

ICS 91.100.30; 03.120.30

English Version

Use of control charts in the production of concrete

Utilisation des cartes de contrôle pour la production du
béton

Anwendung von Qualitätsregelkarten bei der Herstellung
von Beton

This Technical Report was approved by CEN on 20 May 2012. It has been drawn up by the Technical Committee CEN/TC 104.

CEN members are the national standards bodies of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey and United Kingdom.



EUROPEAN COMMITTEE FOR STANDARDIZATION
COMITÉ EUROPÉEN DE NORMALISATION
EUROPÄISCHES KOMITEE FÜR NORMUNG

Management Centre: Avenue Marnix 17, B-1000 Brussels

Contents

Page

Foreword.....	4
Introduction	5
1 Scope	7
2 Symbols and abbreviations	7
3 Statistics for Concrete	8
3.1 Normal distribution of strength	8
3.2 Characteristic strength and target strength	8
3.3 Standard deviation.....	10
3.4 Setting the target strength	13
4 Simple Data Charts	14
5 Shewhart Charts	15
5.1 Introduction	15
5.2 Shewhart action criteria	16
5.2.1 Points beyond UCL or LCL	16
5.2.2 Points beyond UWL or LWL	16
5.2.3 Patterns within control limits	16
5.3 Control of standard deviation.....	16
5.4 Example Shewhart chart	16
5.5 Modified application of Shewhart control chart	17
6 CUSUM.....	19
6.1 Introduction	19
6.2 Controlling mean strength	22
6.3 Controlling standard deviation.....	22
6.4 Controlling correlation	23
6.5 Design of V-mask.....	24
6.6 Action following change	24
7 Multivariable and Multigrade Analysis	26
7.1 General.....	26
7.2 Multivariable	26
7.3 Multigrade	27
8 Speeding the Response of the System	28
8.1 Early age testing	28
8.2 Family of mixes concept	28
9 Guidance on Control Systems	30
9.1 Abnormal Results	30
9.2 Handling mixes outside the concrete family	30
9.3 Handling mixes not controlled by compressive strength requirements	31
9.4 Test rates	32
9.5 Action following change	33
10 EN 206-1 Conformity Rules for Compressive Strength	33
10.1 Basic requirements for conformity of compressive strength.....	33
10.2 Assessment period.....	34
10.3 Conformity rules for compressive strength.....	34
10.4 Achieving an AOQL of 5 % with CUSUM	36
10.5 Non-conformity	37
11 Implementing Control Systems.....	38
12 CUSUM Example	38

12.1 Reference mix and concrete family 38

12.2 Main relationship 39

12.3 Applying adjustments 40

12.4 CUSUM calculation..... 41

12.5 CUSUM action following change 45

12.6 Further data and a change in standard deviation 47

Bibliography..... 51

This document is a preview generated by EVS

Foreword

This document (CEN/TR 16369:2012) has been prepared by Technical Committee CEN/TC 104 "Concrete and related products", the secretariat of which is held by DIN.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. CEN [and/or CENELEC] shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

Introduction

It is safe to assume that ever since manufacturing commenced, attempts have been made to control the process in order to improve quality and drive down costs. The application of statistical techniques to manufacturing was first developed by physicist Walter A. Shewhart of the Bell Telephone Laboratories in 1924. Shewhart continued to develop the idea and in 1931 he published a book on statistical quality control [1].

Shewhart recognised that within a manufacturing process there were not only natural variations inherent in the process, which affected quality but there were also variations that could not be explained. Shewhart recognised that it is possible to set limits on the natural variation of any process so that fluctuations within these limits could be explained by chance causes, but any variation outside of these limits, special variations, would represent a change in the underlying process.

Shewhart's concept of natural and special variations is clearly relevant to the production of concrete at a ready-mixed plant or precast factory and the requirement to achieve a specified compressive strength. Natural variations exist in the process due to variation in the raw materials (aggregate grading, chemical composition, etc), batching accuracy, plant performance, sampling and testing, etc. Special causes of variation outside of the natural variations could be due to changed constituent materials being used, weigh-scales losing accuracy, a new batcher, problems with testing equipment, etc.

Control charts have found widespread use in the concrete industry in both ready-mixed concrete and precast concrete sectors as a tool for quality control. Control charts can be applied to monitor a range of product characteristics (e.g. cube/cylinder strength, consistence, w/c ratio), constituent materials (aggregate grading, cement strengths, etc.) or production (batching accuracy).

Their most common application of control charts is as a means of continuously assessing compressive strength results in order to:

- check whether target strengths are being achieved;
- measure the variations from target (all products vary);
- identify magnitude of any variation;
- objectively define action required (e.g. change w/c ratio) to get the process back on target;
- identify periods and concretes where the strength was less than specified so that investigations can be carried out and corrective action taken.

The use of control charts should not be treated in isolation from the rest of production control. For example routine checking and maintenance of weigh equipment will minimise the risk of a weigh-scale failure. Control charts provide information about the process, but the interpretation of the information is not a mechanical process. All the information available to the concrete producer should be used to interpret the information and make informed decisions. Did a change in quality occur when a new batch of constituent was first used? Is all the family showing the same trend? Are other plants using similar materials showing a similar trend? Such information leads to the cause of the change in quality being identified and appropriate action being taken. For example a loss of accuracy in the weigh-scales should lead to repair, maintenance and re-calibration and not a change in mix proportions. Where a change in mix proportions is required, the use of control charts can lead to objectively defined changes in proportions.

Effective control of concrete production is more easily achieved when there are good relationships with the constituent material suppliers, particularly the suppliers of cementitious materials. Early warning of a change in performance from the constituent material supplier should be part of the supply agreement, e.g. that stock

clinker is being used during the maintenance period, and on the basis of this warning, the producer will decide the appropriate action.

Some producers use changes in cement chemistry to predict changes in concrete strength. Effective production control is about using all this information to produce concrete conforming to its specification. Effective production control, which includes the use of control charts, significantly reduces the risk of non-conformity benefiting both users and producers of concrete.

There are drawbacks to the existing method of assessment of conformity of mean strength adopted in EN 206-1 [3] including not following the CEN Guidance on the evaluation of conformity [2]. It is believed that control charts (already widely used as a quality assurance tool in factory production control) would provide an alternative and better means of ensuring the characteristic strength is achieved and it is a method that follows the CEN Guidance.

1 Scope

This Technical Report reviews various control systems that are currently used in the concrete industry and, by the use of examples, show how the principles are applied to control the production of concrete. This CEN/TR provides information and examples of the use of method C in Clause 8 of prEN 206:2012.

2 Symbols and abbreviations

AOQ	Average outgoing quality
AOQL	Average outgoing quality limit
C_{mra}	Constant giving the cement content increase required to produce a 1N/mm^2 increase in strength
dc	Change in cement content
DI	Decision interval
G	Gradient
f_{ci}	Individual test result for compressive strength of concrete
f_{ck}	Specified characteristic compressive strength
f_{cm}	Mean compressive strength of concrete
k	Statistical constant
L_1	Lower limit
LCL	Lower control limit
LWL	Lower warning limit
n	Number of samples
q_n	Statistical constant that depends upon n and the selected AOQL
s	Sample standard deviation
UCL	Upper control limit
UWL	Upper warning limit
x_i	Test result NOTE According to EN 206-1 [3], a test result may be the mean value of two or more specimens taken from one sample and tested at one age.
\bar{x}	Mean value of 'n' test results
σ	Estimate for the standard deviation of a population