

**Fibre optic communication subsystem test procedures -  
Part 2-12: Digital systems - Measuring eye diagrams and  
Q-factor using a software triggering technique for  
transmission signal quality assessment**

## EESTI STANDARDI EESSÕNA

## NATIONAL FOREWORD

See Eesti standard EVS-EN 61280-2-12:2014 sisaldab Euroopa standardi EN 61280-2-12:2014 ingliskeelset teksti.	This Estonian standard EVS-EN 61280-2-12:2014 consists of the English text of the European standard EN 61280-2-12:2014.
Standard on jõustunud sellekohase teate avaldamisega EVS Teatajas.	This standard has been endorsed with a notification published in the official bulletin of the Estonian Centre for Standardisation.
Euroopa standardimisorganisatsioonid on teinud Euroopa standardi rahvuslikele liikmetele kättesaadavaks 04.07.2014.	Date of Availability of the European standard is 04.07.2014.
Standard on kättesaadav Eesti Standardikeskusest.	The standard is available from the Estonian Centre for Standardisation.

Tagasisidet standardi sisu kohta on võimalik edastada, kasutades EVS-i veebilehel asuvat tagasiside vormi või saates e-kirja meiliaadressile [standardiosakond@evs.ee](mailto:standardiosakond@evs.ee).

ICS 33.180.10

### Standardite reprodutseerimise ja levitamise õigus kuulub Eesti Standardikeskusele

Andmete paljundamine, taastekitamine, kopeerimine, salvestamine elektroonsesse süsteemi või edastamine ükskõik millises vormis või millisel teel ilma Eesti Standardikeskuse kirjaliku loata on keelatud.

Kui Teil on küsimusi standardite autorikaitse kohta, võtke palun ühendust Eesti Standardikeskusega:  
Aru 10, 10317 Tallinn, Eesti; [www.evs.ee](http://www.evs.ee); telefon 605 5050; e-post [info@evs.ee](mailto:info@evs.ee)

### The right to reproduce and distribute standards belongs to the Estonian Centre for Standardisation

No part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, without a written permission from the Estonian Centre for Standardisation.

If you have any questions about copyright, please contact Estonian Centre for Standardisation:  
Aru 10, 10317 Tallinn, Estonia; [www.evs.ee](http://www.evs.ee); phone 605 5050; e-mail [info@evs.ee](mailto:info@evs.ee)

ICS 33.180.10

English Version

Fibre optic communication subsystem test procedures - Part 2-12: Digital systems - Measuring eye diagrams and Q-factor using a software triggering technique for transmission signal quality assessment  
(IEC 61280-2-12:2014)

Procédures d'essai des sous-systèmes de télécommunication à fibres optiques - Partie 2-12: Systèmes numériques - Mesure des diagrammes de l'oeil et du facteur de qualité à l'aide d'une technique par déclenchement logiciel pour l'évaluation de la qualité de la transmission de signaux  
(CEI 61280-2-12:2014)

Prüfverfahren für Lichtwellenleiter-Kommunikationssysteme - Teil 2-12: Digitale Systeme - Messungen von Augendiagrammen und des Q-Faktors mit einem Software-Triggerverfahren für die Qualitätsbewertung von Übertragungssignalen  
(IEC 61280-2-12:2014)

This European Standard was approved by CENELEC on 2014-06-10. CENELEC members are bound to comply with the CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations which stipulate the conditions for giving this European Standard the status of a national standard without any alteration.

Up-to-date lists and bibliographical references concerning such national standards may be obtained on application to the CEN-CENELEC Management Centre or to any CENELEC member.

This European Standard exists in three official versions (English, French, German). A version in any other language made by translation under the responsibility of a CENELEC member into its own language and notified to the CEN-CENELEC Management Centre has the same status as the official versions.

CENELEC members are the national electrotechnical committees of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, the Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, the Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey and the United Kingdom.



European Committee for Electrotechnical Standardization  
Comité Européen de Normalisation Electrotechnique  
Europäisches Komitee für Elektrotechnische Normung

CEN-CENELEC Management Centre: Avenue Marnix 17, B-1000 Brussels

## Foreword

The text of document 86C/1150/CDV, future edition 1 of IEC 61280-2-12, prepared by SC 86C "Fibre optic systems and active devices" of IEC/TC 86 "Fibre optics" was submitted to the IEC-CENELEC parallel vote and approved by CENELEC as EN 61280-2-12:2014.

The following dates are fixed:

- latest date by which the document has to be implemented at national level by publication of an identical national standard or by endorsement (dop) 2015-03-10
- latest date by which the national standards conflicting with the document have to be withdrawn (dow) 2017-06-10

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. CENELEC [and/or CEN] shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

## Endorsement notice

The text of the International Standard IEC 61280-2-12:2014 was approved by CENELEC as a European Standard without any modification.

