TECHNICAL REPORT

CLC/TR 50173-99-1

RAPPORT TECHNIQUE

TECHNISCHER BERICHT

December 2007

ICS 35.110

English version

Cabling guidelines in support of 10 GBASE-T

Guide de câblage pour supporter le 10 GBASE-T

Verkabelungsleitfaden zur Unterstützung von 10 GBASE-T

This Technical Report was approved by CENELEC on 2007-11-02.

CENELEC members are the national electrotechnical committees of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Cyprus, the Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, the Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland and the United Kingdom.

CENELEC

European Committee for Electrotechnical Standardization Comité Européen de Normalisation Electrotechnique Europäisches Komitee für Elektrotechnische Normung

Central Secretariat: rue de Stassart 35, B - 1050 Brussels

Foreword

This Technical Report was prepared by the Technical Committee CENELEC TC 215, Electrotechnical aspects of telecommunication equipment.

The text of the draft was submitted to vote and was approved by CENELEC as CLC/TR 50173-99-1 on 2007-11-02.

This Technical Report provides guidance whether an installed generic cabling channel meeting the requirements of EN 50173-1:2007, Class E, will support 10 GBASE-T as specified by IEEE 802.3an. The Technical Report also provides mitigation procedures to improve the performance of Class E channels to the point where the application is supported. Generic cabling channels meeting the requirements of EN 50173-1:2007, Class F, will support IEEE 802.3an up to 100 m without mitigation.

The support of IEEE 802.3an includes additional parameters and an extended frequency range beyond Class E. Conformance of installed cabling beyond the original cabling specifications must be determined on a case-by case basis, and is primarily needed due to new external noise requirements. Whether these requirements are met by a specific channel is influenced by the components and installation practices used. As IEEE 802.3an uses frequencies above those specified for Class E of EN 50173-1:2007, input from supplier and installer may be helpful to evaluate the performance of installed Class E channels.

This Technical Report takes into account the design goals for IEEE 802.3an (10 GBASE-T) equipment such as:

- a) frequency signal range up to 500 MHz;
- b) meet EMC limits specified for EN 55022:20 Class A;

NOTE While IEEE 802.3an specifies an application to meet class A on unshielded cabling, meeting Class B may require application specific equipment and/or cabling that exceeds the requirements of this TR respectively.

- c) support a bit error rate of 10⁻¹²;
- d) support operation over four-connector, four-pair balanced cabling.

It is expected that IEEE 802.3an will be supported by the in wing cabling channels specified in EN 50173-1:2007:

- Class F channels will support IEEE 802.3an to distances of at least 100 m;
- Class E channels using screened Category 6 components and assessed and mitigated according to the guidelines in this Technical Report will support IEEE 802.3an over distances up to 100 m;
- Class E channels assessed and mitigated according to the guidelines in this Technical Report are expected to support IEEE 802.3an over distances from 55 m up to 160 m using unscreened Category 6 components.

In order to provide normative cabling specifications in explicit support of IEEE 802.3an, an amendment to EN 50173-1:2007 is under consideration. This amendment will provide new channel specifications that will include all characteristics needed to meet and/or exceed the IEEE 802.3an equirements (Class E_A and Class F_A).

This Technical Report is derived from ISO/IEC TR 24750, which has been developed by ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 25 as a Technical Report Type 2.

