
**Information technology — Metadata
registries (MDR) —**

**Part 3:
Metamodel for registry common
facilities**



This document is a preview generated by EUS



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO/IEC 2023

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva
Phone: +41 22 749 01 11
Email: copyright@iso.org
Website: www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

Contents

Page

Foreword	x
Introduction	xii
1 Scope	1
1.1 Structure of a metadata registry	1
1.2 Model extensions	1
2 Normative references	1
3 Terms, definitions and abbreviated terms	2
3.1 Terms related to metamodel constructs	2
3.2 Terms related to concepts	5
3.3 Abbreviated terms	17
4 Conformance	17
4.1 Overview of conformance	17
4.2 Degree of conformance	18
4.2.1 General	18
4.2.2 Strictly conforming implementations	18
4.2.3 Conforming implementations	18
4.3 Conformance by feature	19
4.4 Registry conformance	19
4.4.1 Overview	19
4.4.2 Standard profiles for registries	19
4.4.3 Conformance labels	19
4.5 Obligation	20
4.6 Implementation conformance statement (ICS)	20
4.7 Roles and responsibilities for registration	20
5 Approach to modelling a metadata registry	20
5.1 Metamodel for a metadata registry	20
5.2 Application of the metamodel	21
5.3 Specification of the metamodel	21
5.3.1 Terminology used in specifying the metamodel	21
5.3.2 Choice of fonts	22
5.3.3 Use of UML Packages	22
5.3.4 Package dependencies	23
5.3.5 Use of UML Class diagrams and textual description	23
5.3.6 Use of dot notation	24
5.4 Types, instances and values	24
5.5 Extensibility	24
5.6 Date references	24
6 Basic_and_Core package	25
6.1 Overview of Basic_and_Core package	25
6.2 Predefined types metamodel region	25
6.2.1 Overview of predefined types	25
6.2.2 Boolean	25
6.2.3 Datetime	25
6.2.4 Date	25
6.2.5 Integer	26
6.2.6 Natural_Range	26
6.2.7 Notation	26
6.2.8 Phone_Number	26
6.2.9 Postal_Address	26
6.2.10 Sign	26
6.2.11 String	26
6.2.12 Text	27

6.2.13	Value	27
6.3	Basic classes metamodel region	27
6.3.1	Overview of basic classes	27
6.3.2	Individual class	28
6.3.3	Organization class	28
6.3.4	Role class	28
6.3.5	Contact class	29
6.3.6	Document_Type class	29
6.3.7	Language_Identification class	30
6.3.8	Reference_Document class	32
6.3.9	Registration_Authority_Identifier class	32
6.3.10	Datetime_Period class	33
6.4	Core metamodel region	33
6.4.1	Overview of Core metamodel region	33
6.4.2	Classes in the Core metamodel region	34
6.4.3	Associations in the Core metamodel region	36
7	Identification package	37
7.1	Overview of Identification metamodel region	37
7.2	Classes referenced from the Basic_and_Core package	37
7.2.1	Item class	37
7.3	Classes in the Identification metamodel region	38
7.3.1	Identified_Item class	38
7.3.2	Scoped_Identifier class	38
7.3.3	Namespace class	39
7.4	Associations in the Identification metamodel region	41
7.4.1	item_identification association	41
7.4.2	identification association	42
7.4.3	identifier_scope association	42
8	Designation_and_Definition package	42
8.1	Overview of Designation and Definition metamodel region	42
8.2	Classes referenced from the Basic_and_Core package	43
8.2.1	Item class	43
8.2.2	Context class	43
8.3	Classes referenced from the Identification package	44
8.3.1	Namespace class	44
8.4	Classes in the Designation and Definition metamodel region	46
8.4.1	Designation class	46
8.4.2	Definition class	47
8.4.3	Designation_Definition_Pairing class	48
8.4.4	Naming_Convention class	49
8.5	Association classes in the Designation and Definition metamodel region	50
8.5.1	Definition_Context association class	50
8.5.2	Designation_Context association class	51
8.6	Associations in the Designation and Definition metamodel region	51
8.6.1	context_for_pairing association	51
8.6.2	designation_namespace association	51
8.6.3	item_definition association	51
8.6.4	item_designation association	52
8.6.5	naming_convention_conformance association	52
8.6.6	naming_convention_utilization association	52
8.6.7	paired_definition association	52
8.6.8	paired_designation association	52
8.7	Datatypes in the Designation and Definition metamodel region	52
8.7.1	Acceptability enumeration	52
9	Registration package	53
9.1	Overview of Registration metamodel region	53
9.2	Classes referenced from the Basic and core package	54

