	327
G.12.1	Simulations of the influence of human body on measurements based on the method of moments (surface equivalence principle)	327
G.12.2	Comparison with measurements	329
G.12.3	Conclusions	330
Annex H (informative)	Guidance on comparing evaluated parameters with a limit value	331
H.1	Overview	331

H.2	Information recommended to compare evaluated value against limit value	331
H.3	Performing a limit comparison at a given confidence level.....	331
H.4	Performing a limit comparison using a process-based assessment scheme	332
Bibliography.....		333
Figure 1	– Quick start guide to the evaluation process	40
Figure 2	– Example of iso-surface compliance boundary	43
Figure 3	– Example of cylindrical and half-pipe compliance boundaries	44
Figure 4	– Example of box shaped compliance boundary	45
Figure 5	– Example of truncated box shaped compliance boundary	45
Figure 6	– Example illustrating the linear scaling procedure.....	46
Figure 7	– Example of massive MIMO antenna and corresponding beams and envelope patterns	48
Figure 8	– Example of compliance boundary shape for BS antennas with beam steering	48
Figure 9	– Example of dish antenna compliance boundary	49
Figure 10	– Flowchart describing the product installation evaluation process	51
Figure 11	– Example of a CDF curve representing the normalized actual transmitted power or EIRP	53
Figure 12	– Flow chart for product installation compliance based on the actual maximum transmitted power or EIRP threshold(s).....	55
Figure 13	– Simplified compliance assessment process using installation classes	56
Figure 14	– Example of DI within a square-shaped assessment domain boundary (ADB) with dimension L_{ADB}	60
Figure 15	– In-situ RF exposure evaluation or assessment process flow chart	65
Figure 16	– Source-environment plane concept	69
Figure 17	– Flow chart of the measurement methods	72
Figure 18	– Flow chart of the relevant computation methods.....	75
Figure 19	– Example of segments used for monitoring and control of BS using mMIMO or beam steering.....	77
Figure A.1	– Example source-environment plane regions near a base station antenna on a tower.....	83
Figure A.2	– Example source-environment plane regions near a roof-top antenna that has a narrow vertical (elevation plane) beamwidth (not to scale).....	84
Figure A.3	– Geometry of an antenna with largest linear dimension L_{eff} and largest end dimension L_{end}	85
Figure A.4	– Maximum path difference for an antenna with largest linear dimension L	89
Figure B.1	– Cartesian, cylindrical and spherical coordinate systems relative to the BS antenna (view from the rear panel)	97
Figure B.2	– Typical RF exposure assessment case	99
Figure B.3	– Reflection due to the presence of a ground plane	100
Figure B.4	– Reflections due to the presence of internal walls of the housing and surrounding asphalt and soil configuring a base station installed underground.....	101
Figure B.5	– General representation of RF field strength or power density measurements	102
Figure B.6	– Practical examples of measurement equipment installation.....	103

