EESTI STANDARD EVS-EN ISO 11930:2019+A1:2022

Cosmetics - Microbiology - Evaluation of the antimicrobial protection of a cosmetic product (ISO 11930:2019 + ISO 11930:2019/Amd 1:2022)

EVS

#### EESTI STANDARDI EESSÕNA

#### NATIONAL FOREWORD

See Eesti standard EVS-EN ISO 11930:2019 +A1:2022 sisaldab Euroopa standardi EN ISO 11930:2019 ja selle muudatuse A1:2022 ingliskeelset teksti.	ThisEstonianstandardEVS-EN ISO 11930:2019+A1:2022 consists of theEnglishtextoftheEuropeanstandardEN ISO 11930:2019 and its amendment A1:2022.		
Standard on jõustunud sellekohase teate avaldamisega EVS Teatajas.	This standard has been endorsed with a notification published in the official bulletin of the Estonian Centre for Standardisation and Accreditation.		
Euroopa standardimisorganisatsioonid on teinud Euroopa standardi rahvuslikele liikmetele kättesaadavaks 13.02.2019, muudatus A1 28.09.2022.	Date of Availability of the European standard is 13.02.2019, for A1 28.09.2022.		
Muudatusega A1 lisatud või muudetud teksti algus ja lõpp on tekstis tähistatud sümbolitega 🎒 🆄	The start and finish of text introduced or altered by amendment A1 is indicated in the text by tags (A1) (A1).		
Standard on kättesaadav Eesti Standardimis-ja Akrediteerimiskeskusest.	The standard is available from the Estonian Centre for Standardisation and Accreditation.		
Fagasisidet standardi sisu kohta on võimalik edastada, kasutades EVS-i veebilehel asuvat tagasiside vormi			

Tagasisidet standardi sisu kohta on võimalik edastada, kasutades EVS-i veebilehel asuvat tagasiside vormi või saates e-kirja meiliaadressile standardiosakond@evs.ee.

ICS 07.100.40

#### Standardite reprodutseerimise ja levitamise õigus kuulub Eesti Standardimis- ja Akrediteerimiskeskusele

Andmete paljundamine, taastekitamine, kopeerimine, salvestamine elektroonsesse süsteemi või edastamine ükskõik millises vormis või millisel teel ilma Eesti Standardimis- ja Akrediteerimiskeskuse kirjaliku loata on keelatud.

Kui Teil on küsimusi standardite autoriõiguse kaitse kohta, võtke palun ühendust Eesti Standardimis- ja Akrediteerimiskeskusega: Koduleht <u>www.evs.ee</u>; telefon 605 5050; e-post <u>info@evs.ee</u>

#### The right to reproduce and distribute standards belongs to the Estonian Centre for Standardisation and Accreditation

No part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, without a written permission from the Estonian Centre for Standardisation and Accreditation.

If you have any questions about standards copyright protection, please contact the Estonian Centre for Standardisation and Accreditation:

Homepage www.evs.ee; phone +372 605 5050; e-mail info@evs.ee

# **EUROPEAN STANDARD** NORME EUROPÉENNE **EUROPÄISCHE NORM**

# EN ISO 11930 + A1

February 2019, September 2022

ICS 07.100.40

Supersedes EN ISO 11930:2012

**English Version** 

# Cosmetics - Microbiology - Evaluation of the antimicrobial protection of a cosmetic product (ISO 11930:2019 + ISO 11930:2019/Amd 1:2022)

Cosmétiques - Microbiologie - Évaluation de la protection antimicrobienne d'un produit cosmétique (ISO 11930:2019 + ISO 11930:2019/Amd 1:2022)

Kosmetische Mittel - Mikrobiologie - Bewertung des antimikrobiellen Schutzes eines kosmetischen Produktes (ISO 11930:2019 + ISO 11930:2019/Amd 1:2022)

This European Standard was approved by CEN on 27 December 2018. Amendment A1 was approved by CEN on 9 September 2022.

CEN members are bound to comply with the CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations which stipulate the conditions for giving this European Standard and its amendment the status of a national standard without any alteration. Up-to-date lists and bibliographical references concerning such national standards may be obtained on application to the CEN-CENELEC Management Centre or to any CEN member.

This European Standard and its Amendment A1 exist in three official versions (English, French, German). A version in any other language made by translation under the responsibility of a CEN member into its own language and notified to the CEN-CENELEC Management Centre has the same status as the official versions.

CEN members are the national standards bodies of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Republic of North Macedonia, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Türkiye and United Kingdom.



EUROPEAN COMMITTEE FOR STANDARDIZATION COMITÉ EUROPÉEN DE NORMALISATION EUROPÄISCHES KOMITEE FÜR NORMUNG

CEN-CENELEC Management Centre: Rue de la Science 23, B-1040 Brussels

© 2022 CEN All rights of exploitation in any form and by any means reserved worldwide for CEN national Members.

