

**Health informatics - Privilege management and access control - Part 3: Implementations (ISO 22600-3:2014)**

## EESTI STANDARDI EESSÕNA

## NATIONAL FOREWORD

See Eesti standard EVS-EN ISO 22600-3:2014 sisaldab Euroopa standardi EN ISO 22600-3:2014 inglisekeelset teksti.	This Estonian standard EVS-EN ISO 22600-3:2014 consists of the English text of the European standard EN ISO 22600-3:2014.
Standard on jõustunud sellekohase teate avaldamisega EVS Teatajas.	This standard has been endorsed with a notification published in the official bulletin of the Estonian Centre for Standardisation.
Euroopa standardimisorganisatsioonid on teinud Euroopa standardi rahvuslikele liikmetele kättesaadavaks 15.10.2014.	Date of Availability of the European standard is 15.10.2014.
Standard on kättesaadav Eesti Standardikeskusest.	The standard is available from the Estonian Centre for Standardisation.

Tagasisidet standardi sisu kohta on võimalik edastada, kasutades EVS-i veebilehel asuvat tagasiside vormi või saates e-kirja meiliaadressile [standardiosakond@evs.ee](mailto:standardiosakond@evs.ee).

ICS 35.240.80

### Standardite reprodutseerimise ja levitamise õigus kuulub Eesti Standardikeskusele

Andmete paljundamine, taastekitamine, kopeerimine, salvestamine elektroonsesse süsteemi või edastamine ükskõik millises vormis või millisel teel ilma Eesti Standardikeskuse kirjaliku loata on keelatud.

Kui Teil on küsimusi standardite autorikaitse kohta, võtke palun ühendust Eesti Standardikeskusega:  
Aru 10, 10317 Tallinn, Eesti; [www.evs.ee](http://www.evs.ee); telefon 605 5050; e-post [info@evs.ee](mailto:info@evs.ee)

### The right to reproduce and distribute standards belongs to the Estonian Centre for Standardisation

No part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, without a written permission from the Estonian Centre for Standardisation.

If you have any questions about copyright, please contact Estonian Centre for Standardisation:  
Aru 10, 10317 Tallinn, Estonia; [www.evs.ee](http://www.evs.ee); phone 605 5050; e-mail [info@evs.ee](mailto:info@evs.ee)

ICS 35.240.80

English Version

Health informatics - Privilege management and access control -  
Part 3: Implementations (ISO 22600-3:2014)

Informatique de santé - Gestion de privilèges et contrôle  
d'accès - Partie 3: Mises en oeuvre (ISO 22600-3:2014)

Medizinische Informatik - Privilegienmanagement und  
Zugriffssteuerung - Teil 3: Implementierungen (ISO 22600-  
3:2014)

This European Standard was approved by CEN on 21 June 2014.

CEN members are bound to comply with the CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations which stipulate the conditions for giving this European Standard the status of a national standard without any alteration. Up-to-date lists and bibliographical references concerning such national standards may be obtained on application to the CEN-CENELEC Management Centre or to any CEN member.

This European Standard exists in three official versions (English, French, German). A version in any other language made by translation under the responsibility of a CEN member into its own language and notified to the CEN-CENELEC Management Centre has the same status as the official versions.

CEN members are the national standards bodies of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey and United Kingdom.



EUROPEAN COMMITTEE FOR STANDARDIZATION  
COMITÉ EUROPÉEN DE NORMALISATION  
EUROPÄISCHES KOMITEE FÜR NORMUNG

CEN-CENELEC Management Centre: Avenue Marnix 17, B-1000 Brussels

## Foreword

This document (EN ISO 22600-3:2014) has been prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 215 "Health informatics" in collaboration with Technical Committee CEN/TC 251 "Health informatics" the secretariat of which is held by NEN.

This European Standard shall be given the status of a national standard, either by publication of an identical text or by endorsement, at the latest by April 2015, and conflicting national standards shall be withdrawn at the latest by April 2015.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. CEN [and/or CENELEC] shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

According to the CEN-CENELEC Internal Regulations, the national standards organizations of the following countries are bound to implement this European Standard: Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey and the United Kingdom.

### Endorsement notice

The text of ISO 22600-3:2014 has been approved by CEN as EN ISO 22600-3:2014 without any modification.