Figure B.7 – Spatial averaging schemes relative to walking or standing surface and in the vertical plane oriented to offer maximum area in the direction of the source being evaluated	105
Figure B.8 – Spatial averaging relative to spatial-peak field strength point height	107
Figure B.9 – Evaluation locations	119
Figure B.10 – Relationship of separation of remote radio source and evaluation area to separation of evaluation points	120
Figure B.11 – Outline of the surface scanning methodology	123
Figure B.12 – Block diagram of the antenna measurement system	124
Figure B.13 – Minimum radius constraint, where a denotes the minimum radius of a sphere, centred at the reference point, that encompasses the EUT	125
Figure B.14 – Maximum angular sampling spacing constraint	125
Figure B.15 – Outline of the volume/surface scanning methodology	128
Figure B.16 – Block diagram of typical near-field EUT measurement system	129
Figure B.17 – Examples of positioning of the EUT relative to the relevant phantom	136
Figure B.18 – Phantom liquid volume and measurement volume used for whole-body SAR measurements with the box-shaped phantoms	143
Figure B.19 – Reference frame employed for cylindrical formulas for RF field strength computation at a point P (left), and on a line perpendicular to boresight (right)	147
Figure B.20 – Views illustrating the three valid zones for field strength computation around an antenna	149
Figure B.21 – Enclosed cylinder around collinear array antennas, with and without electrical downtilt	150
Figure B.22 – Spherical formulas reference results	153
Figure B.23 – Cylindrical formulas reference results	153
Figure B.24 – Directions for which SAR estimation expressions are provided	154
Figure B.25 – Description of SAR estimation formulas physical parameters	155
Figure B.26 – Flow chart for the simplified assessment of RF compliance boundary in the line of sight of a parabolic dish antenna	162
Figure B.27 – Radiating cable geometry	163
Figure B.28 – Synthetic model and ray tracing algorithms geometry and parameters	167
Figure B.29 – Line 4 far-field positions for synthetic model and ray tracing validation example	169
Figure B.30 – Antenna parameters for synthetic model and ray tracing algorithms validation example	170
Figure B.31 – Generic 900 MHz BS antenna with nine dipole radiators	177
Figure B.32 – Line 1, 2 and 3 near-field positions for full wave and ray tracing validation	178
Figure B.33 – Generic 1 800 MHz BS antenna with five slot radiators	179
Figure B.34 – BS antenna placed in front of a multi-layered lossy cylinder	185
Figure B.35 – Time variation over 24 h of the exposure induced by NR, GSM and FM, each normalized to the mean value	189
Figure B.36 – Generic structure of a base station transmitted RF signal frame	194
Figure B.37 – Example of setup for the direct power level measurement for BS equipped with direct access conducted output ports	201
Figure C.1 – Example of a laboratory test setup for validation of an actual power control feature intended for use with a 5G BS	210

Figure C.2 – Example of a test setup for validation of an actual power control feature implemented in a 5G BS	213
Figure C.3 – Ground based in-situ validation setup	216
Figure C.4 – In-situ validation measurement setup near the general public compliance boundary in front of the 5G massive MIMO antenna (bore sight position)	217
Figure C.5 – Comparison between measured time-averaged EMF and power control feature (5G counter data) for the ground-based measurements	218
Figure C.6 – Measured exposure adaptation in time expressed as a percentage of ICNIRP limits [1], [2] for the measurements near the general public compliance boundary	218
Figure C.7 – Overview of the measurement site	220
Figure C.8 – Ground view of the validation site and measurement setup, located 60 m from the 5G BS, in the line of sight	221
Figure C.9 – Power transmitted by the massive MIMO antenna (top trace), channel power (ChP) measurements (middle trace) and transmitted resource blocks (RBs) (bottom trace)	221
Figure C.10 – Overview of the test platform	223
Figure C.11 – Example of synthetic model simulation of the test area	223
Figure C.12 – Examples of traffic load profiles	223
Figure C.13 – Example of testing in different segments in the test area	224
Figure C.14 – Results of the monitoring validation and baseline test in phase 1	225
Figure C.15 – Example of power density measurements and power density derived from counters	225
Figure C.16 – Measured power density and power density derived from counters	226
Figure C.17 – Comparisons of both counters and measurements	226
Figure D.1 – Measured ER as a function of distance for a BS ($G = 5$ dBi, $f = 2\ 100$ MHz) transmitting with an EIRP of 2 W (installation class E2) and 10 W (installation class E10)	227
Figure D.2 – Minimum installation height as a function of transmitting power corresponding to installation class E10	228
Figure D.3 – Compliance distance in the main lobe as a function of EIRP established in accordance with the far-field formula corresponding to installation class E100	229
Figure D.4 – Minimum installation height as a function of transmitting power corresponding to installation class E100	230
Figure D.5 – Averaged power density at ground level for various installation configurations of equipment with 100 W EIRP (installation class E100)	231
Figure D.6 – Compliance distance in the main lobe CD_m as a function of EIRP established in accordance with the far-field formula corresponding to installation class E+	232
Figure D.7 – Minimum installation height h_m as a function of EIRP corresponding to installation class E+	232
Figure D.8 – Power density distribution in watts per square metre in a vertical cut plane for an 8×8 antenna array at 28 GHz (grid step of 10 cm)	233
Figure D.9 – Power density distribution in watts per square metre in a vertical cut plane for an 8×8 antenna array at 39 GHz (grid step of 10 cm)	233
Figure E.1 – Spectral occupancy for GMSK	237
Figure E.2 – Spectral occupancy for CDMA	238
Figure E.3 – Channel allocation for a WCDMA signal	241
Figure E.4 – Example of Wi-Fi frames	244