9.2.1	Contact class.....	54
9.2.2	Organization class.....	54
9.2.3	Reference_Document class.....	55
9.3	Classes referenced from the Identification package.....	55
9.3.1	Identified_Item.....	55
9.3.2	Namespace class.....	55
9.4	Classes in the Registration region.....	55
9.4.1	Registered_Item class.....	55
9.4.2	Administered_Item class.....	56
9.4.3	Attached_Item class.....	57
9.4.4	Registration_State class.....	58
9.4.5	Constraint_Set class.....	59
9.4.6	Registration_Authority class.....	60
9.4.7	Registrar class.....	61
9.4.8	Stewardship_Record class.....	61
9.4.9	Submission_Record class.....	62
9.4.10	Registry_Specification class.....	63
9.5	Associations in the Registration region.....	66
9.5.1	attachment association.....	66
9.5.2	reference association.....	66
9.5.3	registered_item_constraint_set association.....	66
9.5.4	registration association.....	66
9.5.5	registration_authority_namespace association.....	67
9.5.6	registration_authority_registrar association.....	67
9.5.7	stewardship association.....	67
9.5.8	submission association.....	67
9.6	Datatypes in the Registration metamodel region.....	67
9.6.1	Degree_of_Conformance enumeration.....	67
9.6.2	Registration_Status enumeration.....	68
10	Classification package.....	69
10.1	Overview of Classification metamodel region.....	69
10.2	Classes referenced from the Basic and core package.....	70
10.2.1	Item class.....	70
10.3	Classes in the Classification metamodel region.....	70
10.3.1	Classification_Scheme class.....	70
10.3.2	Classification_Scheme_Item class.....	71
10.3.3	Classification_Scheme_Item_Relationship class.....	72
10.3.4	Classification_Scheme_Item_Relationship_Type class.....	72
10.4	Associations in the Classification metamodel region.....	73
10.4.1	item_classification association.....	73
10.4.2	classification_scheme_membership association.....	73
10.4.3	subject_classification_scheme_item association.....	73
10.4.4	object_classification_scheme_item association.....	73
10.4.5	classification_scheme_item_relationship_categorization association.....	73
11	Item_Mapping package.....	74
11.1	Overview of the Item_Mapping metamodel region.....	74
11.2	Classes referenced from the Basic and core package.....	74
11.2.1	Item class.....	74
11.3	Classes in the Mapping metamodel region.....	75
11.3.1	Item_Mapping class.....	75
11.4	Association Classes in the Mapping metamodel region.....	76
11.4.1	Subject_Mapping association class.....	76
11.4.2	Object_Mapping association class.....	76
11.5	Associations in the Item Mapping metamodel region.....	77
11.5.1	mapping_hierarchy association.....	77
11.6	Datatypes in the Mapping metamodel region.....	77
11.6.1	Item_Mapping_Degree enumeration.....	77

