this occurse

RAUDTEEALASED RAKENDUSED. TÖÖKINDLUSE, KASUTATAVUSE, HOOLDATAVUSE JA OHUTUSE (RAMS) MÄÄRATLEMINE NING ESITLEMINE. OSA 2: SÜSTEEMIDE OHUTUSLIK LÄHENEMISVIIS

Railway Applications - The Specification and Demonstration of Reliability, Availability, Maintainability and Safety (RAMS) - Part 2: Systems Approach to Safety



EESTI STANDARDI EESSÕNA

NATIONAL FOREWORD

See Eesti standard EVS-EN 50126-2:2017 sisaldab Euroopa standardi EN 50126-2:2017 ingliskeelset teksti.	This Estonian standard EVS-EN 50126-2:2017 consists of the English text of the European standard EN 50126-2:2017.		
Standard on jõustunud sellekohase teate avaldamisega EVS Teatajas	This standard has been endorsed with a notification published in the official bulletin of the Estonian Centre for Standardisation.		
Euroopa standardimisorganisatsioonid on teinud Euroopa standardi rahvuslikele liikmetele kättesaadavaks 13.10.2017.	Date of Availability of the European standard is 13.10.2017.		
Standard on kättesaadav Eesti Standardikeskusest.	The standard is available from the Estonian Centre for Standardisation.		

Tagasisidet standardi sisu kohta on võimalik edastada, kasutades EVS-i veebilehel asuvat tagasiside vormi või saates e-kirja meiliaadressile <u>standardiosakond@evs.ee</u>.

ICS 45.020

Standardite reprodutseerimise ja levitamise õigus kuulub Eesti Standardikeskusele

Andmete paljundamine, taastekitamine, kopeerimine, salvestamine elektroonsesse süsteemi või edastamine ükskõik millises vormis või millisel teel ilma Eesti Standardikeskuse kirjaliku loata on keelatud.

Kui Teil on küsimusi standardite autorikaitse kohta, võtke palun ühendust Eesti Standardikeskusega: Koduleht <u>www.evs.ee</u>; telefon 605 5050; e-post <u>info@evs.ee</u>

The right to reproduce and distribute standards belongs to the Estonian Centre for Standardisation

No part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, without a written permission from the Estonian Centre for Standardisation.

If you have any questions about copyright, please contact Estonian Centre for Standardisation:

Homepage www.evs.ee; phone +372 605 5050; e-mail info@evs.ee

EUROPEAN STANDARD NORME EUROPÉENNE

EN 50126-2

EUROPÄISCHE NORM

October 2017

Supersedes CLC/TR 50126-2:2007

ICS 45.020

English Version

Railway Applications - The Specification and Demonstration of Reliability, Availability, Maintainability and Safety (RAMS) - Part 2: Systems Approach to Safety

Applications ferroviaires - Spécification et démonstration de la fiabilité, de la disponibilité, de la maintenabilité et de la sécurité (FDMS) - Partie 2: Approche systématique pour la sécurité Bahnanwendungen - Spezifikation und Nachweis von Zuverlässigkeit, Verfügbarkeit, Instandhaltbarkeit und Sicherheit (RAMS) - Teil 2: Systembezogene Sicherheitsmethodik

This European Standard was approved by CENELEC on 2017-07-03. CENELEC members are bound to comply with the CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations which stipulate the conditions for giving this European Standard the status of a national standard without any alteration.

Up-to-date lists and bibliographical references concerning such national standards may be obtained on application to the CEN-CENELEC Management Centre or to any CENELEC member.

This European Standard exists in three official versions (English, French, German). A version in any other language made by translation under the responsibility of a CENELEC member into its own language and notified to the CEN-CENELEC Management Centre has the same status as the official versions.

CENELEC members are the national electrotechnical committees of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, the Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, the Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey and the United Kingdom.