Figure E.5 – Channel occupation versus the integration time for IEEE 802.11b standard	245
Figure E.6 – Channel occupation versus nominal throughput rate for IEEE 802.11b/g standards	246
Figure E.7 – Wi-Fi spectrum trace snapshot	246
Figure E.8 – Frame structure of transmission signal for LTE-FDD downlink	250
Figure E.9 – Frame structure LTE-TDD type 2 (for 5 ms switch-point periodicity)	251
Figure E.10 – Frame structure of transmission signal for LTE-TDD	251
Figure E.11 – LTE-TDD PBCH measurement example	254
Figure E.12 – Example of VBW setting for LTE-FDD and LTE-TDD to avoid underestimation	255
Figure E.13 – Examples of received waves from LTE-FDD downlink signals using a spectrum analyser using zero span mode	256
Figure E.14 – LTE-TDD PBCH measurement example spectrum analyser using zero span mode	257
Figure E.15 – Example of VBW setting for NR to avoid underestimation	261
Figure E.16 – Examples of measurement accuracy results according to the ratio of VBW and RBW for NR SCS 30 kHz and 1 MHz RBW using various SA types (A to D)	261
Figure E.17 – Waterfall reconstruction plot of a 1 s long measurement trace of an NR signal with subcarrier spacing (SCS) 30 kHz (along one component of the electric field)	262
Figure E.18 – Example of NR signal frame measured on SA with SSB signal above PDSCH (data)	262
Figure E.19 – Example of NR signal frame measured on SA with SSB signal below or equal to PDSCH (data)	263
Figure E.20 – Time gating of SS burst signal	264
Figure E.21 – Representation of the channel bandwidth (CBW)	264
Figure E.22 – An example for one port CSI-RS beam design	267
Figure E.23 – Plan view representation of statistical conservative model	273
Figure E.24 – Binomial cumulative probability function for $N = 24$, $PR = 0,125$	281
Figure E.25 – Binomial cumulative probability function for $N = 18$, $PR = 2/7$	281
Figure E.26 – Binomial cumulative probability function for $N = 100$, $PR = 0,125$	285
Figure E.27 – Binomial cumulative probability function for $N = 82$, $PR = 2/7$	285
Figure F.1 – Limits for brief exposure ($t < 360$ s), see Table F.1, divided by the corresponding time interval t and normalized with the value obtained for t up to 360 s	289
Figure F.2 – F_{PR_min} as a function of the pulse duration assuming a whole-body averaging time of 30 min	293
Figure F.3 – F_{PR_min} as a function of the pulse duration assuming an averaging time of 6 min	293
Figure G.1 – Examples of general assessment schemes	297
Figure G.2 – Target uncertainty scheme overview	298
Figure G.3 – Probability of the true value being above (respectively below) the evaluated value depending on the confidence level assuming a normal distribution	302
Figure G.4 – Plot of the calibration factors for E (not E^2) provided from an example calibration report for an electric field probe	305
Figure G.5 – Computational model used for the variational analysis of reflected RF fields from the front of a surveyor	311
Figure G.6 – EUT positioning equipment and different positioning errors	317

