INTERNATIONAL STANDARD

ISO/IEC 16509

IEEE Std 2000.1

First edition 1999-10-15

Information technology — Year 2000 terminology

Technologie de l'information — Terminologie de l'an 2000



PDF disclaimer

This PDF file may contain embedded typefaces. In accordance with Adobe's licensing policy, this file may be printed or viewed but shall not be edited unless the typefaces which are embedded are licensed to and installed on the computer performing the editing. In downloading this file, parties accept therein the responsibility of not infringing Adobe's licensing policy. The ISO Central Secretariat accepts no liability in this area.

Adobe is a trademark of Adobe Systems Incorporated.

Details of the software products used to create this PDF file can be found in the General Info relative to the file; the PDF-creation parameters were optimized for printing. Every care has been taken to ensure that the file is suitable for use by ISO member bodies. In the unlikely event that a problem relating to it is found, please inform the Central Secretariat at the address given below.

This document is a preview generated by Fig.

ISO Case postale 56 • CH-1211 Geneva 20 Tel. + 41 22 749 01 11 Fax + 41 22 734 10 79 E-mail copyright@iso.ch Web www.iso.ch



In the development of resolutions. Clause which contains the definition for real are: first, the acknowledgment of the significance on a and, second, the recognition that compliance is a two-way stread data is paramount for technology to remain compliant. Keywords: date exchange, remediation techniques, Year 2000 compliant ing terms fundamental to a lexicon for Year 2000 terminology. It addresses key topics pertinent to the development of resolutions to the Year 2000 problem the core of this standard is the definitions Clause which contains the definition for Year 2000 compliance. Two critical aspects of this definition are: first, the acknowledgment of the significance of documentation associated with technology, and, second, the recognition that compliance is a two-way street i.e., the proper exchange of date



Copyright © 1999 by the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc. All rights reserved. Published 7 October 1999. Printed in the United States of America.

Print: ISBN 0-7381-1803-6 SH94784 PDF: ISBN 0-7381-1804-4 SS94784

No part of this publication may be reproduced in any form, in an electronic retrieval system or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the publisher.

ANSI/IEE 200.1-1998

IEEE Standards documents are developed within the IEEE Societies and the Standards Coordinating Committees of the IEEE Standards Association (IEEE-SA) Standards Board. Members of the committees serve voluntarily and without compensation. They are not necessarily members of the Institute. The standards developed within IEEE represent a consensus of the broad expertise on the subject within the Institute as well as those activities outside of IEEE that have expressed an interest in participating in the development of the standard.

Use of an IEEE Standard is wholly voluntary. The existence of an IEEE Standard does not imply that there are no other ways to produce, test, measure, purchase, market, or provide other goods and services related to the scope of the IEEE Standard. Furthermore, the viewpoint expressed at the time a standard is approved and issued is subject to change brought about through developments in the state of the are not comments received from users of the standard. Every IEEE Standard is subjected to review at heast every five years for revision or reaffirmation. When a document is more than five years old and has not been reaffirmed, it is reasonable to conclude that its contents, although still of some take, do not wholly reflect the present state of the art. Users are cautioned to check to determine that they have the latest edition of any IEEE Standard.

Comments for revision of IEEE Standards are welcome from any interested party, regardless of membership affiliation with IEEE Suggestions for changes in documents should be in the form of a proposed change of text, together with appropriate supporting comments.

Interpretations: Occasionally question way arise regarding the meaning of portions of standards as they relate to specific applications. When the need for interpretations is brought to the attention of IEEE, the Institute will initiate action to prepare appropriate responses. Since IEEE Standards represent a consensus of all concerned interests to is important to ensure that any interpretation has also received the concurrence of a balance of interests. For this reason, IEEE and the members of its societies and Standards Coordinating Committees are not able to provide an instant response to interpretation requests except in those cases where the matter has previously received formal consideration.

Comments on standards and requests for interpretations should be addressed to:

Secretary, IEEE-SA Standards Board 445 Hoes Lane P.O. Box 1331 Piscataway, NJ 08855-1331 USA

Authorization to photocopy portions of any individual standard for internal or personal use is granted by the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., provided that the appropriate fee is paid to Copyright Clearance Center. To arrange for payment of licensing fee, please contact Copyright Clearance Center, Customer Service, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923 USA; (978) 750-8400. Permission to photocopy portions of any individual standard for educational classroom use can also be obtained through the Copyright Clearance Center.