European Committee for Electrotechnical Standardization Comité Européen de Normalisation Electrotechnique Europäisches Komitee für Elektrotechnische Normung

CEN-CENELEC Management Centre: Avenue Marnix 17, B-1000 Brussels

© 2017 CENELEC All rights of exploitation in any form and by any means reserved worldwide for CENELEC Members.

Contents

European foreword				5
Intr	oducti	on		6
1	Scop	e		7
2	Norm	ative re	ferences	8
2	Term	s and d	efinitions	8
4	Abbr			0
4	Abbreviations			. 0
5	Safet	y proce	SS	9
	5.1	Risk as	ssessment and hazard control	. 9
	5.2	A. Risk	cassessment	10
		5.2.1	General	10
		5.2.2	Conducting risk assessment	11
	5.3	B. Outo	come of the risk assessment	11
	5.4	C. Haz		11
	5.5	D. Rev	vision of risk assessment	12
6	D.0 Safat	Respo	nsibilities	13
0	Salet	y demo		13
	6.1 c.o	Introdu		13
	6.Z	Safety	demonstration and safety acceptance process	13
	6.3	Respo	nsibility in managing the Safety Case	17
	6.4	NOUTIC	cations after safety acceptance	17
	0.5	Depen	dencies between Safety Cases	17
7	0.0	Relation	onship between safety cases and system architecture	18
1	Orga	nisation		19
	/.1	Genera	al	19
	7.2	Early p	phases of the lifecycle (phases 1 to 4)	19
	7.3	Later p	bhases of the lifecycle (starting from phase 5)	20
~	7.4 Diala	Person	inel Competence	21
8	RISK	assessr	nent	22
	8.1	Introdu	iction	22
	8.2	Risk A	nalysis	22
		8.2.1	General	22
		8.2.2	The risk model	22
		8.2.3	l echniques for the consequence analysis	24
		8.2.4	Expert Judgement	25
	8.3	Risk ad	cceptance principles and risk evaluation	25
		8.3.1	Use of Code of Practice	25
		8.3.2	Use of a reference system	20
	0 4	0.3.3	Use of explicit risk estimation	
	ö.4		Auontitative approach	20 20
		0.4.1 0 4 0	Variability using quantitative rick estimates	20 20
		0.4.Z	Variability using quantitative risk estimates	5U 54
		0.4.3	Quantative and semi-quantitative approaches	

9	Spec	ification of System Safety Requirements	32
	9.1	General	32
	9.2	Safety requirements	32
	9.3	Categorization of Safety Requirements	32
		9.3.1 General	32
		9.3.2 Functional safety requirements	33
		9.3.3 Technical safety requirements	34
		9.3.4 Contextual safety requirements	34
10	Арро	rtionment of functional Safety Integrity requirements	35
	10.1	Deriving and apportioning system safety requirements	35
	10.2	Functional safety integrity for electronic systems	35
		10.2.1 Deriving functional safety requirements for electronic systems	35
		10.2.2 Apportioning safety requirements	35
		10.2.3 Safety Integrity Factors	38
		10.2.4 Functional safety integrity and random failures	38
		10.2.5 Systematic aspect of functional safety integrity	38
		10.2.6 Balanced requirements controlling random and systematic failures	38
		10.2.7 The SIL table	39
		10.2.8 SIL allocation	40
		10.2.9 Apportionment of TFFR after SIL allocation	40
		10.2.10 Demonstration of quantified targets	40
		10.2.11 Requirements for Basic Integrity	41
		10.2.12Prevention of misuse of SILs	42
	10.3	Safety Integrity for non-electronic systems – Application of CoP	42
11	Desi	gn and implementation	43
	11.1	Introduction	43
	11.2	Causal analysis	43
	11.3	Hazard identification (refinement)	44
	11.4	Common cause analysis	44
Anr	nex A	(informative) ALARP, GAME, MEM	46
A.1	ALAF	RP, GAME, MEM as methods to define risk acceptance criteria	46
A.2	ALAF	RP (As Low As Reasonably Practicable)	47
A.2	.1	General	47
A.2	.2	Tolerability and ALARP	48
A.3 Globalement Au Moins Equivalent (GAME) principle			48
A.3	.1	Principle	48
A.3	.2	Using GAME	49
A.3	.2.1	General	49
A.3	.2.2	Basic principles	49
A.3	.2.3	Using GAME to construct a qualitative safety argument	49
A.3	.2.4	GAME using quantitative risk targets	49
A.4 Minimum Endogenous Mortality MEM			50
Annex B (informative) Using failure and accident statistics to derive a THR			52
Annex C (informative) Guidance on SIL Allocation			53
Anr	nex D	(informative) Safety target apportionment methods	55
D.1	Analy	ysis of the system and methods	55