Figure G.7 – Physical model of small-scale fading variations	320
Figure G.8 – Example of E-field strength variations in line of sight of an antenna operating at 2,2 GHz.....	320
Figure G.9 – Error at 95 % on average power estimation	321
Figure G.10 – 343 measurement points building a cube (centre) and different templates consisting of a different number of positions	323
Figure G.11 – Moving a template (Line 3) through the cube	324
Figure G.12 – Standard deviations for GSM 900, DCS 1800 and UMTS	326
Figure G.13 – Simulation arrangement.....	328
Figure G.14 – Body influence.....	328
Figure G.15 – Simulation arrangement.....	329
Table 1 – Quick start guide evaluation steps.....	41
Table 2 – Example of product installation classes where a simplified evaluation process is applicable (based on ICNIRP general public limits [1] and [2]).....	57
Table 3 – Exposure metrics validity for evaluation points in each source region	71
Table 4 – Requirements for RF field strength and power density measurements	73
Table 5 – Whole-body SAR exclusions based on RF power levels.....	73
Table 6 – Requirements for SAR measurements	74
Table 7 – Applicability of computation methods for source-environment regions of Figure 16	75
Table 8 – Requirements for computation methods.....	75
Table A.1 – Definition of source regions.....	86
Table A.2 – Default source region boundaries.....	86
Table A.3 – Source region boundaries for antennas with maximum dimension less than 2,5 λ	87
Table A.4 – Source region boundaries for linear/planar antenna arrays with a maximum dimension greater than or equal to 2,5 λ	87
Table A.5 – Source region boundaries for equiphase radiation aperture (e.g. dish) antennas with maximum reflector dimension much greater than a wavelength	88
Table A.6 – Source region boundaries for radiating cables.....	88
Table A.7 – Far-field distance r measured in metres as a function of angle β	90
Table A.8 – Guidance on selecting between computation and measurement approaches.....	91
Table A.9 – Guidance on selecting between broadband and frequency selective measurement.....	92
Table A.10 – Guidance on selecting RF field strength measurement procedures.....	93
Table A.11 – Guidance on selecting computation methods.....	94
Table A.12 – Guidance on specific evaluation method ranking	95
Table B.1 – Dimension variables.....	97
Table B.2 – RF power variables	97
Table B.3 – Antenna variables	98
Table B.4 – Exposure metric variables	98
Table B.5 – Broadband measurement system minimum requirements	111
Table B.6 – Frequency selective measurement system minimum requirements	112

Table B.7 – Example template for estimating the expanded uncertainty of an in-situ RF field strength measurement that used a frequency selective equipment	132
Table B.8 – Example template for estimating the expanded uncertainty of an in-situ RF field strength measurement that used a broadband equipment.....	133
Table B.9 – Example template for estimating the expanded uncertainty of a laboratory-based RF field strength or power density measurement using the surface scanning method	134
Table B.10 – Example template for estimating the expanded uncertainty of a laboratory-based RF field strength or power density measurement using the volume scanning method	135
Table B.11 – Numerical reference SAR values for reference dipoles and flat phantom – All values are normalized to a forward power of 1 W	140
Table B.12 – Phantom liquid volume and measurement volume used for whole-body SAR measurements [61], [77]	143
Table B.13 – Correction factor to compensate for a possible bias in the obtained general public whole-body SAR when assessed using the large box-shaped phantom for child exposure configurations [72].....	143
Table B.14 – Measurement uncertainty evaluation template for EUT whole-body SAR test 144	
Table B.15 – Measurement uncertainty evaluation template for whole-body SAR system validation	145
Table B.16 – Definition of boundaries for selecting the zone of computation	149
Table B.17 – Input parameters for cylindrical and spherical formulas validation	152
Table B.18 – Applicability of SAR estimation formulas	154
Table B.19 – Calculation of $A(f, d)$	157
Table B.20 – Antenna parameters for SAR estimation formulas verification	159
Table B.21 – Verification data for SAR estimation formulas – front.....	159
Table B.22 – Verification data for SAR estimation formulas – axial and back	159
Table B.23 – Example template for estimating the expanded uncertainty of a synthetic model and ray tracing RF field strength computation.....	168
Table B.24 – Synthetic model and ray tracing power density reference results.....	171
Table B.25 – Example template for estimating the expanded uncertainty of a full wave RF field strength / power density computation.....	176
Table B.26 – Validation 1 full wave field reference results.....	178
Table B.27 – Validation 2 full wave field reference results.....	179
Table B.28 – Example template for estimating the expanded uncertainty of a full wave SAR computation.....	183
Table B.29 – Validation reference SAR results for computation method	185
Table B.30 – Relevant parameters for performing RF exposure modelling studies of a massive MIMO site or site cluster	195
Table B.31 – Measurement campaign parameters for performing RF exposure assessment of a massive MIMO site or site cluster	197
Table B.32 – Power combination factors applicable to the normalized actual transmitted power CDF in case of combination of multiple independent identical transmitters.....	199
Table B.33 – Power combination factors applicable to two independent transmitters with a ratio p in amplitude	200
Table C.1 – Relative difference between the measured averaged transmitted power and actual power counter value for systems that allow direct power level measurements	204