preview generated by EVS

## CONTENTS

FOREWORD.....	3
INTRODUCTION.....	5
1 Scope.....	6
2 Normative references .....	6
3 Abbreviated terms .....	6
4 Software synchronization method and $Q$ -factor .....	6
4.1 Example of asynchronous waveform and eye diagram reconstructed by software triggering technique .....	6
4.2 $Q$ -factor formula.....	7
5 Apparatus.....	9
5.1 General.....	9
5.2 Optical bandpass filter .....	10
5.3 High frequency receiver .....	10
5.4 Clock oscillator .....	11
5.5 Electric pulse generator .....	11
5.6 Sampling module .....	11
5.7 Electric signal processing circuit .....	12
5.8 Optical clock pulse generator.....	12
5.9 Optical sampling module.....	12
5.10 Optical signal processing circuit.....	12
5.11 Synchronization bandwidth .....	12
5.12 Monitoring system parameters .....	13
6 Procedure.....	13
6.1 General.....	13
6.2 Measuring eye diagrams and $Q$ calculations .....	13
Annex A (informative) Example of the signal processing required to reconstruct the synchronous eye diagram .....	15
Annex B (informative) Adequate sampling time width (gate width).....	17
Bibliography.....	18
Figure 1 – Asynchronous waveform and synchronous eye diagram of 40 Gbps RZ-signal reconstructed by software triggering technique .....	7
Figure 2 – RZ synchronous eye diagram reconstructed by software triggering technique, time window, and histogram.....	8
Figure 3 – Example of relationship between $Q$ -factor and window width.....	8
Figure 4 – Test system 1 for measuring eye diagrams and $Q$ -factor using the software triggering technique .....	9
Figure 5 – Test system 2 for measuring eye diagrams and $Q$ -factor using the software triggering technique .....	10
Figure A.1 – Block diagram of the software triggering module .....	15
Figure A.2 – Example of interpolating a discrete spectrum and determining beat frequency.....	16
Figure B.1 – The typical calculated relationship between the adequate sampling time width (gate width) and the bit rate of the optical signal.....	17
Table 1 – Monitoring system parameters.....	13

## INTRODUCTION

Signal quality monitoring is important for operation and maintenance of optical transport networks (OTN). From the network operator's point of view, monitoring techniques are required to establish connections, protection, restoration, and/or service level agreements. In order to establish these functions, the monitoring techniques used should satisfy some general requirements:

- in-service (non-intrusive) measurement
- signal deterioration detection (both SNR degradation and waveform distortion)
- fault isolation (localize impaired sections or nodes)
- transparency and scalability (irrespective of the signal bit rate and signal formats)
- simplicity (small size and low cost).

There are several approaches, both analogue and digital techniques, which make it possible to detect various impairments:

- bit error rate (BER) estimation [1,2]<sup>1</sup>
- error block detection
- optical power measurement
- optical SNR evaluation with spectrum measurement [3,4]
- pilot tone detection [5,6]
- Q-factor monitoring [7]
- pseudo BER estimation using two decision circuits [8,9]
- histogram evaluation with synchronous eye diagram measurement [10].

A fundamental performance monitoring parameter of any digital transmission system is its end-to-end BER. However, the BER can be correctly evaluated only with out of service BER measurements, using a known test bit pattern in place of the real signal. On the other hand, in-service measurement can only provide rough estimates through the measurement of digital parameters (e.g., BER estimation, error block detection, and error count in forward error correction) or analogue parameters (e.g., optical SNR and Q-factor).

An in-service optical Q-factor monitoring can be used for accurate quality assessment of transmitted signals on wavelength division multiplexed (WDM) networks. Chromatic dispersion (CD) compensation is required for Q monitoring at measurement point in CD uncompensated optical link. However, conventional Q monitoring method is not suitable for signal evaluation of transmission signals, because it requires timing extraction by complex equipment that is specific to each BER and each format.

The software triggering technique [11-14] reconstructs synchronous eye-diagram waveforms without an external clock signal synchronized to optical transmission signal from digital data obtained through asynchronous sampling. It does not rely on an optical signal's transmission rate and data formats (RZ or NRZ). Measuring method of eye diagrams and Q-factor using the software triggering technique is a cost-effective alternative to BER estimations. With eye diagrams and Q-factor using software triggering test method, signal quality degradations due to optical signal-to-noise ratio (OSNR) degradation, to jitter fluctuations and to waveform distortion can be monitored.

This is one of the promising performance-monitoring approaches for intensity modulated direct detection (IM-DD) optical transmission systems.

---

<sup>1</sup> Numbers in square brackets refer to the Bibliography.

## FIBRE OPTIC COMMUNICATION SUBSYSTEM TEST PROCEDURES –

### Part 2-12: Digital systems – Measuring eye diagrams and Q-factor using a software triggering technique for transmission signal quality assessment

#### 1 Scope

This part of IEC 61280 defines the procedure for measuring eye diagrams and Q-factor of optical transmission (RZ and NRZ) signals using software triggering technique as shown in 4.1 [14].

#### 2 Normative references

The following documents, in whole or in part, are normatively referenced in this document and are indispensable for its application. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

IEC 61280-2-2, *Fibre optic communication subsystem basic test procedures – Part 2-2: Test procedure for digital systems – Optical eye pattern, waveform, and extinction ratio measurement*

ITU-T Recommendation G.959.1: 2012, *Optical transport network physical layer interfaces*

#### 3 Abbreviated terms

ASE	amplified spontaneous emission
BER	bit error rate
CD	chromatic dispersion
EDFA	Er-doped fibre amplifier
IM-DD	intensity modulated direct detection
RZ	return-to-zero
NRZ	non-return-to-zero
OBPF	optical bandpass filter
OSNR	optical signal-to-noise ratio
OTN	optical transport networks
PMD	polarization mode dispersion
SNR	signal-to-noise ratio
WDM	wavelength division multiplexing

#### 4 Software synchronization method and Q-factor

##### 4.1 Example of asynchronous waveform and eye diagram reconstructed by software triggering technique

Figure 1 shows an example of a 40 Gb/s RZ-synchronous eye diagram constructed from asynchronous sampled data using the software triggering technique. The inset in Figure 1 shows an asynchronous waveform obtained from the same asynchronous sampled data.