1 General 55 2.2 Example of qualitative method for barrier efficiency 56 3.3 Example of qualitative apportionment method 58 0.3 Functions with independent failure detection and negation mechanisms 59 0.3 Function and independent barrier acting as failure detection and negation mechanisms 61 0.3.4 Apportionment of a 'per hour' safety target 62 0.3.5 Apportionment of a 'per hour' safety target 62 0.3.6 Annex E (informative) from mom mistakes in quantification 64 E.1 Common misuses 64 E.3 Using formulas out of their range of applicability 66 Annex E (informative) Key system safety roles and responsibilities 66 Annex Z (informative) Key system safety roles and responsibilities 69 Annex Z (informative) Relationship between this European Standard and the Essential Requirements of EU Directive 2000/57/EC 77 Bibliography 77	D.2 Example of qualitative apportionment method	55
12.2 Example of qualitative method for barrier efficiency 56 0.3.1 Introduction 58 0.3.1 Introduction and independent failure detection and negation mechanisms 59 0.3.3 Function and independent barrier acting as failure detection and negation mechanisms 61 0.3.4 Apportionment of a probability safety target 62 0.3.5 Apportionment of a "per hour" safety target 62 0.3.5 Apportionment of a "per hour" safety target 62 0.3.5 Apportionment of a "per hour" safety target 62 0.3.5 Apportionment of a "per hour" safety target 63 1.1 Common misuses 64 2.2 Mixing failure rates with probabilities 64 3.1 Cinformative) Techniques / methods for safety analysis 69 Annex C (informative) Techniques / methods for safety analysis 69 Annex Z (informative) Relationship between this European Standard and the Essential Requirements of EU Directive 2008/57/EC 73 Bibliography	D.2.1 General	55
13 Example of quantitative apportionment method 58 0.3.1 Introduction 68 0.3.2 Functions with independent failure detection and negation mechanisms 59 0.3.3 Function and independent barrier acting as failure detection and negation 0.3.4 Apportionment of a probability safety target 62 0.3.5 Apportionment of a "per hour" safety target 62 0.3.6 Apportionment of a "per hour" safety target 62 0.3.5 Apportionment of a "per hour" safety target 62 2.5 Apportionment of a "per hour" safety target 62 Annex E (informative) Common mistakes in quantification 64 2.1 Mixing failure rates with probabilities 66 Annex F (informative) Techniques / methods for safety analysis 66 Annex Z (informative) Responsibilities 73 Biblography 77	D.2.2 Example of qualitative method for barrier efficiency	56
1 Introduction 58 3.3 Functions with independent failure detection and negation mechanisms 59 3.3 Function and independent barrier acting as failure detection and negation mechanism 61 D.3.4 Apportionment of a 'per hour' safety target 62 D.3.5 Apportionment of a 'per hour' safety target 62 D.3.6 Apportionment of a 'per hour' safety target 62 D.3.6 Apportionment of a 'per hour' safety target 62 D.3.6 Apportionment of a 'per hour' safety target 62 Annex E (informative) Common mistakes in quantification. 64 E.1 Common misuses 64 E.3 Using formulas out of their range of applicability 66 Annex E (informative) Techniques / methods for safety analysis 66 Annex ZZ (informative) Relationship between this European Standard and the Essential Requirements of EU Directive 2008/57/EC 73 Bibliography 77	D.3 Example of quantitative apportionment method	58
0.3.2 Functions with independent barrier acting as failure detection and negation mechanisms 59 0.3.3 Function and independent barrier acting as failure detection and negation mechanisms 61 0.3.4 Apportionment of a probability safety target 62 0.3.5 Apportionment of a "per hour" safety target 62 Annex E (informative) Common mistakes in quantification 64 E.1 Common misuses 64 E.1 Common misuses 64 E.1 Common misuses 64 Annex Z (informative) Techniques / methods for safety analysis 66 Annex Z (informative) Relationship between this European Standard and the Essential Requirements of EU Directive 2008/57/EC 73 Bibliography 77	D.3.1 Introduction	58