Annex A (informative) **Consolidated class hierarchy** 79

Annex B (informative) **Illustrations of Item_Mapping**..... 80

Annex C (informative) **Example of Registering a simple Conceptual Domain** 91

Bibliography..... 96

List of Figures

Figure 1 — Package dependencies.....	23
Figure 2 — Predefined types metamodel region	25
Figure 3 — Basic classes metamodel region	27
Figure 4 — Core metamodel region	34
Figure 5 — Identification metamodel region	37
Figure 6 — Designation and Definition metamodel region	42
Figure 7 — Registration metamodel region	54
Figure 8 — Classification metamodel region	69
Figure 9 — Item mapping	74
Figure A.1 — Consolidated class hierarchy	79
Figure B.1 — Object Diagram for the ‘same as’ mapping example	81
Figure B.2 — Object Diagram for the ‘derived from’ mapping example	82
Figure B.3 — Example UML Class Diagram for the Product Supplier concept (as used by System A)	84
Figure B.4 — Example IDEF1X Model for the Product Supplier concept (as used by System B)	84
Figure B.5 — Object Diagram for the ‘semantically equivalent’ mapping example	85
Figure B.6 — Object Diagram for the ‘semantically similar’ mapping example	89
Figure C.1 — Example object model of Conceptual Domain registration	92

List of Tables

Table 1 — Attributes of Individual class	28
Table 2 — Attributes of Organization class	28
Table 3 — Attributes of Role class	29
Table 4 — Attributes of Contact class	29
Table 5 — Attributes of Document_Type class	30
Table 6 — Attributes of Language_Identification class	30
Table 7 — Attributes of Reference_Document class	32
Table 8 — Attributes of Registration_Authority_Identifier class	33
Table 9 — Attributes of Datetime_Period class	33
Table 10 — Attributes of Concept class	35
Table 11 — Attributes of Slot class	36
Table 12 — Attributes of Scoped_Identifier class	38
Table 13 — Attributes of Namespace class	40
Table 14 — Attributes of Designation class	46
Table 15 — Attributes of Definition class	48
Table 16 — Attributes of <i>Designation_Definition_Pairing</i> class	49
Table 17 — Attributes of Naming_Convention class	49
Table 18 — Attributes of Definition_Context association class	51
Table 19 — Attributes of Designation_Context association class	51
Table 20 — Enumeration of Acceptability ratings	53
Table 21 — Attributes of Administered_Item class	56
Table 22 — Attributes of Registration_State class	58
Table 23 — Attributes of Constraint_Set class	60
Table 24 — Attributes of Registration_Authority class	61
Table 25 — Attributes of Registrar class	61
Table 26 — Attributes of Stewardship_Record class	62
Table 27 — Attributes of Submission_Record class	63
Table 28 — Attributes of Registry_Specification class	63
Table 29 — Enumeration of Degree_of_Conformance	68
Table 30 — Enumeration of Registration Statuses	68
Table 31 — Attributes of Classification_Scheme_Item class	71
Table 32 — Attributes of <i>Item_Mapping</i> class	75
Table 33 — Attributes of Subject_Mapping association class	76
Table 34 — Attributes of Object_Mapping association class	77
Table 35 — Enumeration of Item_Mapping_Degree values	77
Table B.1 — Examples of Mapping Degree usage	80
Table B.2 — Table of Items used in 'same as' example	81
Table B.3 — Item_Mapping for 'Birth Date_Mapping'	81

Table B.4 — Table of Items used in 'derived_from' example	81
Table B.5 — Item_Mapping class 'isodate_mapping1'	82
Table B.6 — Table of Subject_Mappings for isodate_mapping1	83
Table B.7 — Table of Object_Mappings for isodate_mapping1	83
Table B.8 — Item_Mapping class 'isodate_mapping2'	83
Table B.9 — Table of Subject_Mappings for isodate_mapping2	83
Table B.10 — Table of Object_Mappings for isodate_mapping2	83
Table B.11 — Table of Items used in this example	84
Table B.12 — Table of Item_Mappings for this example	86
Table B.13 — Table of Mapping_Hierarchy associations	86
Table B.14 — Table of Items used in this example	87
Table B.15 — Table of Item_Mappings for this example	90
Table B.16 — Table of Mapping_Hierarchy association	90

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) and IEC (the International Electrotechnical Commission) form the specialized system for worldwide standardization. National bodies that are members of ISO or IEC participate in the development of International Standards through technical committees established by the respective organization to deal with particular fields of technical activity. ISO and IEC technical committees collaborate in fields of mutual interest. Other international organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO and IEC, also take part in the work.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of document should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives or www.iec.ch/members_experts/refdocs).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO and IEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see www.iso.org/patents) or the IEC list of patent declarations received (see <https://patents.iec.ch>).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) see www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html. In the IEC, see www.iec.ch/understanding-standards.