Contents

Int	troductio	n	5			
1		Scope	7			
2		Normative references	7			
3		Definitions and abbreviations	7			
	3.1	Definitions	7			
	3.2	Abbreviations	9			
4		Channel requirements	9			
	4.1	General	9			
	4.2	Return loss	10			
	4.3	Insertion loss	10			
	4.4	Near-end crosstalk loss (NEXT)	11			
	4.5	Attenuation to crosstalk loss ratio near-end (ACR-N)	13			
	4.6	Attenuation to cosstalk loss ratio far-end (ACR-F)	14			
	4.7	Alien (exogenous) crosstalk	16			
	4.8	Propagation delay	21			
	4.9	Delay skew	21			
5		Guidance for mitigation	21			
	5.1	Planning certification, measurement and documentation	21			
	5.2	Mitigation techniques if in-channel parameters of the channel from Clause 4 are not met	22			
	5.3	Mitigation techniques in case external parameters of the channel (alien noise) from 4.7 are not met				
Ar	nnex A (in	nformative) Permanent link performance guide ines	24			
Ar	nnex B (n	ormative) Alien crosstalk margin computation	26			
Ar	nnex C (ir	nformative) Analytical approach to alien crosstalk mitigation	31			
To	bles					
		hanges and additions to definitions in EN 50173-1:2007	6			
			10			
Ta	ble 3 – R	eturn loss limits for a channel	10			
Ta	ble 4 – F	quation for insertion loss limits for a channel	11			
Ta	ble 5 – In	sertion loss limits for a channel at key frequencies	11			
٠.		quations for NEXT limits for a channel	,			
		EXT limits for a channel at key frequencies				
		quations for PSNEXT limits for a channel				
		SNEXT limits for a channel at key frequencies				
		ACR-N limits for a channel at key frequencies				
		PSACR-N limits for a channel at key frequencies				
		Equation for ACR-F limits for a channel				
		ACR-F limits for a channel at key frequencies				
	Fable 14 – Equation for PSACR-F limits for a channel					
	_	-				

Table 15 – PSACR-F limits for a channel at key frequencies	16
Table 16 – Equations for PSANEXT limits for a channel	17
Table 17 – PSANEXT limits for a channel at key frequencies	17
Table 18 – Equations for PSAACR-F limits for a channel	19
Table 19 – PSAACR-F limits for a channel at key frequencies and lengths	20
Table 20 – Examples of implementations at key insertion loss	20
Table 21 – Equations for propagation delay limits for a channel	21
Table 22 – Propagation delay limits for a channel at key frequencies	21
Table 23 – Delev skew limits for a channel	21
Table A.1 – Return toss for permanent link	24
Table A.2 – Insertion os for permanent link	24
Table A.3 – NEXT for permanent link	25
Table A.4 – PSNEXT for perplanent link	25
Table A.5 – ACR-F for permanen link	25
Table A.6 – PSACR-F for permanent link	25
Table B.1 – Power backoff schedule from main body IEEE 802.3 10 GBASE-T	26

main body IEEE 802.3 10 Ge.

Introduction

In order to support IEEE 802.3 10 GBASE-T (ISO/IEC 8802-3:2000/A1, at present draft) over a generic cabling systems as defined in series EN 50173, several new parameters are required to specify the electrical transmission properties of the channel.

EN 50173-1 defines ACR and ELFEXT as: The S/N ratio of the noise in the disturbed pair to the signal in the disturbing pair. The definition in EN 50173-1 is correct for cabling.

IEEE 802.3an defines these parameters slightly different: The S/N ratio of the noise in the disturbed pair to the signal in the disturbed pair. This is of course the definition of importance for electrical systems.

For equally long channels the values of both definitions are nearly the same, but if the channels have different length the values based on IEEE 802.3an and EN 50173-1:2007 are different.

To align with IEEE 802.3ap it was decided in February 2006 to change the naming and definition in their cabling standard of some noise related items. The limits stay the same so backward compatibility is assured (see Table 1 for surpmary).

Crosstalk and power sum crosstalk are well defined in EN 50173-1:2007. As cables are laid in trays, ducts and/or are bundled together, the noise from one cable can couple into other cables. This can happen between telecommunications cables of the same category, but also between cables with different categories or even between signator power line cables and telecommunications cables.

This type of noise is well known in telephony and existing versions of Ethernet over balanced cabling. It has not been a major issue for the systems in use up to now. However, the increased frequency range and sensitivity of the IEEE 802.3an transmission cannot neglect this external noise any more.