D.3.3 Function and independent barrier acting as failure detection and negation mechanism D.3.4 Apportionment of a probability safety target 62 D.3.5 Apportionment of a "per hour" safety target 62 D.3.6 Apportionment of a "per hour" safety target 62 D.3.6 Apportionment of a "per hour" safety target 62 D.3.6 Apportionment of a "per hour" safety target 62 Annex E (informative) Common mistakes in quantification 64 E.1 Using formulas out of their range of applicability 66 Annex F (informative) Techniques / methods for safety analysis 66 Annex G (informative) Relationship between this European Standard and the Essential Requirements of EU Directive 2008/57/EC 77 Bibliography 77	D.3.2 Functions with independent failure detection and negation mechanisms	59
D.3.4 Apportionment of a probability safety target 62 D.3.5 Apportionment of a "per hour" safety target 62 Annex E (informative) Common mistakes in quantification 64 E.1 Common misuses 64 E.2 Mixing failure rates with probabilities 64 E.3 Using formulas out of their range of applicability 65 Annex Z (informative) Techniques / methods for safety analysis 66 Annex Z (informative) Requires of methods for safety analysis 66 Annex Z (informative) Relationship between this European Standard and the Essential Requirements of EU Directive 2008/57/EC 73 Bibliography 77 77	D.3.3 Function and independent barrier acting as failure detection and nechanism	egation 61
D.3.5 Apportionment of a "per hour" safety target 62 Annex E (informative) Common mistakes in quantification 64 E.1 Common misuses 64 E.2 Mixing failure rates with probabilities 64 E.3 Using formulas out of their range of applicability 65 Annex F (informative) Techniques / methods for safety analysis 66 Annex G (informative) Relationship between this European Standard and the Essential Requirements of EU Directive 2008/57/EC 73 Bibliography 77	D.3.4 Apportionment of a probability safety target	62
Annex E (informative) Common mistakes in quantification 64 E.1 Common misuses 64 E.2 Mixing failure rates with probabilities 64 E.3 Using formulas out of their range of applicability 65 Annex F (informative) Techniques / methods for safety analysis 66 Annex G (informative) Relationship between this European Standard and the Essential Requirements of EU Directive 2008/57/EC 73 Bibliography 77	D.3.5 Apportionment of a "per hour" safety target	62
E.1 Common misuses 64 E.2 Mixing failure rates with probabilities 64 E.3 Using formulas out of their range of applicability 65 Annex F (informative) Techniques / methods for safety analysis 66 Annex Z (informative) Key system safety roles and responsibilities 69 Annex Z (informative) Relationship between this European Standard and the Essential Requirements of EU Directive 2008/57/EC 73 Bibliography 77	Annex E (informative) Common mistakes in quantification	64
4 4 5.3 Using formulas out of their range of applicability 65 Annex F (informative) Techniques / methods for safety analysis 66 Annex Z (informative) Relationship between this European Standard and the Essential Requirements of EU Directive 2008/57/EC 73 Bibliography 77	E.1 Common misuses	64
E.3 Using formulas out of their range of applicability	E.2 Mixing failure rates with probabilities	64
Annex F (informative) Techniques / methods for safety analysis 66 Annex G (informative) Key system safety roles and responsibilities 69 Annex ZZ (informative) Relationship between this European Standard and the Essential Requirements of EU Directive 2008/57/EC 73 Bibliography 77	E.3 Using formulas out of their range of applicability	65
Annex G (informative) Key system safety roles and responsibilities 69 Annex ZZ (informative) Relationship between this European Standard and the Essential Requirements of EU Directive 2008/57/EC 73 Bibliography 77	Annex F (informative) Techniques / methods for safety analysis	66
Annex ZZ (informative) Relationship between this European Standard and the Essential Requirements of EU Directive 2008/57/EC 73 Bibliography 77	Annex G (informative) Key system safety roles and responsibilities	69
Bibliography	Annex ZZ (informative) Relationship between this European Standard and the Es Requirements of EU Directive 2008/57/EC	sential 73
¹	Bibliography	77
4		125
	4	

