

English Version

**Road restraint system - Part 10: Assessment methods and  
design guidelines for transitions and terminal and  
crashcushion connection - Transitions**

Dispositifs de retenue routiers ; Méthodes d'évaluation  
et lignes directrices de conception pour les  
raccordements et les raccordements d'extrémités de  
file et d'atténuateur de choc

Rückhaltesysteme an Straßen - Prüfmethode und  
Design-Richtlinien für Übergangskonstruktionen und  
Anbindungen von Anpralldämpfern und von Anfangs-  
und Endkonstruktionen an Schutzeinrichtungen

This Technical Report was approved by CEN on 28 August 2023. It has been drawn up by the Technical Committee CEN/TC 226.

CEN members are the national standards bodies of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Republic of North Macedonia, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Türkiye and United Kingdom.



EUROPEAN COMMITTEE FOR STANDARDIZATION  
COMITÉ EUROPÉEN DE NORMALISATION  
EUROPÄISCHES KOMITEE FÜR NORMUNG

**CEN-CENELEC Management Centre: Rue de la Science 23, B-1040 Brussels**

| <b>Contents</b>   |  | <b>Page</b> |
|---|--|-------------|
| <b>European foreword</b> .....  |  | <b>3</b>    |
| <b>Introduction</b> .....   |  | <b>4</b>    |
| <b>1</b>  | <b>Scope</b> .....   | <b>5</b>    |
| <b>2</b>  | <b>Normative references</b> .....                                  | <b>5</b>    |
| <b>3</b>  | <b>Terms and definitions</b> .....                                 | <b>5</b>    |
| <b>4</b>  | <b>Abbreviations</b> .....   | <b>7</b>    |
| <b>5</b>  | <b>Assessment methods and design rules for transitions</b> .....   | <b>7</b>    |
| <b>6</b>  | <b>Assessment methods for connection-transitions</b> .....         | <b>15</b>   |
| <b>7</b>  | <b>Performance of transitions and connection-transitions</b> ..... | <b>17</b>   |
| <b>8</b>  | <b>Reporting</b> .....   | <b>18</b>   |
| <b>Annex A (informative) Reduction factors for normalized dynamic deflection in design rule C2.19</b> |  |             |
| <b>Annex B (informative) Working width and vehicle intrusion for transitions</b> .....                |  | <b>20</b>   |
| <b>Annex C (informative) Choice of the impact point for long transitions</b> .....                    |  | <b>21</b>   |
| <b>Bibliography</b> .....   |  | <b>22</b>   |

## European foreword

This document (CEN/TR 1317-10:2023) has been prepared by Technical Committee CEN/TC 226 “Road equipment”, the secretariat of which is held by AFNOR.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. CEN shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

This document supersedes ENV 1317-4:2001 in conjunction with CEN/TS 1317-7:2023, CEN/TS 1317-9:2023.

This document is read in conjunction with EN 1317-1:2010, EN 1317-2:2010, EN 1317-3:2010, EN 1317-5:2007+A2:2012, EN 16303:2020 and CEN/TS 1317-7:2023, CEN/TS 1317-9:2023, CEN/TS 17342:2019.

Any feedback and questions on this document should be directed to the users’ national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found on the CEN website.

## Introduction

In the design of roads, safety problems may arise in the connection between two different safety barriers having consistent difference in design and/or in performance. Transitions are required to provide a smooth and safe change from one safety barrier to another.

If the two safety barriers are not connected or if the connection is not strong enough to resist the forces under impact and to transfer these forces at least from the weaker to the stronger safety barrier, an impact on the connection, or its vicinity, may represent an undue risk. A similar risk can arise if the change from one safety barrier to the other is not gradual enough.

A special type of transition is the connection of two parts of the same barrier separated by a span with particular requirements that cannot be covered by a piece of the same barrier. For example, the barrier expansion joint corresponding to a bridge deck expansion joint with large movement. In this document such connections are called barrier interruptions.

The performances of transitions can be ascertained by tests, with the test methods and the acceptance criteria similar to those specified for safety barriers in EN 1317-2:2010. Due to the increasing number of different safety barrier types, which leads to a high number of barrier combinations for which transitions are needed, efforts have been made to propose simplified assessment methods for some types of transition that can be assessed more easily.

For these reasons, different Countries have established different assessment methods for transitions or, in some cases, simple design rules. Such different assessment methods and design rules cannot be considered equivalent but, if correctly applied, can allow the development of performing transitions.

Some similar problems might arise in the connection of crash cushions with barriers and in the connections of terminals with barriers different from the one connected in the terminal TT installation. As for the transitions, also these connections provide a continuous passage to and from the barrier.

A barrier-to-barrier transition should have, all along its length, at least the same containment category value as the lowest value containment level of the connected barriers (as defined in EN 1317-2:2010). On the contrary this is not required for a barrier-terminal system tested in accordance with FprCEN/TS 1317-7:2023. The terminal is always connected at the beginning (or at the end) of a barrier whose performance is measured at one third of the test installation length. The main requirement of the terminal-to-barrier connection is to carry the axial forces of barrier end anchorage and the push of the terminal. Furthermore, the surface of the connection that can come in contact with the vehicles should be continuous and smooth. Similar considerations apply to the connections of crash cushions with barriers.

For all these reasons, connections of terminals and crash cushions to barrier are not denominated transitions but terminal connection-transitions.

Due to specific local conditions on national and local road networks there might be a need to connect safety barrier(s) or cushions to other infrastructures. Road authorities can see the need to require specific connections that in part, or as a whole, can be assessed according to the principles of the methods in this document. Every connection scenario (e.g. to tunnel portal, bridge pier or a sign gantry pillar) should be analysed individually. In general, the testing and assessment methods describe herein can have a wider application than the one specified in the scope of this document.

This document is a guideline for assessment methods and design rules that are not equivalent, but are good practice for designing performing transitions and terminal connections.

This document aims to propose a set of assessment methods that might help to move closer to the harmonization of assessment methods in different countries.

## 1 Scope

This document defines assessment methods for transitions, considered as the linkage between safety barriers or between safety barriers and removable barrier sections.

This document also defines assessment methods for connection-transitions to terminals and crash cushions.

Road Authorities and regulatory authorities are free to determine assessment methods, values, measurements etc. and to fix the details of the requirements.

Assessment methods and design rules can also be utilized in connection with the evaluation of changed versions.

## 2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

EN 1317-1:2010, *Road restraint systems - Part 1: Terminology and general criteria for test methods*

EN 1317-2:2010, *Road restraint systems - Part 2: Performance classes, impact test acceptance criteria and test methods for safety barriers including vehicle parapets*

EN 1317-3:2010, *Road restraint systems - Part 3: Performance classes, impact test acceptance criteria and test methods for crash cushions*

## 3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, definitions given in EN 1317-1:2010, EN 1317-2:2010, EN 1317-3:2010 as well as the following terms and definition apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminological databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- IEC Electropedia: available at <https://www.electropedia.org/>
- ISO Online browsing platform: available at <https://www.iso.org/obp>

### 3.1

#### **transition**

device connecting two safety barriers of different design and/or performance assessed in accordance with this document

### 3.2

#### **transition element**

transition used to join two similar safety barriers

Note 1 to entry: Such transition may be a simple joint and its length may be 0.