Table C.2 – Correlation between the configured maximum power level and the level reported by actual power counters for BS that allow direct power level measurements.....	205
Table C.3 – Correlation between the configured time-averaged load levels and the actual power counter value for systems that allow direct power level measurements.....	205
Table C.4 – Relative difference between the configured maximum power, measured averaged transmitted power, and actual power counters for systems that do not support direct power level measurements	206
Table C.5 – Correlation between the configured power level and the level reported by power counters for BS that do not support direct power level measurements	207
Table C.6 – Correlation between time linearity of the configured maximum power level and the level reported by actual power counters for BS that do not support direct power level measurements.....	209
Table E.1 – Technology specific information	234
Table E.2 – Example of spectrum analyser settings for an integration per service.....	239
Table E.3 – Example constant power components for specific TDMA/FDMA technologies	240
Table E.4 – WCDMA decoder characteristics	242
Table E.5 – Signal configurations.....	242
Table E.6 – WCDMA generator setting for power linearity.....	243
Table E.7 – WCDMA generator setting for decoder calibration	243
Table E.8 – WCDMA generator setting for reflection coefficient measurement	244
Table E.9 – Uplink-downlink configurations.....	252
Table E.10 – Theoretical extrapolation factor, N_{RS} , based on frame structure given in 3GPP TS 36.104 [21].....	253
Table E.11 – F_{BW} for each combination of BS channel bandwidth and SSB subcarrier spacing (SCS) for sub-6 GHz signals	259
Table E.12 – F_{BW} for each combination of BS channel bandwidth and SSB subcarrier spacing (SCS) for mm-wave signals.....	260
Table E.13 – List of variables in the case study	284
Table F.1 – Brief exposure limits for the general public integrated over intervals of between 0 min and 6 min as specified by ICNIRP-2020 [1]	289
Table F.2 – Minimum F_{PR} , F_{PR_min} , for which compliance with the time-averaged whole-body limits ICNIRP-2020 [1] inherently ensures compliance with the brief exposure limits specified by ICNIRP-2020 [1].....	293
Table G.1 – Determining target uncertainty.....	298
Table G.2 – Monte Carlo simulation of 10 000 trials, both surveyor and auditor using best estimate	300
Table G.3 – Monte Carlo simulation of 10 000 trials, both surveyor and auditor using target uncertainty of 4 dB.....	300
Table G.4 – Monte Carlo simulation of 10 000 trials where surveyor uses upper 95 % CI and auditor uses lower 95 % CI	301
Table G.5 – Guidance on minimum separation distances for some dipole lengths such that the uncertainty does not exceed 5 % or 10 % in a measurement of E	309
Table G.6 – Guidance on minimum separation distances for some loop diameters such that the uncertainty does not exceed 5 % or 10 % in a measurement of H	309
Table G.7 – Example minimum separation conditions for selected dipole lengths for 10 % uncertainty in E	310
Table G.8 – Standard estimates of dB variation for the perturbations in front of a surveyor due to body reflected fields as described in Figure G.5.....	312

Table G.9 – Standard uncertainty (u) estimates for E and H due to body reflections from the surveyor for common radio services derived from estimates provided in Table G.8	312
Table G.10 – Maximum sensitivity coefficients for liquid permittivity and conductivity over the frequency range 300 MHz to 6 GHz	319
Table G.11 – Uncertainty at 95 % for different fading models	322
Table G.12 – Correlation coefficients for GSM 900 and DCS 1800	325
Table G.13 – Variations of the standard deviations for the GSM 900, DCS 1800 and UMTS frequency bands	326
Table G.14 – Examples of total uncertainty calculation	327
Table G.15 – Maximum simulated error due to the influence of a human body on the measurement values of an omnidirectional probe	329
Table G.16 – Measured influence of a human body on omnidirectional probe measurements	329

