

**Health informatics - Device interoperability -
Part 20601: Personal health device
communication - Application profile -
Optimized exchange protocol (ISO/IEEE
11073-20601:2022)**

EESTI STANDARDI EESSÕNA

NATIONAL FOREWORD

See Eesti standard EVS-EN ISO/IEEE 11073-20601:2022 sisaldab Euroopa standardi EN ISO/IEEE 11073-20601:2022 ingliskeelset teksti.	This Estonian standard EVS-EN ISO/IEEE 11073-20601:2022 consists of the English text of the European standard EN ISO/IEEE 11073-20601:2022.
Standard on jõustunud sellekohase teate avaldamisega EVS Teatajas.	This standard has been endorsed with a notification published in the official bulletin of the Estonian Centre for Standardisation and Accreditation.
Euroopa standardimisorganisatsioonid on teinud Euroopa standardi rahvuslikele liikmetele kättesaadavaks 21.12.2022.	Date of Availability of the European standard is 21.12.2022.
Standard on kättesaadav Eesti Standardimis-ja Akrediteerimiskeskusest.	The standard is available from the Estonian Centre for Standardisation and Accreditation.

Tagasisidet standardi sisu kohta on võimalik edastada, kasutades EVS-i veebilehel asuvat tagasiside vormi või saates e-kirja meiliaadressile standardiosakond@evs.ee.

ICS 11.040.40

Standardite reprodutseerimise ja levitamise õigus kuulub Eesti Standardimis- ja Akrediteerimiskeskusele. Andmete paljundamine, taastekitamine, kopeerimine, salvestamine elektroonsesse süsteemi või edastamine ükskõik millises vormis või millisel teel ilma Eesti Standardimis-ja Akrediteerimiskeskuse kirjaliku loata on keelatud.

Kui Teil on küsimusi standardite autorikaitse kohta, võtke palun ühendust Eesti Standardimis-ja Akrediteerimiskeskusega: Koduleht www.evs.ee; telefon 605 5050; e-post info@evs.ee

The right to reproduce and distribute standards belongs to the Estonian Centre for Standardisation and Accreditation. No part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, without a written permission from the Estonian Centre for Standardisation and Accreditation.

If you have any questions about copyright, please contact Estonian Centre for Standardisation and Accreditation: Homepage www.evs.ee; phone +372 605 5050; e-mail info@evs.ee

English Version

Health informatics - Device interoperability - Part 20601:
Personal health device communication - Application
profile - Optimized exchange protocol (ISO/IEEE 11073-
20601:2022)

Informatique de santé - Interopérabilité des dispositifs
- Partie 20601: Communication entre dispositifs de
santé personnels - Profil d'application - Protocole
d'échange optimisé (ISO/IEEE 11073-20601:2022)

Medizinische Informatik - Kommunikation von Geräten
für die persönliche Gesundheit - Teil 20601:
Anwendungsprofil - Optimiertes
Datenübertragungsprotokoll (ISO/IEEE 11073-
20601:2022)

This European Standard was approved by CEN on 4 December 2022.

CEN members are bound to comply with the CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations which stipulate the conditions for giving this European Standard the status of a national standard without any alteration. Up-to-date lists and bibliographical references concerning such national standards may be obtained on application to the CEN-CENELEC Management Centre or to any CEN member.

This European Standard exists in three official versions (English, French, German). A version in any other language made by translation under the responsibility of a CEN member into its own language and notified to the CEN-CENELEC Management Centre has the same status as the official versions.

CEN members are the national standards bodies of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Republic of North Macedonia, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Türkiye and United Kingdom.



EUROPEAN COMMITTEE FOR STANDARDIZATION
COMITÉ EUROPÉEN DE NORMALISATION
EUROPÄISCHES KOMITEE FÜR NORMUNG

CEN-CENELEC Management Centre: Rue de la Science 23, B-1040 Brussels

European foreword

This document (EN ISO/IEEE 11073-20601:2022) has been prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 215 "Health informatics" in collaboration with Technical Committee CEN/TC 251 "Health informatics" the secretariat of which is held by NEN.