Ref. No. EN ISO 11930:2019 E + EN ISO 11930:2019/A1:2022 E

### **European foreword**

This document (EN ISO 11930:2019) has been prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 217 "Cosmetics" in collaboration with Technical Committee CEN/TC 392 "Cosmetics" the secretariat of which is held by AFNOR.

This European Standard shall be given the status of a national standard, either by publication of an identical text or by endorsement, at the latest by August 2019, and conflicting national standards shall be withdrawn at the latest by August 2019.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. CEN shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

This document supersedes EN ISO 11930:2012.

This document has been prepared under a mandate given to CEN by the European Commission and the European Free Trade Association.

According to the CEN-CENELEC Internal Regulations, the national standards organizations of the following countries are bound to implement this European Standard: Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey and the United Kingdom.

## **Endorsement notice**

The text of ISO 11930:2019 has been approved by CEN as EN ISO 11930:2019 without any modification.

### Amendment A1 European foreword

This document (EN ISO 11930:2019/A1:2022) has been prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 217 "Cosmetics" in collaboration with Technical Committee CEN/TC 392 "Cosmetics" the secretariat of which is held by AFNOR.

This Amendment to the European Standard EN ISO 11930:2019 shall be given the status of a national standard, either by publication of an identical text or by endorsement, at the latest by March 2023, and conflicting national standards shall be withdrawn at the latest by March 2023.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. CEN shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

Any feedback and questions on this document should be directed to the users' national standards body/national committee. A complete listing of these bodies can be found on the CEN website.

According to the CEN-CENELEC Internal Regulations, the national standards organizations of the following countries are bound to implement this European Standard: Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Republic of North Macedonia, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Türkiye and the United Kingdom.

### **Endorsement notice**

The text of ISO 11930:2019/Amd 1:2022 has been approved by CEN as EN ISO 11930:2019/A1:2022 without any modification.