This document is a preview generated by EVS

INTERNATIONAL ELECTROTECHNICAL COMMISSION

DETERMINATION OF RF FIELD STRENGTH, POWER DENSITY AND SAR IN THE VICINITY OF BASE STATIONS FOR THE PURPOSE OF EVALUATING HUMAN EXPOSURE

FOREWORD

- 1) The International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) is a worldwide organization for standardization comprising all national electrotechnical committees (IEC National Committees). The object of IEC is to promote international co-operation on all questions concerning standardization in the electrical and electronic fields. To this end and in addition to other activities, IEC publishes International Standards, Technical Specifications, Technical Reports, Publicly Available Specifications (PAS) and Guides (hereafter referred to as "IEC Publication(s)"). Their preparation is entrusted to technical committees; any IEC National Committee interested in the subject dealt with may participate in this preparatory work. International, governmental and non-governmental organizations liaising with the IEC also participate in this preparation. IEC collaborates closely with the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) in accordance with conditions determined by agreement between the two organizations.
- 2) The formal decisions or agreements of IEC on technical matters express, as nearly as possible, an international consensus of opinion on the relevant subjects since each technical committee has representation from all interested IEC National Committees.
- 3) IEC Publications have the form of recommendations for international use and are accepted by IEC National Committees in that sense. While all reasonable efforts are made to ensure that the technical content of IEC Publications is accurate, IEC cannot be held responsible for the way in which they are used or for any misinterpretation by any end user.
- 4) In order to promote international uniformity, IEC National Committees undertake to apply IEC Publications transparently to the maximum extent possible in their national and regional publications. Any divergence between any IEC Publication and the corresponding national or regional publication shall be clearly indicated in the latter.
- 5) IEC itself does not provide any attestation of conformity. Independent certification bodies provide conformity assessment services and, in some areas, access to IEC marks of conformity. IEC is not responsible for any services carried out by independent certification bodies.
- 6) All users should ensure that they have the latest edition of this publication.
- 7) No liability shall attach to IEC or its directors, employees, servants or agents including individual experts and members of its technical committees and IEC National Committees for any personal injury, property damage or other damage of any nature whatsoever, whether direct or indirect, or for costs (including legal fees) and expenses arising out of the publication, use of, or reliance upon, this IEC Publication or any other IEC Publications.
- 8) Attention is drawn to the Normative references cited in this publication. Use of the referenced publications is indispensable for the correct application of this publication.
- 9) Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this IEC Publication may be the subject of patent rights. IEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

IEC 62232 has been prepared by IEC technical committee 106: Methods for the assessment of electric, magnetic and electromagnetic fields associated with human exposure. It is an International Standard.

This third edition cancels and replaces the second edition published in 2017. This edition constitutes a technical revision.

This edition includes the following significant technical changes with respect to the previous edition:

- a) increased frequency range, from 110 MHz to 300 GHz (including consideration of ambient sources from 100 kHz to 300 GHz);
- b) specification of assessment procedures based on the actual maximum approach including methods for the validation of monitoring counter and control features;
- c) introduction of assessment methods relevant to 5G technologies and BS using beam-steering such as massive MIMO systems;
- d) clarification of criteria for exposure from multiple sources;

- e) restructuring of Annex B (Evaluation methods) for better readability;
- f) update of the requirements and procedures for power density measurements in laboratory conditions;
- g) update of simplified assessment formulas for dish antennas used in radio relays and microwave links;
- h) compatibility with ICNIRP-2020 [1]¹ exposure limits.

This document contains attached files that are cited in Figure B.30 and G.4.4.3. These files can be downloaded from <https://www.iec.ch/tc106/supportingdocuments>.