This European Standard shall be given the status of a national standard, either by publication of an identical text or by endorsement, at the latest by June 2023, and conflicting national standards shall be withdrawn at the latest by June 2023.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. CEN shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

This document supersedes EN ISO 11073-20601:2016.

Any feedback and questions on this document should be directed to the users' national standards body/national committee. A complete listing of these bodies can be found on the CEN website.

According to the CEN-CENELEC Internal Regulations, the national standards organizations of the following countries are bound to implement this European Standard: Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Republic of North Macedonia, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Türkiye and the United Kingdom.

Endorsement notice

The text of ISO/IEEE 11073-20601:2022 has been approved by CEN as EN ISO/IEEE 11073-20601:2022 without any modification.

Introduction

This introduction is not part of IEEE Std 11073-20601-2019, Health informatics—Personal health device communication—Part 20601: Application profile—Optimized Exchange Protocol.

ISO and IEEE 11073 standards enable communication between medical devices and external computer systems. This standard and corresponding IEEE 11073-104zz standards address a need for a simplified and optimized communication approach for personal health devices, which may or may not be regulated devices. These standards align with, and draw upon, the existing clinically focused standards to provide easy management of data from either a clinical or personal health device.

This document addresses a need for an openly defined, independent standard for converting the collected information into an interoperable transmission format so the information can be exchanged between agents and managers.

Other closely related standards include the following:

- IEEE Std 11073-00103™ [B6]¹ provides an overview of the personal health space and defines the underlying use cases and usage models.
- ISO/IEEE 11073-10201:2004 [B17] documents the extensive domain information model (DIM) leveraged by this standard.
- ISO/IEEE 11073-104zz standards define specific device specializations. For example, ISO/IEEE 11073-10404 [B18] defines how interoperable pulse oximeters work.
- ISO/IEEE 11073-20101:2004 [B21] defines the medical device encoding rules (MDER) used in this standard.

¹ The numbers in brackets correspond to the numbers of the bibliography in Annex M.

Contents

1. Overview	13
1.1 Scope	13
1.2 Purpose	13
1.3 Context	13
1.4 Word usage	17
2. Normative references	17
3. Definitions, acronyms, and abbreviations	18
3.1 Definitions	18
3.2 Acronyms and abbreviations	19
4. Guiding principles	20
5. Introduction to IEEE 11073 personal health devices	21
5.1 General	21
5.2 Domain information model (DIM)	21
5.3 Service model	21
5.4 Communication model	22
5.5 Compliance with other standards	22
5.6 Security	22
6. Personal health device DIM	22
6.1 General	22
6.2 Nomenclature usage	23
6.3 Personal health object class definitions	24
6.4 Information model extensibility rules	83
7. Personal health device service model	83
7.1 General	83
7.2 Association service	84
7.3 Object access services	84
7.4 Specific application of object access EVENT REPORT services for personal health devices	85
8. Communication model	93
8.1 General	93
8.2 System context	93
8.3 Communications characteristics	94
8.4 State machines	97
8.5 Connected procedure	103
8.6 Unassociated procedure	103
8.7 Associating procedure	104
8.8 Configuring procedure	111
8.9 Operating procedure	115
8.10 Disassociating procedure	130
8.11 Message encoding	131
8.12 Time coordination	131
9. Conformance model	135
9.1 Applicability	135
9.2 Conformance specification	135
9.3 Implementation conformance statements (ICSs)	136
9.4 General conformance	136
9.5 Device additions/extensions ICS	140