European foreword

This document (EN 50126-2:2017) has been prepared by CLC/TC 9X "Electrical and electronic applications for railways".

The following dates are fixed:

•	latest date by which this document has to be implemented at national level by publication of an identical national standard or by endorsement	(dop)	2018-07-03
•	latest date by which the national standards conflicting with this document have to be withdrawn	(dow)	2020-07-03

This document supersedes CLC/TR 50126-2:2007.

The former edition of CLC/TR 50126-2:2007 is made obsolete by the new editions EN 50126-1:2017 and EN 50126-2:2017; the reason is that the scope of the present part was modified compared to the superseded edition.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. CENELEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

EN 50126 "Railway applications – The specification and demonstration of Reliability, Availability, Maintainability and Safety (RAMS)" consists of the following parts:

- Part 1: Generic RAMS process;
- Part 2: System approach to safety.

This document has been prepared under a mandate given to CENELEC by the European Commission and the European Free Trade Association, and supports essential requirements of EU Directive(s).

For the relationship with EU Directive(s) see informative Annex ZZ, which is an integral part of this document.

Introduction

EN 50126-1:1999 was aiming at introducing the application of a systematic RAMS management process in the railway sector. Through the application of this standard and the experiences gained over the last years, the need for revision and restructuring became apparent with a need to deliver a systematic and coherent approach to RAMS applicable to all the railway application fields Command, Control and Signalling, Rolling Stock and Fixed Installations.

The revision work improved the coherency and consistency of the standards, the concept of safety management and the practical usage of EN 50126 and took into consideration the existing and related Technical Reports as well.

This European Standard provides railway duty holders and the railway suppliers, throughout the European Union, with a process which will enable the implementation of a consistent approach to the management of reliability, availability, maintainability and safety, denoted by the acronym RAMS.

Processes for the specification and demonstration of RAMS requirements are cornerstones of this standard. This European Standard promotes a common understanding and approach to the management of RAMS.

EN 50126 forms part of the railway sector specific application of IEC 61508. Meeting the requirements in this European Standard together with the requirements of other suitable standards is sufficient to ensure that additional compliance to IEC 61508 does not need to be demonstrated.

With regard to safety, EN 50126-1 provides a Safety Management Process which is supported by guidance and methods described in EN 50126-2.

EN 50126-1 and EN 50126-2 are independent from the technology used. As far as safety is concerned, EN 50126 takes the perspective of safety with a functional approach.

The application of this standard should be adapted to the specific requirements for the system under consideration.

This European Standard can be applied systematically by the railway duty holders and railway suppliers, throughout all phases of the life-cycle of a railway application, to develop railway specific RAMS requirements and to achieve compliance with these requirements. The systems-level approach developed by this European Standard facilitates assessment of the RAMS interactions between elements of railway applications even if they are of complex nature.

This European Standard promotes co-operation between the stakeholders of Railways in the achievement of an optimal combination of RAMS and cost for railway applications. Adoption of this European Standard will support the principles of the European Single Market and facilitate European railway inter-operability.

In accordance with CENELEC editing rules ¹), mandatory requirements in this standard are indicated with the modal verb "shall". Where justifiable, the standard permits process tailoring.