Only the power sum of the noise is of importance and is specified because it is irrelevant from which external pairs or cables the noise is coming from and the noise from external sources cannot be compensated for within the specific application addressed here. The power sum computation assumes that the noise is generated by other channels using the same protocol. Disturbances that are created by other protocols (like TV distribution) using the other channels are handled as background noise. To determine alien crosstalk noise, the transmitter must therefore be known.

In a channel as specified in EN 50173-1, and measured in accordance with EN 50346, the near-end (where the measurement transmitter is) and the far-end (were the measurement receiver is) are known and the terms NEXT and FEXT are easy to define.

For alien crosstalk the term ANEXT or AFEXT can be ambiguous. Therefore new definitions for power sum alien crosstalk noise (near-end and far-end) are introduced (see definitions). It appears that the worst case situation is when a short channel runs in parallel at either end of a long channel. The short channel with high signals will disturb the long channel receiver where receiving signals have been attenuated due to the insertion loss of the long channel. For this case IEEE 802.3an introduced power backoff strategies. The idea is that a system detects the length of the channel by receiving signal amplitude and reduces the transmitter voltage to decrease alien noise.

IEEE 802.3an defines two limits for each of ANEXT and AFEXT that have to be met concurrently (for values see 4.7.1):

- a) The first limit applies to every pair individually within the disturbed channel;
- b) The second limit applies to the average of all four pairs within the disturbed channel.
 - PSANEXT average limit is 2,25 dB more stringent than the PSANEXT limit for each pair within the disturbed channel;
 - PSACR-F average is 4 dB more stringent than the PSACR-F limit for each pair within the disturbed channel.

If these two limits are not met concurrently tradeoffs can be calculated as explained in Annex B.

Table 1 – Changes and additions to definitions in EN 50173-1:2007

Term used in EN 50173-1:2007	Term used in this Technical Report	Definition	Requirement
ACR	ACR-N	Revised	No change
PSACR	PSACR-N	Revised	No change
ELFEXT	ACR-F	Revised	No change
PSELFEXT	PSACR-F	Revised	No change
70	PSANEXT	New	New
0	PSAACR-F	New	New

Ocument's a preview generated by FLS

1 Scope

This Technical Report

- a) specifies the transmission performance for channels to support 10 GBASE-T as specified in IEEE 802.3an.
- b) specifies the methods to assess whether installed Class E and Class F channels meet IEEE 802.3an requirements,
- c) provides mitigation techniques to improve the performance of an existing installation to meet the IEEE 802.3an requirements.

NOTE 1 The chands transmission performance specified in this TR is derived from IEEE 802.3an.

NOTE 2 IEEE 802.3an specifies requirements beyond the frequency range specified for EN 50173-1:2007, Class E, and additional parameters to those specified for Class E and Class F cabling in EN 50173-1:2007.

NOTE 3 This Technical Report does not re-specify the requirements for Class E and Class F channels of EN 50173-1:2007.

2 Normative references

The following referenced documents are indispensable for the application of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

EN 50173-1:2007, Information technology – Generic cabling systems – Part 1: General requirements EN 50346, Information technology – Cabling installation – Testing of installed cabling

ISO/IEC 8802-3:2000/A1 ¹⁾, Information technology - Telecommunications and information exchange between systems - Local and metropolitan area petworks - Specific requirements — Part 3: Carrier sense multiple access with collision detection (CMA/CD) access method and physical layer specifications - Media Access Control (MAC) parameters, physical layers, and management parameters for 10 Gb/s operation

3 Definitions and abbreviations

3.1 Definitions

For the purposes of this document the following terms and definition opply in addition to those of EN 50173-1.

3.1.1

alien crosstalk

the signal coupling from a disturbing pair of a channel to a disturbed pair of another channel

3.1.2

alien (exogenous) far-end crosstalk loss (AFEXT)

the signal isolation between a disturbing pair of a channel and a disturbed pair of another channel, measured at the far-end

3.1.3

alien (exogenous) near-end crosstalk loss (ANEXT)

the signal isolation between a disturbing pair of a channel and a disturbed pair of another channel, measured at the near-end

¹⁾ Under preparation.