Annex A (normative) ASN.1 definitions.....	144
A.1 General.....	144
A.2 Common data types	144
A.3 Attribute data types.....	150
A.4 ACTION-method-related data types.....	158
A.5 Message-related data types	160
A.6 Other	160
A.7 Personal health device protocol frame.....	160
A.8 Association protocol definitions	161
A.9 Presentation protocol definitions	164
A.10 Data protocol definitions	164
A.11 Data types for new object attributes and object services	169
Annex B (informative) Scale and range specification example.....	185
B.1 General.....	185
B.2 Thermometer example	185
Annex C (informative) The PM-store concept	187
C.1 General.....	187
C.2 Persistent metric (PM) store object hierarchy	188
Annex D (informative) Transport profile types.....	192
D.1 General.....	192
D.2 Type 1	192
D.3 Type 2	193
D.4 Type 3	193
D.5 Summary.....	194
Annex E (normative) State tables.....	195
E.1 General.....	195
E.2 Events.....	195
E.3 Agent state table.....	197
E.4 Manager state table	206
Annex F (normative) Medical device encoding rules (MDER).....	215
F.1 General	215
F.2 Supported ASN.1 syntax	215
F.3 Byte order.....	216
F.4 Encodings.....	218
F.5 Floating point numbers.....	224
F.6 Floating point data structure—FLOAT-Type.....	224
F.7 Short floating point data structure—SFLOAT-Type.....	225
F.8 Expression of precision of floating point numbers.....	226
Annex G (informative) Encoded data type definitions	227
Annex H (informative) Examples.....	249
H.1 General.....	249
H.2 Weighing scale.....	249
H.3 Pulse oximeter	255
H.4 PM-store and PM-segment transactions	256
Annex I (normative) Nomenclature codes.....	265
Annex J (informative) Derivation and modification history.....	272
J.1 General.....	272
J.2 ASN.1 structures	272
J.3 Medical device encoding rules (MDER).....	272
J.4 Nomenclature codes.....	272

Annex K (informative) The schedule-store concept.....	275
K.1 General.....	275
K.2 Schedule-store object hierarchy.....	276
Annex L (informative) Revision history.....	279
Annex M (informative) Bibliography.....	280

Health informatics—Personal health device communication

Part 20601: Application profile— Optimized Exchange Protocol

1. Overview

1.1 Scope

Within the context of the ISO/IEEE 11073 personal health device standard family, this standard defines an optimized exchange protocol and modeling techniques to be used by implementers of personal health devices to create interoperability between device types and vendors. This standard establishes a common framework for an abstract model of personal health data available in transport-independent transfer syntax required to establish logical connections between systems and to provide presentation capabilities and services needed to perform communication tasks. The protocol is optimized to personal health usage requirements and leverages commonly used methods and tools wherever possible.

1.2 Purpose

This standard addresses a need for an openly defined, independent standard for controlling information exchange to and from personal health devices and managers (e.g., cell phones, personal computers, personal health appliances, and set top boxes). Interoperability is key to growing the potential market for these devices and enabling people to be better-informed participants in the management of their health.

1.3 Context

Figure 1 shows categories and typical devices supporting the personal health space. Agents (e.g., blood pressure monitors, weighing scales, and pedometers) collect information about a person (or persons) and transfer the information to a manager (e.g., cell phone, health appliance, or personal computer) for collection, display, and possible later transmission. The manager may also forward the data to remote support services for further analysis. The information is available from a range of domains including disease management, health and fitness, or aging independently applications.

The communication path between agent and manager is assumed to be a logical point-to-point connection. Generally, an agent communicates with a single manager at any point in time. A manager may communicate with multiple agents simultaneously using separate point-to-point connections.




Figure 1—Overall context of work

The overlay shows the focus area of the IEEE 11073™ Personal Health Devices Working Group. The primary concentration is the interface and data exchange between the agents and manager. However, this interface cannot be created in isolation by ignoring the remainder of the solution space. Remaining cognizant of the entire system helps to move data reasonably from the agents all the way to the remote support services when necessary. This path may include converting the data format, exchange protocols, and transport protocols across different interfaces. Much of the standardization effort is outside of the scope of the Personal Health Devices Working Group; however, aligning all standardization efforts allows data to flow seamlessly through the overall set of systems.