This document was prepared by Joint Technical Committee ISO/IEC/JTC 1, *Information technology*, Subcommittee SC 32, *Data management and interchange*.

This fourth edition cancels and replaces the third edition (ISO/IEC 11179-3:2013), which has been technically revised. It also incorporates the Amendment ISO/IEC 11179-3:2013/Amd.1:2020.

The main changes are as follows:

- this fourth edition presents a metamodel for the Common Facilities of a Basic Registry which has potential use for more than just metadata;
- the previous edition has been split into multiple parts to make it more manageable;
 - the Basic Attributes (formerly Clause 12) have been moved to ISO/IEC 11179-30: Basic attributes of metadata;
 - the Data Description region (formerly Clause 11) has been moved to ISO/IEC 11179-31: Metamodel for data specification registration;
 - the Concepts region (formerly Clause 9) and the Binary Relations region (formerly Clause 10) have been moved to ISO/IEC 11179-32: Metamodel for concept system registration;
- simplification of the UML used to describe the metamodels, such as:
 - elimination of use of stereotypes;
 - addition of an explicit 'Item' class as the superclass of all types of registry items;
 - removal of role names on associations;

- removal of redundant specification of attributes and associations in the text;
- refactoring of some of the packages to reduce dependencies, including:
 - moving the Concept class to the Basic and Core package where it is referenced from multiple metamodel regions, including: the Data Specification package in ISO/IEC 11179-31, the Concept System package in ISO/IEC 11179-32, the Data Set package in ISO/IEC 11179-33 and the Model package in ISO/IEC 11179-35;
 - moving the Context class to the Basic and Core package where it is referenced from the Designation and Definition package in this document, the Data Specification package in ISO/IEC 11179-31 and the Data Set package in ISO/IEC 11179-33;
 - moving the Slot class to the Basic and Core package, a more appropriate location than the Identification package;
 - a Classification region has been restored, based on the style of ISO/IEC 11179-3:2003^[12], to remove dependency on the Concept System region for classification;
- adding a generic mapping facility among registry items;
- a change to the formatting of the text in [Clauses 5](#) through [11](#) and [Annexes B](#) and [C](#), to better align with ISO Directives and ISO House Style, see [5.3.2](#).

A list of all parts in the ISO/IEC 11179 series can be found on the ISO and IEC websites.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at www.iso.org/members.html and www.iec.ch/national-committees.

Introduction

Data processing and electronic data interchange rely heavily on accurate, reliable, controllable and verifiable data recorded in databases. A prerequisite for correct and proper use and interpretation of data is that both users and owners of data have a common understanding of the meaning and representation of the data. To facilitate this common understanding, a number of characteristics, or attributes, of the data have to be defined. These characteristics of data are known as “metadata”, that is, “data that describes data”.

The attributes of data elements and associated metadata can be specified and recorded as registry items in a metadata registry (MDR). The metadata registry is used to keep information about data elements and associated concepts, such as “data element concepts”, “conceptual domains” and “value domains” (see ISO/IEC 11179-31). Generically, these are all referred to as “metadata items”. Such metadata are necessary to clearly describe, record, analyse, classify and administer data.

ISO/IEC 11179 addresses the semantics of data, the representation of data and the registration of the descriptions of that data. It is through these descriptions that an accurate understanding of the semantics and a useful depiction of the data are found.