International Standard ISO/IEC 16509:1999(E)

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) and IEC (the International Electrotechnical Commission) form the specialized system for worldwide standardization. National bodies that are members of ISO or IEC participate in the development of International Standards through technical committees established by the respective organization to deal with particular fields of technical activity. ISO and IEC technical committees collaborate in fields of putual interest. Other international organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO and IEC also take part in the work.

In the field of information technology, ISO and IEC have established a joint technical committee, ISO/IEC JTC 1. Draft International Standards adopted by the joint technical committee are circulated to national bodies for voting. Publication as an International Standard requires approval by at least 75 % of the national bodies casting a vote.

International Standard ISO/IEC 19509 was prepared by Joint Technical Committee ISO/IEC JTC 1, Information technology, Subcommittee SC 22, Programming languages, their environments and system software interfaces.

Annexes A to C of this International Standard are for information only.



International Organization for Standardization/International Electrotechnical Commission Case postale 56 • CH-1211 Genève 20 • Switzerland

ANSI/IEEE 200.1-1998

This introduction provides background on the rationale used to develop this international standard. This information is meant to aid in the understanding and usage of this standard.

This international standard addresses the key industry concern over the existence of multiple terms and lexicons that carry varied meanings. IEEE has designed this standard to assist individuals and organizations in their efforts to develop Year 2000 solutions. Having a base-line set of terms and definitions that can serve as a foundation for such efforts is vital.

Participants PASC Sponsor Exe cutive Committee Chair: Lowell G. Johnson Vice-Chair: Joseph Gwinn Functional Chairs: Andrew Josey Jay Ashford Curtis Royster Nick Stoughto Curtis Royster Nick Stoughton

At the time the IEEE Portable Application Standards Committee revised this standard, the Year 2000 Terminology Working Group had the following membership:

Michael Aisenberg Steve Allen Michael Berens Leonard Brush George Cherry Cory Claymon Joseph K. Clema Robert Cohen Johnetta Colbert Eldon Colby Donald Cragun John Davies Christina Drukala Amy Fliegelman-Olli Trutz Foelsche Lee Gallagher Robert Gniewkowski Debbie Goldberg Joeseph M. Gwinn

Kevin Lewis, Technical Editor

Tim 🗛 James Murray JoAnn Henderson John Napier Richard Holleman Alan Peltzman Vincent Hu Carolvn Price James D. Isaak Bill Pritchett Petr Janecek **Bill Putnam** Margaret Jedlick Larry Schwartz Andrew Josey **Philip Simons** Yong Kim Terrill J. Slocum C. W. Klein Nick Stoughton Thomas Koenig Robert Swartz Cheryl Lai Keith Thurston Rex Lint John Tyler Mickey Lynn Roger Tyler Austin J. Maher Richard Vasquez Michael Wheatley Robert Martin Laurence Wolfe Roger Martin Dave Wong Gary Young Richard McLean

The following persons were on the balloting committee:



Contents

1.1 Scope 2 1.2 Purpose 2 1.3 Conformance 3 2. References 3 3. Definitions 3 4. Concepts 3 4.1 Valid data merval (also known as compliance date range) 3 4.2 Time horizoff, failure (also known as event horizon) 4 4.3 Year 2000 lifectele 4 Annex A – Techniques, terms, are poecial dates 5 Annex B – Bibliography 8	1.	Overview	1
1.2 Purpose		1.1 Scope	2
1.3 Conformance 3 2. References 3 3. Definitions 3 4. Concepts 3 4. Concepts 3 4.1 Valid date merval (also known as compliance date range) 3 4.2 Time horizof(1) failure (also known as event horizon) 4 4.3 Year 2000 lifecycle 4 Annex A – Techniques, terms, and precial dates 5 Annex B – Bibliography 8 North Ruticity 8 North Ruticity 8		1.2 Purpose	2
2. References 3 3. Definitions 3 4. Concepts 3 4.1 Valid data filter val (also known as compliance date range) 3 4.2 Time horizon failure (also known as event horizon) 4 4.3 Year 2000 life cycle 4 Annex A – Techniques, terms, artypecial dates 5 Annex B – Bibliography 8		1.3 Conformance	3
3. Definitions	2.	References	3
4. Concepts	3.	Definitions	3
4.1 Valid date erval (also known as compliance date range)	4.	Concepts O.	3
4.2 Time horizon failure (also known as event horizon) 4.3 Year 2000 life yee 4.4 Annex A – Techniques, terms, and special dates. 5 Annex B – Bibliography 8.6 Annex B – Bibliography 8.6 Annex B – Bibliography 8.6 Annex B – Bibliography 7.6 Annex B – Bibliography 7		4.1 Valid date merval (also known as compliance date range)	3
4.3 Year 2000 life yee		4.2 Time horizon to failure (also known as event horizon)	4
Annex A – Techniques, terms, and special dates		4.3 Year 2000 life cycle	4
Annex B-Bibliography	Annex	A – Techniques, terms, appropriate dates	5
S PORCUE OR CENERARY THE S	Anney	B Bibliography	8
		Preview Generated by fi	