Figure 2 shows a hierarchical view of the architecture of an agent or manager superimposed with a view of the related standards. The application layers are, for the most part, not specific to any particular transport. Where necessary, this standard identifies assumptions that require direct support by a transport or a “shim” layer above the transport. This approach allows support for various transports. The definition of the transports is outside the scope of this standard and the working group.




Figure 2—Document map

Above the transport layer is the Optimized Exchange Protocol. This protocol consists of two aspects: the application layer services and the definition of the data-exchange protocol between agents and managers. The application layer services provide the protocol for connection management and reliable transfer of actions and data between agent and manager. The data-exchange protocol defines the commands, agent configuration information, data format, and overall protocol. The Optimized Exchange Protocol provides the basis to support any type of agent. For a specific device type, the reader is directed to the device specialization for that agent to understand the capabilities of the device and its implementation according to this standard. The device specialization indicates which aspects of this standard to comprehend and where further information to implement the device is found.

Above the exchange protocol are device specializations that describe specific details relative to the particular agent (e.g., blood pressure monitor, weighing scale, or pedometer). The specializations describe the details of how these agents work and act as a detailed description for creating a specific type of agent. Additionally, they provide reference to a related standard for further details. The standard numbers reserved for device specializations range from IEEE Std 11073-10401 through IEEE Std 11073-10499, inclusive. When the collection of standards is being referenced, the term *IEEE 11073-104zz* is used where *zz* could be any number in the range from 01 to 99, inclusive.

Some device specializations describe broad categories of device types (e.g., the IEEE 11073-10441™ model device types that promote cardiovascular activity such as step counters or exercise cycles). Other device specializations have a narrow focus on a single device type (e.g., IEEE 11073-10408™ model thermometers). Specializations that address one or more device types may also define *profiles*. A profile further constrains the model defined in a specialization to increase interoperability (e.g., the step counter profile utilizes a limited portion of IEEE 11073-10441 modeling).

Two device specializations are defined by this document itself, “hydra” and “generic”. These two specializations are not covered in a separate IEEE 11073-104zz standard. These specializations are defined to support signaling of multi-function or generic agents and managers at the transport level. See 7.4.3.4 for more details.

Note that, in the medical device system (MDS) object defined in this specification, an agent can indicate the full list of supported specializations.

IEEE Std 11073-00103™ [B6]² technical report describes the overall personal health space with further definition of the underlying use cases and usage models.

The personal health device specializations are not being created independently of all other standards. There are a number of existing standards generated for clinical environments upon which these standards draw. Figure 3 shows the relationship to the remainder of the IEEE 11073 documents. There are two types of relationships:

- Drawing ideas and/or content from the other documents (dashed lines).
- Leveraging information from the other document and introducing new content into that document to support this standard (solid lines).




Figure 3—Relationship to other IEEE 11073 documents

This standard imports information from ISO/IEEE 11073-10201:2004 [B17] and ISO/IEEE 11073-20101:2004 [B21] as normative annexes. If there is a discrepancy between these standards, this standard

² The numbers in brackets correspond to the numbers of the bibliography in Annex M.

takes priority. Because of the reuse of constructs from these standards, some of the names appear to be more clinically focused (e.g., MDS instead of personal health device system); however, to maintain consistency, the traditional names have been preserved.

This standard reproduces relevant portions of IEEE Std 11073-1010.³ Between this standard, IEEE Std 11073-10101, and IEEE 11073-104zz, all required nomenclature codes for implementation are documented. New codes may be defined in newer versions/revisions of each of these documents. In the case of a conflict, where one term code has been assigned to two separate semantic concepts with different RefIDs, in general the oldest definition that is in actual use should take precedence. The same policy applies when one RefID has two different code values assigned in different specifications. The resolution of such conflicts will be determined through joint action by the responsible working groups and other stakeholders, and any corrective actions will be published as corrigenda.