# Contents

F) Amendment A1 foreword (*) iv   Introduction v   1 Scope 1   2 Normative references 1   3 Terms and definitions 2   4 Principle 2   5 Preservation efficacy test. 3   5.1 General 3   5.2.1 General 3   5.2.2 Materials, apparatus, reagents and culture media 3   5.2.2 Materials 3   5.2.3 Diluents 3   5.2.4 Neutralizer 4   5.2.5 Culture media 7   5.4 Preparation and enumeration of inocula 7   5.4.1 General 7   5.4.2 Preparation of bacterial and <i>Candida albicans</i> suspensions 8   5.4.3 Preparation of nocula 7   5.5.4 Preparation of negensylis brasilensis spore suspension 9   5.5.1 Principle 9 5.5.2 Procedure 9   5.5.2 Procedure 9 5.5.4 Interpretation of results and conclusion on neutralizer efff	Forewordiii				
1 Scope 1   2 Normative references 1   3 Terms and definitions 2   4 Principle 2   5 Preservation efficacy test. 3   5.1 General 3   5.2.1 General 3   5.2.2 Materials, apparatus, reagents and culture media 3   5.2.1 General 3   5.2.2 Materials 3   5.2.2 Materials 3   5.2.3 Diluents 3   5.2.4 Neutralizer 4   5.2.5 Culture media 6   5.3 Microbial strains 7   5.4 Preparation of bacterial and Candida albicans suspensions 8   5.4.3 Preparation of bacterial and Candida albicans suspensions 9   5.5 Demonstration of the neutralizer efficacy 9   5.5.1 Principle 9 9   5.5.2 Procedure 9 5.5.3 Calculations 11   5.6.1 Procedure 11 5.6.1 Procedure <t< th=""><th>A<sub>1</sub> Am</th><th>nendme</th><th>nt A1 foreword 🔄</th><th> iv</th></t<>	A <sub>1</sub> Am	nendme	nt A1 foreword 🔄	iv	
2 Normative references 1   3 Terms and definitions 2   4 Principle 2   5 Preservation efficacy test. 3   5.1 General 3   5.2 Materials, apparatus, reagents and culture media 3   5.2.1 General 3   5.2.2 Materials 3   5.2.3 Diluents 3   5.2.4 Neutralizer 4   5.2.5 Culture media 6   5.3 Microbial strains 7   5.4 Preparation of Inocula 7   5.4.1 General 7   5.4.2 Preparation of bacterial and Candida albicans suspensions 8   5.4.3 Preparation of bacterial and Candida albicans suspensions 8   5.4.3 Preparation of results and conclusion on neutralizer efficacy 9   5.5.1 Principle 9 9   5.5.2 Procedure 9 9   5.5.4 Interpretation of results and conclusion on neutralizer efficacy 10   5.6 Determination of the preservation efficacy	Introd	luction		v	
2 Normative references 1   3 Terms and definitions 2   4 Principle 2   5 Preservation efficacy test. 3   5.1 General 3   5.2 Materials, apparatus, reagents and culture media 3   5.2.1 General 3   5.2.2 Materials 3   5.2.3 Diluents 3   5.2.4 Neutralizer 4   5.2.5 Culture media 6   5.3 Microbial strains 7   5.4 Preparation of Inocula 7   5.4.1 General 7   5.4.2 Preparation of bacterial and Candida albicans suspensions 8   5.4.3 Preparation of bacterial and Candida albicans suspensions 8   5.4.3 Preparation of results and conclusion on neutralizer efficacy 9   5.5.1 Principle 9 9   5.5.2 Procedure 9 9   5.5.4 Interpretation of results and conclusion on neutralizer efficacy 10   5.6 Determination of the preservation efficacy	1	Scope	0.	1	
3 Terms and definitions 2   4 Principle 2   5 Preservation efficacy test 3   5.1 General 3   5.2 Materials, apparatus, reagents and culture media 3   5.2 Materials 3   5.2.1 General 3   5.2.2 Materials 3   5.2.3 Diluents 3   5.2.4 Neutralizer 4   5.2.5 Culture media 7   5.4 Preparation of bacterial and <i>Candida albicans</i> suspensions 8   5.4 Preparation of bacterial and <i>Candida albicans</i> suspension 9   5.5.1 Principle 9 5.5.2   5.5 Demonstration of the neutralizer efficacy 9   5.5.2 Procedure 9 9   5.5.3 Calculations 10 5.5.4   5.6 Determination of the preservation efficacy of the formulation 11   5.6.1 Procedure 10   5.6 Determination of test results and conclusions 12   5.7 Interpretation of test results	2	-			
4 Principle 2   5 Preservation efficacy test 3   5.1 General 3   5.2 Materials, apparatus, reagents and culture media 3   5.2.1 General 3   5.2.2 Materials 3   5.2.3 Diluents 3   5.2.4 Neutralizer 4   5.2.5 Culture media 7   5.4 Preparation and enumeration of inocula 7   5.4.4 Preparation of bacterial and <i>Candida albicans</i> suspensions 8   5.4.7 Preparation of bacterial and <i>Candida albicans</i> suspension 9   5.5.1 Principle 9 9   5.5.2 Procedure 9 9   5.5.3 Calculations 10 10   5.6 Determination of the preservation efficacy of the formulation 11   5.6.1 Procedure 10   5.6 Determination of test results and conclusions 13   5.7.7 Interpretation of test results and conclusions 13   5.7.8 Interpretation of test results and conclusions 13	_				
5 Preservation efficacy test 3   5.1 General 3   5.2 Materials, apparatus, reagents and culture media 3   5.2.1 General 3   5.2.2 Materials 3   5.2.3 Diluents 3   5.2.4 Neutralizer 4   5.2.5 Culture media 6   5.3 Microbial strains 7   5.4 Preparation of bacterial and Candida albicans suspensions 8   5.4.3 Preparation of Aspergillus brasiliensis spore suspension 9   5.5 Demonstration of the neutralizer efficacy 9   5.5.1 Principle 9 9   5.5.2 Calculations 10 5.5.4   5.6 Determination of the preservation efficacy of the formulation 11   5.6.1 Procedure 9 5.5.2   5.7 Interpretation of results and conclusion on neutralizer efficacy 10   5.6 Determination of the preservation efficacy of the formulation 11   5.6.1 Procedure 11 5.6.3 Calculations 12					