The purposes of the ISO/IEC 11179 series are to promote the following:

- standard description of data;
- common understanding of data across organizational elements and between organizations;
- re-use and standardization of data over time, space and applications;
- harmonization and standardization of data within an organization and across organizations;
- management of the components of descriptions of data;
- re-use of the components of descriptions of data.

Each part of ISO/IEC 11179 is devoted to addressing a different aspect of these needs, as described in ISO/IEC 11179-1:2023, Clause 7. This document specifies the information to be recorded in a metadata registry in the form of a conceptual data model. It also specifies common facilities for dealing with identification, designation, definition and registration of any type of registry item. Thus, this document applies to registries other than metadata registries. Other parts of ISO/IEC 11179 extend this model to support specific types of metadata items, such as: data elements, data element concepts, data set specifications, concept systems, etc. (See [1.2](#).)

NOTE ISO/IEC 11179-30^[16] describes the basic attributes of registry items for purposes where a complete metadata registry is not appropriate.

This document is of interest to information developers, information managers, data administrators, standards developers, application developers, business modellers and others who are responsible for making data understandable and shareable. ISO/IEC 11179 has broad applicability across subject areas and information technologies.

ISO/IEC 11179 applies to activities including:

- a) the definition, specification and registration of contents of metadata registries, including interchanging or referencing among various collections of data elements^[17], including data sets^[19] and models^{[21][29]};
- b) interchange or reference among various collections of metadata, including models^{[21][29]};
- c) the registration and management of semantic artifacts that are useful for data management, data administration and data analysis;

- d) the interrelation of concept systems with data held in relational databases, XML databases, knowledgebases, text, and possibly graph databases deriving from natural language text understanding systems;
- e) the provision of services for semantic computing: Semantics Service Oriented Architecture, Semantic Grids, semantics-based workflows, Semantic Web, etc;
- f) support for addressing semantic web considerations such as AAA (anyone can say anything about anything), non-unique names and open world assumption.

In [Clauses 5](#) through [11](#) and [Annexes B](#) and [C](#), this document uses:

- **bold** font to highlight terms which represent metadata objects specified by the metamodel;
- normal font for terms which represent concepts defined in [Clause 3](#).

EXAMPLE **Concept** ([6.4.2.2](#)) is a class each instance of which models a concept.

Information technology — Metadata registries (MDR) —

Part 3: Metamodel for registry common facilities

1 Scope

1.1 Structure of a metadata registry

This document specifies the information to be recorded in a metadata registry in the form of a conceptual data model:

- [Clause 5](#) specifies the approach used to model a metadata registry;
- [Clause 6](#) specifies the Core Model of the registry, including basic types and classes to be reused in extending the model. The core model defines a generic “registry item”, from which any type of item that needs to be registered can be sub-classed;
- [Clause 7](#) specifies the metamodel for Identification of registry items;
- [Clause 8](#) specifies the metamodel for Designation and Definition of registry items;
- [Clause 9](#) specifies the metamodel for Registration of registry items;
- [Clause 10](#) specifies the metamodel for Classification of registry items;
- [Clause 11](#) specifies the metamodel for Mapping among registry items.

1.2 Model extensions

Other parts of ISO/IEC 11179 extend the core model to support additional functionality, including the following:

- ISO/IEC 11179-31^[17] provides a metamodel for data specification registration, including support for data elements, data element concepts, conceptual domains and value domains;
- ISO/IEC 11179-32^[18] provides a metamodel for concept system registration, including support for concept systems and ontologies;
- ISO/IEC 11179-33^[19] provides a metamodel for data set registration;
- ISO/IEC 11179-34^[20] (under development) provides a metamodel for computable data registration;
- ISO/IEC 11179-35^[21] provides a metamodel for model registration.

2 Normative references

The following referenced documents are indispensable for the application of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO/IEC 11179-6:2023, *Information technology — Metadata registries (MDR) — Part 6: Registration*

ISO/IEC 11404:2007, *Information technology — General-Purpose Datatypes (GPD)*