The text of this International Standard is based on the following documents:

Draft	Report on voting
106/576/FDIS	106/590/RVD

Full information on the voting for its approval can be found in the report on voting indicated in the above table.

The language used for the development of this International Standard is English.

This document was drafted in accordance with ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2, and developed in accordance with ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1 and ISO/IEC Directives, IEC Supplement, available at www.iec.ch/members_experts/refdocs. The main document types developed by IEC are described in greater detail at <http://www.iec.ch/standardsdev/publications>.

The committee has decided that the contents of this document will remain unchanged until the stability date indicated on the IEC website under webstore.iec.ch in the data related to the specific document. At this date, the document will be

- reconfirmed,
- withdrawn,
- replaced by a revised edition, or
- amended.

IMPORTANT – The "colour inside" logo on the cover page of this document indicates that it contains colours which are considered to be useful for the correct understanding of its contents. Users should therefore print this document using a colour printer.

¹ Numbers in square brackets refer to the Bibliography.

INTRODUCTION

This document addresses the evaluation of RF field strength, power density and specific absorption rate (SAR) levels in the vicinity of base stations (BS), also called products or equipment under test (EUT), intentionally radiating in the radio frequency (RF) range 110 MHz to 300 GHz in accordance with the scope, see Clause 1. It does not address the evaluation of current density.

RF exposure evaluation methods to be used for product compliance, product installation compliance and in-situ RF exposure assessments are specified in this document. Exposure limits are not specified in this document. The entity conducting RF exposure assessments refers to the set of exposure limits applicable where exposure takes place. Examples of applicable exposure limits considered in this document are provided in the Bibliography, for example ICNIRP-2020 [1], ICNIRP-1998 [2], IEEE Std C95.1™-2019 [3] and Safety Code 6 [4].

NOTE In this document, "ICNIRP" used without "-1998 or "-2020" applies to both [1] and [2].

This document is based on IEC 62232:2017 leveraging guidelines and lessons learned from the implementation guide IEC TR 62669:2019 [5]. In particular, it specifies how to implement the actual maximum approach.

Clause 2, Clause 3 and Clause 4 address normative references, terms and definitions, symbols, and abbreviated terms, respectively.

Clause 5 provides advice on how to use this document, including a quick-start guide.

Clause 6 describes the three main application areas of this document: RF exposure evaluation methods for product compliance, product installation compliance, and in-situ RF exposure assessments. It includes the key requirements for assessing RF exposure based on using the actual maximum approach. It also includes simplified criteria for putting BS into operation. Further details are provided in Annex C, Annex D and Annex E.

Clause 7 provides guidelines on how to select the evaluation method. Further details are provided in Annex A.

Clause 8 specifies the RF exposure evaluation methods to be used and refers to further details in Annex B, Annex C, Annex F and Annex H.

Clause 9 addresses the estimation of uncertainty and refers to Annex G and Annex H for further details.

Clause 10 describes reporting requirements for the evaluation or assessment.

Annexes and the bibliography are referenced extensively to provide useful clarifications or guidance.

Additional guidance can be found in IEC TR 62669:2019 [5], which includes a set of case studies providing practical examples of the application of this document.

DETERMINATION OF RF FIELD STRENGTH, POWER DENSITY AND SAR IN THE VICINITY OF BASE STATIONS FOR THE PURPOSE OF EVALUATING HUMAN EXPOSURE

1 Scope

This document provides methods for the determination of RF field strength, power density and specific absorption rate (SAR) in the vicinity of base stations (BS) for the purpose of evaluating human exposure.