5.1 General 3   5.2 Materials, apparatus, reagents and culture media 3   5.2.1 General 3   5.2.2 Materials 3   5.2.3 Diluents 3   5.2.4 Neutralizer 4   5.2.5 Culture media 6   5.3 Microbial strains 7   5.4 Preparation of bacterial and Condida albicans suspensions 8   5.4.1 General 7   5.4.1 General 7   5.4.2 Preparation of bacterial and Condida albicans suspensions 8   5.4.3 Preparation of the neutralizer efficacy 9   5.5.1 Principle 9   5.5.2 Demonstration of the preservation efficacy of the formulation 10   5.6 Determination of the preservation efficacy of the formulation 11   5.6.1 Procedure 9 5.5.2   5.7 Interpretation of colonies 11   5.6 Calculations 12   5.7 Interpretation of test results and conclusions 13   5.7.1 Interpretatio	-				
5.2 Materials, apparatus, reagents and culture media 3   5.2.1 General 3   5.2.2 Materials 3   5.2.3 Diluents 3   5.2.4 Neutralizer 4   5.2.5 Culture media 6   5.3 Microbial strains 7   5.4 Preparation of encuralizer of inocula 7   5.4.2 Preparation of bacterial and Candida albicans suspensions 8   5.4.3 Preparation of the neutralizer of ficacy 9   5.5.1 Principle 9   5.5.2 Procedure 9   5.5.3 Calculations 10   5.6 Determination of the preservation efficacy of the formulation 11   5.6.1 Procedure 11   5.6.2 Counting of colonies 11   5.6.3 Calculations 12   5.6 Determination of the preservation efficacy of the formulation 11   5.6.4 Determination of the preservation efficacy of the formulation 11   5.6.2 Counting of colonies 12   5.7 Interpretation of	5				
5.2.1 General. 3   5.2.2 Materials. 3   5.2.3 Diluents. 3   5.2.4 Neutralizer. 4   5.2.5 Culture media. 6   5.3 Microbial strains. 7   5.4 Preparation and enumeration of inocula 7   5.4.1 General. 7   5.4.2 Preparation of Aspergillus brasiliensis spore suspension. 9   5.5.1 Perior of the neutralizer efficacy. 9   5.5.1 Principle 9   5.5.2 Procedure. 9   5.5.3 Calculations 10   5.6 Determination of the preservation efficacy of the formulation 11   5.6.1 Procedure. 9   5.5.2 Procedure. 10   5.6 Determination of the preservation efficacy of the formulation 11   5.6.3 Calculations. 12   5.6 Determination of the st results and conclusions 13   5.7.1 Interpretation of test results and conclusions 13   5.7.2 Interpretation of the antimicrobial strains)		-			
5.2.2 Materials 3   5.2.3 Diluents 3   5.2.4 Neutralizer 4   5.2.5 Culture media 6   5.3 Microbial strains 7   5.4 Preparation of bacterial and Candida albicans suspensions 8   5.4.1 General 7   5.4.2 Preparation of bacterial and Candida albicans suspensions 9   5.5 Demonstration of the neutralizer efficacy 9   5.5.1 Principle 9   5.5.2 Procedure 9   5.5.3 Calculations 10   5.6 Determination of the preservation efficacy of the formulation 11   5.6.1 Procedure 9   5.5.2 Counting of colonies 11   5.6.1 Determination of the preservation efficacy of the formulation 11   5.6.3 Calculations 12   5.7 Interpretation of test results and conclusions 13   5.7.1 Interpretation of test results and conclusions 13   5.7.1 Interpretation of test results and conclusions 13   5.7.2<		5.2			
5.2.3 Diluents					
5.2.4 Neutralizer 4   5.2.5 Culture media 6   5.3 Microbial strains 7   5.4 Preparation and enumeration of inocula 7   5.4.1 General 7   5.4.2 Preparation of bacterial and Candida albicans suspensions 8   5.4.3 Preparation of the neutralizer efficacy 9   5.5 Demonstration of the neutralizer efficacy 9   5.5.2 Procedure 9   5.5.3 Calculations 10   5.4 Interpretation of results and conclusion on neutralizer efficacy 10   5.6 Determination of the preservation efficacy of the formulation 11   5.6.2 Counting of colonies 12   5.7 Interpretation of test results and conclusions 12   5.7 Interpretation of test results and conclusions 13   5.7.1 Criteria 13   5.7.2 General case (efficacy of the neutralizer is demonstrated for all strains) 13   5.7.3 Case of formulations for which the efficacy of the neutralizer is not demonstrated for some strains 14   6 Overall evaluation of the a					
5.2.5 Culture media 6   5.3 Microbial strains 7   5.4 Preparation and enumeration of inocula 7   5.4.1 General 7   5.4.2 Preparation of bacterial and <i>Candida albicans</i> suspensions 8   5.4.3 Preparation of Aspergillus brasiliensis spore suspension 9   5.5 Demonstration of the neutralizer efficacy 9   5.5.1 Principle 9   5.5.2 Procedure 9   5.5.3 Calculations 10   5.5.4 Interpretation of results and conclusion on neutralizer efficacy 10   5.6 Determination of the preservation efficacy of the formulation 11   5.6.1 Principle 11   5.6.2 Counting of colonies 11   5.6.3 Calculations 12   5.7 Interpretation of test results and conclusions 13   5.7.1 Criteria 13   5.7.2 General case (efficacy of the neutralizer is demonstrated for all strains) 13   5.7.3 Gase of formulations for which the efficacy of the neutralizer is not demonstrated for some strains 14					