Information technology – Year 200 terminology

1. Overview

The Year 2000 issue appears to be a simple problem that is intuitively understood. However, when examined closely, the solutions are varied and complex in nature. The essence of this problem is the representation of the year as a two-digit number in hardware and software elements of computer systems and other technologies. This representation may, for example, cause hardware or software malfunctions to occur when a system date or application date crosses the year 2000 boundary (whether that is the actual arrival of the date or for date processing purposes) or when the system or the lication must refer to a date that occurs on, before, or after 1 January 2000. These malfunctions can include the following:

- Incorrect arithmetic calculation, comparison, sorting or sequencing resulting in the failure of logical, relational, and set-membership operations;
- Incorrect recognition of leap year;
- Conflict with values in data fields used for non-date purposes, e.g., "no date provided," or "never expires"; and
- Date data field overflow.

The two-digit date may not be the sole cause of these malfunctions. They hav also result from poor programming practices or a lack of full understanding of the Gregorian calendar. The consequences of these malfunctions could range from immediate system failures to more insidious long term data corruptions.

The impact of the Year 2000 problem is potentially significant to virtually any segment of the global digital infrastructure and the economies it supports. Among the environments in which critical applications may be affected by Year 2000 issues are:

- Bio-medical
- Telecommunications/transportation
- Finance/banking
- Aviation/aerospace
- National security/law enforcement
- Other critical infrastructure

As this standard is being prepared, many organizations are in various stages of addressing this problem. Some are just beginning to assess the impact on their own information technology (IT) environments. Others have already begun to implement solutions. The rising need for solutions has created a market environment wherein there are a growing number of organizations offering such solutions. These organizations have also created a diverse set of terms. Many of the terms may seem similar, but will actually have multiple meanings within differing environments, which brings the potential for confusion to what should be an easily understood problem.

This standard identifies common terms, definitions, and related concepts that have broad applicability to this area of work. Those presented herein may be applied wholly or in part to fit a specific requirement.

A lexicon within which the common terms, definitions, and related concepts are understood is vital. The IT industry's use of the terms defined in this standard will minimize confusion. In addition, having common terms, definition, and related concepts will speed the development of urgently needed solutions. This standard describes what these terms, definitions, and related concepts mean, not how to implement or verify Year 2000 compliance.

tandard to specify how Year 2000 compliance should be implemented or verified. It is not the intent of the

1.1 Scope

This standard identifies terms and ocepts pertinent to the resolution of the Year 2000 issue, including the rollover from the year 1999 to 2000 incorrect recognition of leap years, and values in date fields used for non-date purposes, and provides definitions of these terms and descriptions of these concepts.

This standard does not specifically addressoperating system anomalies such as might occur in the year 2038.

1.2 Purpose

This standard provides a common lexicon with descriptions and definitions for the Year 2000 issue. These descriptions and definitions may be applied in whole or in part depending on the requirement.

This standard is composed of a Definitions Clause (3), a Concept Lause (4), and two Annexes (A and B).

1.2.1 Concepts A concept is a set of interrelated ideas pertaining to the Year 2000 issue. This is not an exhaustive list.

1.2.2 Definitions

These are focused meanings of terms fundamental to the resolution of the Year 2000 issue

1.2.3 Annex A

This annex outlines remediation techniques currently being used to make system elements Year 2000 compliant. This list of techniques is not exhaustive. It presents only those techniques acknowledged as having gained a significant amount of industry consensus. Along with the techniques is a list of supporting terms and their explanation. In addition, the annex briefly explains the role of special dates in the development of solutions for the Year 2000 problem. This annex is informative.

1.2.4 Annex B

This is a bibliography listing other related publications.