# Contents

Page

<b>Foreword</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>Introduction</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>1 Scope</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2 Normative references</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>3 Terms and definitions</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>4 Abbreviated terms</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>5 Structures and services for privilege management and access control</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>6 Interpretation of ISO 22600-2 formal models in healthcare settings</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>7 Concept representation for health information systems</b>	<b>18</b>
7.1 Overview	18
7.2 Domain languages	19
7.3 OCL constraint modelling	20
7.4 Other constraint representations	20
<b>8 Consent</b>	<b>22</b>
8.1 Overview	22
8.2 Patient consent	22
8.3 Patient consent management	22
<b>9 Emergency access</b>	<b>22</b>
<b>10 Refinement of the control model</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>11 Refinement of the delegation model</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>Annex A (informative) Privilege management infrastructure</b>	<b>24</b>
<b>Annex B (informative) Attribute certificate extensions</b>	<b>60</b>
<b>Annex C (informative) Terminology comparison</b>	<b>62</b>
<b>Annex D (informative) Examples for policy management and policy representation</b>	<b>63</b>
<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>66</b>

## Introduction

The distributed architecture of shared care information systems supporting service-oriented architecture (SOA) is increasingly based on corporate networks and virtual private networks. For meeting the interoperability challenge, the use of standardized user interfaces, tools, and protocols, which ensures platform independence, but also the number of really open information systems, is rapidly growing during the last couple of years.

As a common situation today, hospitals are supported by several vendors providing different applications, which are not able to communicate authentication and authorization since each has its own way of handling these functions. For achieving an integrated scenario, it takes a remarkable amount of money, time, and efforts to get users and changing organizational environments dynamically mapped before starting communication and cooperation. Resources required for the development and maintenance of security functions grow exponentially with the number of applications, with the complexity of organizations towards a regional, national, or even international level, and with the flexibility of users playing multiple roles, sometimes even simultaneously.

The situation becomes even more challenging when inter-organizational communications happens, thereby crossing security policy domain boundaries. Moving from one healthcare centre to another or from country to country, different rules for privileges and their management can apply to similar types of users, both for execution of particular functions and for access to information. The policy differences between these domains have to be bridged automatically or through policy agreements, defining sets of rules followed by the parties involved, for achieving interoperability.

Another challenge to be met is how to improve the quality of care by using IT without infringing the privacy of the patient. To provide physicians with adequate information about the patient, a virtual electronic health care record is required which makes it possible to keep track of all the activities belonging to one patient regardless of where and by whom they have been performed and documented. In such an environment, a generic model or specific agreement between the parties for managing privileges and access control including the patient or its representative is needed.

Besides a diversity of roles and responsibilities, typical for any type of large organization, also ethical and legal aspects in the healthcare scenario due to the sensitivity of person-related health information managed and its personal and social impact have to be considered.

Advanced solutions for privilege management and access control are required today already, but this challenge will even grow over the next couple of years. The reason is the increase of information exchanged between systems in order to fulfil the demands of health service providers at different care levels for having access to more and more patient-related information to ensure the quality and efficiency of patient's diagnosis and treatment, however combined with increased security and privacy risks.

The implementation of this International Standard might be currently too advanced and therefore not feasible in certain organizational and technical settings. For meeting the basic principle of best possible action, it is therefore very important that at least a policy agreement is written between the parties stating to progress towards this International Standard when any update/upgrade of the systems is intended. The level of formalization and granularity of policies and the objects these policies are bound to defines the solution maturity on a pathway towards the presented specification.

The policy agreement also has to contain defined differences in the security systems and agreed solutions on how to overcome the differences. For example, the authentication service and privileges of a requesting party at the responding site have to be managed according to the policy declared in the agreement. For that reason, information and service requester, as well as information and service provider on the one hand, and information and services requested and provided on the other hand, have to be grouped and classified in a limited number of concepts for enabling the specification of a limited number of solution categories. Based on that classification, claimant mechanisms, target sensitivity mechanisms, and policy specification and management mechanisms can be implemented. Once all parties have signed the policy agreement, the communication and information exchange can start with the existing systems if the parties can accept the risks. If there are unacceptable risks which have to be eliminated before the information exchange starts, they also have to be recorded in the policy agreement

together with an action plan stating how these risks have to be removed. The policy agreement also has to contain a time plan for this work and an agreement on how it has to be financed.

The documentation of the negotiation process is very important and provides the platform for the policy agreement.

Privilege management and access control address security and privacy services required for communication and cooperation, i.e. distributed use of health information. It also implies safety aspects, professional standards, and legal and ethical issues. This International Standard introduces principles and specifies services needed for managing privileges and access control. Cryptographic protocols are out of the scope of this International Standard.