5.3 Microbial strains 7   5.4 Preparation and enumeration of inocula 7   5.4.1 General 7   5.4.2 Preparation of bacterial and <i>Candida albicans</i> suspensions 8   5.4.3 Preparation of <i>Aspergillus brasiliensis</i> spore suspension 9   5.5 Demonstration of the neutralizer efficacy 9   5.5.1 Principle 9   5.5.2 Procedure 9   5.5.3 Calculations 10   5.6.4 Interpretation of results and conclusion on neutralizer efficacy 10   5.6 Determination of the preservation efficacy of the formulation 11   5.6.1 Procedure 11   5.6.2 Counting of colonies 11   5.6.3 Calculations 12   5.7 Interpretation of test results and conclusions 13   5.7.2 General case (efficacy of the neutralizer is demonstrated for all strains) 13   5.7.3 Case of formulations for which the efficacy of the neutralizer is not demonstrated for some strains 14   5.8 Test report 14   6 Overall evaluation of the antimicrobial					
5.4 Preparation and enumeration of inocula 7   5.4.1 General 7   5.4.2 Preparation of bacterial and Candida albicans suspensions 8   5.4.3 Preparation of the neutralizer efficacy 9   5.5 Demonstration of the neutralizer efficacy 9   5.5.1 Principle 9   5.5.2 Procedure 9   5.5.3 Calculations 10   5.5.4 Interpretation of results and conclusion on neutralizer efficacy 10   5.6 Determination of the preservation efficacy of the formulation 11   5.6.1 Procedure 11   5.6.2 Counting of colonies 12   5.7 Interpretation of test results and conclusions 12   5.7 Interpretation of test results and conclusions 13   5.7.1 Criteria 13   5.7.2 General case (efficacy of the neutralizer is demonstrated for all strains) 13   5.7.3 Case of formulations for which the efficacy of the neutralizer is not demonstrated for some strains 14   5.8 Test report 14   6 Overall evaluation of the antimicro		5.3	Microbial strains	7	
5.4.1 General 7   5.4.2 Preparation of bacterial and Candida albicans suspensions 8   5.4.3 Preparation of Aspergillus brasiliensis spore suspension 9   5.5 Demonstration of the neutralizer efficacy 9   5.5.1 Principle 9   5.5.2 Procedure. 9   5.5.3 Calculations 10   5.5.4 Interpretation of results and conclusion on neutralizer efficacy 10   5.6 Determination of the preservation efficacy of the formulation 11   5.6.1 Procedure 11   5.6.2 Counting of colonies 11   5.6.3 Calculations 12   5.6 Determination of test results and conclusions 12   5.7 Interpretation of test results and conclusions 13   5.7.1 Criteria 13   5.7.2 General case (efficacy of the neutralizer is demonstrated for all strains) 13   5.7.3 Case of formulations for which the efficacy of the neutralizer is not 14   5.8 Test report 14   6 Overall evaluation of the antimicrobial protection of the cosmetic prod					
5.4.3 Preparation of Aspergillus brasiliensis spore suspension 9   5.5 Demonstration of the neutralizer efficacy 9   5.5.1 Principle 9   5.5.2 Procedure 9   5.5.3 Calculations 10   5.5.4 Interpretation of results and conclusion on neutralizer efficacy 10   5.6 Determination of the preservation efficacy of the formulation 11   5.6.1 Procedure 11   5.6.2 Counting of colonies 12   5.7 Interpretation of test results and conclusions 13   5.7.1 Criteria 13   5.7.2 General case (efficacy of the neutralizer is demonstrated for all strains) 13   5.7.3 Case of formulations for which the efficacy of the neutralizer is not demonstrated for some strains 14   6 Overall evaluation of the antimicrobial protection of the cosmetic product 15   6.1 General 15   6.2 Case 1 — Preservation efficacy test has been performed on the formulation 15   6.3 Case 2 — Preservation efficacy test has not been performed on the formulation 16   Annex A (normative) Decision diagram </th <th></th> <th></th> <th>5.4.1 General</th> <th>7</th>			5.4.1 General	7	
5.4.3 Preparation of Aspergillus brasiliensis spore suspension 9   5.5 Demonstration of the neutralizer efficacy 9   5.5.1 Principle 9   5.5.2 Procedure 9   5.5.3 Calculations 10   5.5.4 Interpretation of results and conclusion on neutralizer efficacy 10   5.6 Determination of the preservation efficacy of the formulation 11   5.6.1 Procedure 11   5.6.2 Counting of colonies 12   5.7 Interpretation of test results and conclusions 13   5.7.1 Criteria 13   5.7.2 General case (efficacy of the neutralizer is demonstrated for all strains) 13   5.7.3 Case of formulations for which the efficacy of the neutralizer is not demonstrated for some strains 14   6 Overall evaluation of the antimicrobial protection of the cosmetic product 15   6.1 General 15   6.2 Case 1 — Preservation efficacy test has been performed on the formulation 15   6.3 Case 2 — Preservation efficacy test has not been performed on the formulation 16   Annex A (normative) Decision diagram </th <th></th> <th></th> <th>5.4.2 Preparation of bacterial and <i>Candida albicans</i> suspensions</th> <th>8</th>			5.4.2 Preparation of bacterial and <i>Candida albicans</i> suspensions	8	