1.4 Word usage

The word *shall* indicates mandatory requirements strictly to be followed in order to conform to the standard and from which no deviation is permitted (*shall equals is required to*).^{4,5}

The word *should* indicates that among several possibilities one is recommended as particularly suitable, without mentioning or excluding others; or that a certain course of action is preferred but not necessarily required (*should equals is recommended that*).

The word *may* is used to indicate a course of action permissible within the limits of the standard (*may equals is permitted to*).

The word *can* is used for statements of possibility and capability, whether material, physical, or causal (*can equals is able to*).

2. Normative references

The following referenced documents are indispensable for the application of this document (i.e., they must be understood and used, so each referenced document is cited in text and its relationship to this document is explained). For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments or corrigenda) applies.

IEEE Std 802®-2014, IEEE Standard for Local and Metropolitan Area Networks: Overview and Architecture.^{6,7}

IEEE Std 1541™-2002 (Reaff 2008), IEEE Standard for Prefixes for Binary Multiples.

IEEE Std 11073-10101™, Health informatics—Point-of-care medical device communication—Part 10101: Nomenclature.

³ Information about normative references can be found in Clause 2.

⁴ The use of the word *must* is deprecated and cannot be used when stating mandatory requirements; *must* is used only to describe unavoidable situations.

⁵ The use of *will* is deprecated and cannot be used when stating mandatory requirements; *will* is only used in statements of fact.

⁶ IEEE publications are available from The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (<http://standards.ieee.org>).

⁷ The IEEE standards or products referred to in this clause are trademarks of The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc.

ISO/IEC 80000-13:2008, Quantities and units—Part 13: Information science and technology.^{8, 9}

ITU-T Rec. X.667 (09/2004), Information technology—Open Systems Interconnection—Procedures for the operation of OSI Registration Authorities: Generation and registration of universally unique identifiers (UUIDs) and their use as ASN.1 object identifier components.¹⁰

3. Definitions, acronyms, and abbreviations

3.1 Definitions

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply. The *IEEE Standards Dictionary Online* should be consulted for terms not defined in this clause.¹¹

agent: A node that collects and transmits personal health data to an associated manager.

attribute: Data representing a property of an object. Attributes, together with actions, define an object.

AttributeChangeSet: The set of attribute value changes that represents an atomic update of an object. The medical device system (MDS) or scanner is notified when an AttributeChangeSet is completed. Collections of these AttributeChangeSets are mapped by the MDS or scanner into one of the ObservationScan structures in a scan event report that is sent to the manager. The manager updates its object with the set of attribute value changes contained in the ObservationScan before deriving any semantic behavior.

compute engine: *See: manager.*

confirmed: An application-level, completion notification service mechanism. For EVENT REPORT services (i.e., the data plane), confirmation allows the agent to know when the manager has “accepted responsibility” for a piece of data so that the agent can delete that piece of data. For the ACTION, GET, and SET services (i.e., the control plane), confirmation allows the manager to know when the agent has “completed” the requested transaction.

device: A physical device implementing either an agent or manager role.

dynamic attribute: An attribute with a value that may change during an association.

handle: An unsigned 16-bit number that is locally unique and identifies one of the object instances within an agent.

manager: A node receiving data from one or more agent systems. Examples of managers include a cellular phone, health appliance, set top box, or computer system.

metric: An object that models different forms of measurements.

⁸ This standard cancels and replaces sections 3.8 and 3.9 of IEC 60027-2 (2005).

⁹ ISO/IEC publications are available from the International Organization for Standardization (<http://www.iso.ch/>). ISO/IEC publications are also available in the United States from Global Engineering Documents (<http://global.ihs.com/>). Electronic copies are available in the United States from the American National Standards Institute (<http://www.ansi.org/>).

¹⁰ ITU-T publications are available from the International Telecommunications Union (<http://www.itu.int/>).

¹¹ *IEEE Standards Dictionary Online* is available at <http://dictionary.ieee.org>.