This three-part International Standard references existing architectural and security standards as well as specifications in the healthcare area such as ISO, CEN, ASTM, OMG, W3C, etc., and endorses existing appropriate standards or identifies enhancements or modifications or the need for new standards. It comprises of:

- ISO 22600-1: describes the scenarios and the critical parameters in information exchange across policy domains. It also gives examples of necessary documentation methods as the basis for the policy agreement.
- ISO 22600-2: describes and explains, in a more detailed manner, the architectures and underlying models for privilege management and access control which are necessary for secure information sharing including the formal representation of policies.
- ISO 22600-3: describes examples of implementable specifications of application security services and infrastructural services using different specification languages.

It accommodates policy bridging. It is based on a conceptual model where local authorization servers and cross-border directory and policy repository services can assist access control in various applications (software components). The policy repository provides information on rules for access to various application functions based on roles and other attributes. The directory service enables identification of the individual user. The granted access will be based on four aspects:

- the authenticated identification of principals (i.e. human users and objects that need to operate under their own rights) involved;
- the rules for access to a specific information object including purpose of use;
- the rules regarding authorization attributes linked to the principal provided by the authorization manager;
- the functions of the specific application.

This International Standard supports collaboration between several authorization managers that can operate over organizational and policy borders.

This International Standard is strongly related to other ISO/TC 215 works such as ISO 17090 (all parts), ISO 22857, ISO 21091, and ISO 21298.

This International Standard is meant to be read in conjunction with its complete set of associated standards.

Based on the Unified Process, a three-dimensional architectural reference model has been derived for defining the constraint models needed. The dimensions of the Generic Component Model used are the domain axis, the decomposition/composition axis, and the axis describing the views on a system and its components. For being future-proof, sustainable, flexible, portable, and scalable, only the constraining process and the resulting security-related meta-models are presented. The instantiation and implementation, e.g. the specification of mechanisms and encoding definitions, is a long-term process, dedicated to other standards and projects or the vendor/provider community, respectively.

After shortly summarizing the basics of ISO 22600-2, the different ways of representing different levels of maturity with different levels of interoperability below the ideal situation of a semantically valid one are discussed.

For those different environments and levels, this part of ISO 22600 introduces examples for specializing and implementing the formal high-level models for architectural components based on ISO/IEC 10746 and defined in ISO 22600-2. These examples and related services are grouped in different Annexes.

The specifications are provided using derivatives of the Extensible Markup Language (XML), especially Security Assertion Markup Language (SAML) and Extensible Access Control Markup Language (XACML) specified by OASIS. Additional specifications are also presented in the traditional ASN.1 syntax.

This International Standard has been harmonized in essential parts with ASTM E2595-07.



# Health informatics — Privilege management and access control —

## Part 3: Implementations

### 1 Scope

This multi-part International Standard defines principles and specifies services needed for managing privileges and access control to data and/or functions.

It focuses on communication and use of health information distributed across policy domain boundaries. This includes healthcare information sharing across unaffiliated providers of healthcare, healthcare organizations, health insurance companies, their patients, staff members, and trading partners by both individuals and application systems ranging from a local situation to a regional or even national situation.

It specifies the necessary component-based concepts and is intended to support their technical implementation. It will not specify the use of these concepts in particular clinical process pathways.

This part of ISO 22600 instantiates requirements for repositories for access control policies and requirements for privilege management infrastructures. It provides implementation examples of the formal models specified in ISO 22600-2.

This part of ISO 22600 excludes platform-specific and implementation details. It does not specify technical communication security services, authentication techniques, and protocols that have been established in other International Standards such as e.g. ISO 7498-2, ISO/IEC 10745 (ITU-T X.803), ISO/IEC/TR 13594 (ITU-T X.802), ISO/IEC 10181-1 (ITU-T X.810), ISO/IEC 9594-8 (ITU-T X.509), ISO/IEC 9796 (all parts), ISO/IEC 9797 (all parts), and ISO/IEC 9798 (all parts).

### 2 Normative references

The following documents, in whole or in part, are normatively referenced in this document and are indispensable for its application. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO/IEC 9594-8, *Information technology — Open Systems Interconnection — The Directory — Part 8: Public-key and attribute certificate frameworks*

ISO/IEC 10181-3, *Information technology — Open Systems Interconnection — Security frameworks for open systems: Access control framework — Part 3*

ASTM E2084-00, *Standard Specification for Authentication of Healthcare Information Using Digital Signatures*

### 3 Terms and definitions

#### 3.1

##### **access control**

means of ensuring that the resources of a data processing system can be accessed only by authorized entities in authorized ways

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 2382-8:1998]