5.5.1 Principle 9   5.5.2 Procedure 9   5.5.3 Calculations 10   5.5.4 Interpretation of results and conclusion on neutralizer efficacy 10   5.6 Determination of the preservation efficacy of the formulation 11   5.6.1 Procedure 11   5.6.2 Counting of colonies 11   5.6.3 Calculations 12   5.7 Interpretation of test results and conclusions 13   5.7.1 Criteria 13   5.7.2 General case (efficacy of the neutralizer is demonstrated for all strains) 13   5.7.3 Case of formulations for which the efficacy of the neutralizer is not demonstrated for some strains   5.8 Test report 14   6 Overall evaluation of the antimicrobial protection of the cosmetic product 15   6.3 Case 1 — Preservation efficacy test has been performed on the formulation 15   6.3 Case 2 — Preservation efficacy test has not been performed on the formulation 16   Annex A (normative) Decision diagram 17 Annex A (normative) Evaluation criteria for the preservation efficacy test 18			5.4.3 Preparation of Aspergillus brasiliensis spore suspension	9	
5.5.2 Procedure		5.5	Demonstration of the neutralizer efficacy	9	
5.5.3Calculations105.5.4Interpretation of results and conclusion on neutralizer efficacy105.6Determination of the preservation efficacy of the formulation115.6.1Procedure.115.6.2Counting of colonies115.6.3Calculations125.7Interpretation of test results and conclusions135.7.1Criteria135.7.2General case (efficacy of the neutralizer is demonstrated for all strains)135.7.3Case of formulations for which the efficacy of the neutralizer is not demonstrated for some strains146Overall evaluation of the antimicrobial protection of the cosmetic product156.1General156.2Case 1 — Preservation efficacy test has been performed on the formulation16Annex A (normative) Decision diagram17Annex B (normative) Evaluation criteria for the preservation efficacy test18Annex D (informative) Packaging characteristics20			5.5.1 Principle	9	
5.5.4Interpretation of results and conclusion on neutralizer efficacy105.6Determination of the preservation efficacy of the formulation115.6.1Procedure.115.6.2Counting of colonies115.6.3Calculations125.7Interpretation of test results and conclusions135.7.1Criteria135.7.2General case (efficacy of the neutralizer is demonstrated for all strains)135.7.3Case of formulations for which the efficacy of the neutralizer is not demonstrated for some strains145.8Test report146Overall evaluation of the antimicrobial protection of the cosmetic product156.1General156.2Case 1 — Preservation efficacy test has been performed on the formulation16Annex A (normative) Decision diagram17Annex B (normative) Evaluation criteria for the preservation efficacy test18Annex D (informative) Examples of neutralizers for the antimicrobial activity of preservatives and washing liquids19Annex D (informative) Packaging characteristics20					
5.6 Determination of the preservation efficacy of the formulation 11   5.6.1 Procedure 11   5.6.2 Counting of colonies 11   5.6.3 Calculations 12   5.7 Interpretation of test results and conclusions 13   5.7.1 Criteria 13   5.7.2 General case (efficacy of the neutralizer is demonstrated for all strains) 13   5.7.3 Case of formulations for which the efficacy of the neutralizer is not demonstrated for some strains 14   5.8 Test report 14   6 Overall evaluation of the antimicrobial protection of the cosmetic product 15   6.1 General 15   6.2 Case 1 — Preservation efficacy test has been performed on the formulation 15   6.3 Case 2 — Preservation efficacy test has not been performed on the formulation 16   Annex A (normative) Decision diagram 17 17   Annex C (informative) Examples of neutralizers for the antimicrobial activity of preservatives and washing liquids 19   Annex D (informative) Packaging characteristics 20					
5.6.1 Procedure					
5.6.2Counting of colonies115.6.3Calculations125.7Interpretation of test results and conclusions135.7.1Criteria135.7.2General case (efficacy of the neutralizer is demonstrated for all strains)135.7.3Case of formulations for which the efficacy of the neutralizer is not demonstrated for some strains146Overall evaluation of the antimicrobial protection of the cosmetic product156.1General156.2Case 1 — Preservation efficacy test has been performed on the formulation156.3Case 2 — Preservation efficacy test has not been performed on the formulation16Annex A (normative) Decision diagram17Annex B (normative) Examples of neutralizers for the antimicrobial activity of preservatives and washing liquids19Annex D (informative) Packaging characteristics20		5.6			
5.6.3Calculations125.7Interpretation of test results and conclusions135.7.1Criteria135.7.2General case (efficacy of the neutralizer is demonstrated for all strains)135.7.3Case of formulations for which the efficacy of the neutralizer is not demonstrated for some strains145.8Test report146Overall evaluation of the antimicrobial protection of the cosmetic product156.1General156.2Case 1 — Preservation efficacy test has been performed on the formulation156.3Case 2 — Preservation efficacy test has not been performed on the formulation16Annex A (normative) Decision diagram17Annex C (informative) Evaluation criteria for the preservation efficacy test18Annex D (informative) Packaging characteristics20					