This document:

- a) considers intentionally radiating BS which transmit on one or more antennas using one or more frequencies in the range 110 MHz to 300 GHz;
- b) considers the impact of ambient sources on RF exposure at least in the 100 kHz to 300 GHz frequency range;
- c) specifies the methods to be used for RF exposure evaluation for compliance assessment applications, namely:
 - 1) product compliance – determination of compliance boundary information for a BS product before it is placed on the market;
 - 2) product installation compliance – determination of the total RF exposure levels in accessible areas from a BS product and other relevant sources before the product is put into operation;
 - 3) in-situ RF exposure assessment – measurement of in-situ RF exposure levels in the vicinity of a BS installation after the product has been taken into operation;
- d) specifies how to perform RF exposure assessment based on the actual maximum approach;
- e) describes several RF field strength, power density, and SAR measurement and computation methodologies with guidance on their applicability to address both the in-situ evaluation of installed BS and laboratory-based evaluations;
- f) describes how surveyors establish their specific evaluation procedures appropriate for their evaluation purpose;
- g) provides guidance on how to report, interpret and compare results from different evaluation methodologies and, where the evaluation purpose requires it, determine a justified decision against a limit value;
- h) provides methods for the RF exposure assessment of BS using time-varying beam-steering technologies such as new radio (NR) BS using massive multiple input multiple output (MIMO).

NOTE 1 Practical implementation case studies are provided as examples in the companion Technical Report IEC TR 62669:2019 [5].

NOTE 2 Although the current BS product types have been specified to operate up to 200 GHz (see, for example, [6] and [7]), the upper frequency of 300 GHz is consistent with applicable exposure limits.

NOTE 3 The lower frequency considered for ambient sources, 100 kHz, is derived from ICNIRP-1998 [2] and ICNIRP-2020 [1]. However, some applicable exposure guidelines require ambient fields to be evaluated as low as 3 kHz, e.g. Safety Code 6 [4] and IEEE Std C95.1-2019 [3].

NOTE 4 Specification of appropriate RF exposure mitigation measures such as signage, access control, and training are beyond the scope of this document. It is possible to refer to the applicable regulations or recommended practices on these topics.

NOTE 5 While this document is based on the current international consensus about the best engineering practice for assessing the compliance of RF exposure with the applicable exposure limits, it is possible that national regulatory agencies specify different requirements. The entity conducting an RF exposure assessment needs to be aware of the applicable regulations.

2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

IEC/IEEE 62209-1528, *Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices – Human models, instrumentation, and procedures: Measurement procedure for the assessment of specific absorption rate of human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-worn wireless communication devices (Frequency range of 4 MHz to 10 GHz)*

IEC 62209-3, *Measurement procedure for the assessment of specific absorption rate of human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices – Part 3: Vector measurement-based systems (Frequency range of 600 MHz to 6 GHz)*

IEC 62311, *Assessment of electronic and electrical equipment related to human exposure restrictions for electromagnetic fields (0 Hz – 300 GHz)*

IEC 62479, *Assessment of the compliance of low power electronic and electrical apparatus with the basic restrictions related to human exposure to electromagnetic fields (10 MHz – 300 GHz)*

IEC/IEEE 62704-1, *Determining the peak spatial-average specific absorption rate (SAR) in the human body from wireless communications devices, 30 MHz to 6 GHz – Part 1: General requirements for using the finite difference time-domain (FDTD) method for SAR calculations*

IEC/IEEE 62704-2, *Determining the peak spatial-average specific absorption rate (SAR) in the human body from wireless communications devices, 30 MHz to 6 GHz – Part 2: Specific requirements for finite difference time domain (FDTD) modelling of exposure from vehicle mounted antennas*

IEC/IEEE 62704-3, *Determining the peak spatial-average specific absorption rate (SAR) in the human body from wireless communications devices, 30 MHz to 6 GHz – Part 3: Specific requirements for using the finite difference time domain (FDTD) method for SAR calculations of mobile phones*

IEC/IEEE 62704-4, *Recommended practise for determining the Peak Spatial Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the human body from wireless communications devices, 30 MHz – 6 GHz: General requirements for using the Finite-Element Method (FEM) for SAR calculations and specific requirements for modelling vehicle-mounted antennas and personal wireless devices*

IEC/IEEE 63195-1, *Measurement procedure for the assessment of power density of human exposure to radio frequency fields from wireless devices operating in close proximity to the head and body – Frequency range of 6 GHz to 300 GHz*

IEC/IEEE 63195-2, *Determining the power density of the electromagnetic field associated with human exposure to wireless devices operating in close proximity to the head and body using computational techniques, 6 GHz to 300 GHz*