Specific guidance on the application of this standard for Safety aspects is provided in EN 50126-2. EN 50126-2 provides various methods for use in the safety management process. Where a particular method is selected for the system under consideration, the mandatory requirements of this method are by consequence mandatory for the safety management of the system under consideration.

This European Standard consists of the main part (Clause 1 to Clause 11) and Annexes A, B, C, D, E, F, G and ZZ. The requirements defined in the main part of the standard are normative, whilst Annexes are informative.

12

CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations Part 3: Rules for the structure and drafting of CEN/CENELEC Publications (2017-02), Annex H.

1 Scope

This part 2 of EN 50126

- considers the safety-related generic aspects of the RAMS life-cycle;
- defines methods and tools which are independent of the actual technology of the systems and subsystems;
- provides:
 - the user of the standard with the understanding of the system approach to safety which is a key concept of EN 50126;
 - methods to derive the safety requirements and their safety integrity requirements for the system and to apportion them to the subsystems;
 - methods to derive the safety integrity levels (SIL) for the safety-related electronic functions.

NOTE This standard does not allow the allocation of safety integrity levels to non-electronic functions.

- provides guidance and methods for the following areas:
 - safety process;
 - safety demonstration and acceptance;
 - organisation and independence of roles;
 - risk assessment;
 - specification of safety requirements;
 - apportionment of functional safety requirements;
 - design and implementation.
- provides the user of this standard with the methods to assure safety with respect to the system under consideration and its interactions;
- provides guidance about the definition of the system under consideration, including identification of the interfaces and the interactions of this system with its subsystems or other systems, in order to conduct the risk analysis;
- does not define:
 - RAMS targets, quantities, requirements or solutions for specific railway applications;
 - rules or processes pertaining to the certification of railway products against the requirements of this standard;
 - an approval process by the safety authority.

This part 2 of EN 50126 is applicable to railway applications fields, namely Command, Control and Signalling, Rolling Stock and Fixed Installations, and specifically:

to the specification and demonstration of safety for all railway applications and at all levels of such an
application, as appropriate, from complete railway systems to major systems and to individual and

combined sub-systems and components within these major systems, including those containing software, in particular:

- to new systems;
- to new systems integrated into existing systems already accepted, but only to the extent and insofar as the new system with the new functionality is being integrated. It is otherwise not applicable to any unmodified aspects of the existing system;
- as far as reasonably practicable, to modifications and extensions of existing systems accepted prior to the creation of this standard, but only to the extent and insofar as existing systems are being modified. It is otherwise not applicable to any unmodified aspect of the existing system;
- at all relevant phases of the life-cycle of an application;
- for use by railway duty holders and the railway suppliers.

It is not required to apply this standard to existing systems which remain unmodified, including those systems already compliant with any former version of EN 50126.

The process defined by this European Standard assumes that railway duty holders and railway suppliers have business-level policies addressing Quality, Performance and Safety. The approach defined in this standard is consistent with the application of quality management requirements contained within EN ISO 9001.

2 Normative references

The following documents, in whole or in part, are normatively referenced in this document and are indispensable for its application. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

EN 50126-1:2017, Railway Applications — The Specification and Demonstration of Reliability, Availability, Maintainability and Safety (RAMS) — Part 1: Generic RAMS Process

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the terms and definitions given in EN 50126-1 apply.

4 Abbreviations

- AL ARP As Low As Reasonable Practicable
- CBA Cost Benefit Analysis
- CCF Common Cause Failure (Analysis)
- CoP Code of Practice
- COTS Commercial Off-The-Shelf
- DRA Differential Risk Aversion
- ERE **Explicit Risk Estimation**
- EMC Electromagnetic compatibility
- ETA **Event Tree Analysis**
- **FMECA** Failure Mode Effect & Criticality Analysis
- FTA Fault Tree Analysis
- GA Generic Application
- GASC Generic Application Safety Case