5.7 Interpretation of test results and conclusions 13   5.7.1 Criteria 13   5.7.2 General case (efficacy of the neutralizer is demonstrated for all strains) 13   5.7.3 Case of formulations for which the efficacy of the neutralizer is not demonstrated for some strains 14   5.8 Test report 14   6 Overall evaluation of the antimicrobial protection of the cosmetic product 15   6.1 General 15   6.2 Case 1 — Preservation efficacy test has been performed on the formulation 15   6.3 Case 2 — Preservation efficacy test has not been performed on the formulation 16   Annex A (normative) Decision diagram 17   Annex B (normative) Evaluation criteria for the preservation efficacy test 18   Annex C (informative) Examples of neutralizers for the antimicrobial activity of preservatives and washing liquids 19   Annex D (informative) Packaging characteristics 20					
5.7.1Criteria135.7.2General case (efficacy of the neutralizer is demonstrated for all strains)135.7.3Case of formulations for which the efficacy of the neutralizer is not demonstrated for some strains145.8Test report146Overall evaluation of the antimicrobial protection of the cosmetic product156.1General156.2Case 1 — Preservation efficacy test has been performed on the formulation156.3Case 2 — Preservation efficacy test has not been performed on the formulation16Annex A (normative) Decision diagram17Annex B (normative) Evaluation criteria for the preservation efficacy test18Annex C (informative) Examples of neutralizers for the antimicrobial activity of preservatives and washing liquids19Annex D (informative) Packaging characteristics20		F 7			
5.7.2General case (efficacy of the neutralizer is demonstrated for all strains)135.7.3Case of formulations for which the efficacy of the neutralizer is not demonstrated for some strains.145.8Test report.146Overall evaluation of the antimicrobial protection of the cosmetic product.156.1General.156.2Case 1 — Preservation efficacy test has been performed on the formulation156.3Case 2 — Preservation efficacy test has not been performed on the formulation16Annex A (normative) Decision diagram.17Annex B (normative) Evaluation criteria for the preservation efficacy test18Annex C (informative) Examples of neutralizers for the antimicrobial activity of preservatives and washing liquids.19Annex D (informative) Packaging characteristics.20		5.7	-		
5.7.3 Case of formulations for which the efficacy of the neutralizer is not demonstrated for some strains. 14   5.8 Test report. 14   6 Overall evaluation of the antimicrobial protection of the cosmetic product. 15   6.1 General. 15   6.2 Case 1 — Preservation efficacy test has been performed on the formulation 15   6.3 Case 2 — Preservation efficacy test has not been performed on the formulation 16   Annex A (normative) Decision diagram. 17   Annex B (normative) Evaluation criteria for the preservation efficacy test 18   Annex C (informative) Examples of neutralizers for the antimicrobial activity of preservatives and washing liquids. 19   Annex D (informative) Packaging characteristics. 20					
demonstrated for some strains.145.8Test report.146Overall evaluation of the antimicrobial protection of the cosmetic product.156.1General.156.2Case 1 — Preservation efficacy test has been performed on the formulation156.3Case 2 — Preservation efficacy test has not been performed on the formulation16Annex A (normative) Decision diagram.17Annex B (normative) Evaluation criteria for the preservation efficacy test18Annex C (informative) Examples of neutralizers for the antimicrobial activity of preservatives and washing liquids.19Annex D (informative) Packaging characteristics.20				13	
5.8 Test report				14	
6 Overall evaluation of the antimicrobial protection of the cosmetic product		5.8			
6.1General	6				
6.2Case 1 — Preservation efficacy test has been performed on the formulation156.3Case 2 — Preservation efficacy test has not been performed on the formulation16Annex A (normative) Decision diagram17Annex B (normative) Evaluation criteria for the preservation efficacy test18Annex C (informative) Examples of neutralizers for the antimicrobial activity of preservatives and washing liquids19Annex D (informative) Packaging characteristics20	0		Conoral	13	
6.3 Case 2 — Preservation efficacy test has not been performed on the formulation					
Annex A (normative) Decision diagram					
Annex B (normative) Evaluation criteria for the preservation efficacy test 18   Annex C (informative) Examples of neutralizers for the antimicrobial activity of preservatives and washing liquids	Annov				
Annex C (informative) Examples of neutralizers for the antimicrobial activity of preservatives and washing liquids	Annex	$\mathbf{R}$ (norm	native) Evaluation gritaria for the process strain officiary test	10	
and washing liquids					
Annex D (informative) Packaging characteristics	Annex				
DIDITORI apity			,		

#### Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see <a href="https://www.iso.org/directives">www.iso.org/directives</a>).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see <a href="http://www.iso.org/patents">www.iso.org/patents</a>).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) see www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html.

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 217, Cosmetics.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at <u>www.iso.org/members.html</u>.

This second edition cancels and replaces the first edition (ISO 11930:2012), which has been technically revised. The main changes compared to the previous edition are as follows.

- Two types of diluents, composition 1 and composition 2 can be used as the diluents for bacteria and *Candida albicans* on the revised version (5.2.3).
- 5.6.2 Paragraph 2 has been changed to "When counts of surviving microorganisms obtained in 5.6.1.4 c) are less than 30 for bacteria and *C. albicans* or less than 15 for *A. brasiliensis* at the dilution where neutralization has been checked, record the number of colonies on Petri dishes and express results by multiplying by the dilution factor. If no colonies are observed at the dilution where neutralization has been checked, note the result as <1 and multiply by the dilution factor."</p>

12

# An Amendment A1 foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see <a href="https://www.iso.org/directives">www.iso.org/directives</a>).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see <a href="http://www.iso.org/patents">www.iso.org/patents</a>).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT), see www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html.

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 217, *Cosmetics*, in collaboration with the European Committee for Standardization (CEN) Technical Committee CEN/TC 392, *Cosmetics*, in accordance with the Agreement on technical cooperation between ISO and CEN (Vienna Agreement).

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at <u>www.iso.org/members.html</u>. (A1

#### Introduction

This document is designed to be used in the overall evaluation of the antimicrobial protection of a cosmetic product.

The antimicrobial protection of a product can come from many sources:

- chemical preservation;
- inherent characteristics of the formulation;
- package design;
- manufacturing process.

This document defines a series of steps to be taken when assessing the overall antimicrobial protection of a cosmetic product. A reference method for a preservation efficacy test (challenge test) along with evaluation criteria is also described in this document.

The test described in this document involves, for each test microorganism, placing the formulation in contact with a calibrated inoculum, and then measuring the changes in the microorganism count at set time intervals for a set period and at a set temperature.

The data generated by the risk assessment (see ISO 29621) or by the preservation efficacy test, or both, are used to establish the level of antimicrobial protection required to minimize user risk.

this document is a proview of mensor by the document is a proview of mensor by the document of the document of

# **Cosmetics — Microbiology — Evaluation of the antimicrobial protection of a cosmetic product**

#### 1 Scope

This document specifies a procedure for the interpretation of data generated by the preservation efficacy test or by the microbiological risk assessment, or both, when evaluating the overall antimicrobial protection of a cosmetic product.

It comprises:

- a preservation efficacy test;
- a procedure for evaluating the overall antimicrobial protection of a cosmetic product that is not considered low risk, based on a risk assessment described in ISO 29621.

The preservation efficacy test is a reference method to evaluate the preservation of a cosmetic formulation. It is applicable to cosmetic products in the marketplace.

This test does not apply to those cosmetic products for which the microbiological risk has been determined to be low according to Annex A and ISO 29621.

This test is primarily designed for water-soluble or water-miscible cosmetic products and can be used with modification to test products in which water is the internal (discontinuous) phase.

NOTE This test can be used as a guideline to establish a development method during the development cycle of cosmetic products. In this case, the test can be modified or extended, or both, for example, to make allowance for prior data and different variables (microbial strains, media, incubation conditions exposure time, etc.). Compliance criteria can be adapted to specific objectives. During the development stage of cosmetic products, other methods, where relevant, can be used to determine the preservation efficacy of formulations.

#### 2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO 16212, Cosmetics — Microbiology — Enumeration of yeast and mould

ISO 18415, Cosmetics — Microbiology — Detection of specified and non-specified microorganisms

ISO 21148:2017, Cosmetics — Microbiology — General instructions for microbiological examination

ISO 21149, Cosmetics — Microbiology — Enumeration and detection of aerobic mesophilic bacteria

ISO 29621, Cosmetics — Microbiology — Guidelines for the risk assessment and identification of microbiologically low-risk products