

CEN

CWA 15555

WORKSHOP

June 2006

AGREEMENT

ICS 35.240.99

English version

Guidelines and support for building application profiles in e-learning

This CEN Workshop Agreement has been drafted and approved by a Workshop of representatives of interested parties, the constitution of which is indicated in the foreword of this Workshop Agreement.

The formal process followed by the Workshop in the development of this Workshop Agreement has been endorsed by the National Members of CEN but neither the National Members of CEN nor the CEN Management Centre can be held accountable for the technical content of this CEN Workshop Agreement or possible conflicts with standards or legislation.

This CEN Workshop Agreement can in no way be held as being an official standard developed by CEN and its Members.

This CEN Workshop Agreement is publicly available as a reference document from the CEN Members National Standard Bodies.

CEN members are the national standards bodies of Austria, Belgium, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland and United Kingdom.



EUROPEAN COMMITTEE FOR STANDARDIZATION
COMITÉ EUROPÉEN DE NORMALISATION
EUROPÄISCHES KOMITEE FÜR NORMUNG

Management Centre: rue de Stassart, 36 B-1050 Brussels

Table of Contents

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | 3 |
| 1 Scope | 4 |
| 2 Normative references | 4 |
| 3 General references | 4 |
| 4 Terms and definitions | 4 |
| 5 Abbreviations | 5 |
| 6 Introduction | 5 |
| 7 What is an application profile? | 5 |
| 7.1 Background | 5 |
| 7.2 Characteristics of Application Profiles | 7 |
| 7.2.1 Why? | 7 |
| 7.2.2 Who? | 7 |
| 7.2.3 What? | 8 |
| 8 Principles and practicalities | 8 |
| 9 How to develop a Metadata Application Profile | 9 |
| 9.1 Start from your own requirements | 9 |
| 9.2 Data elements | 9 |
| 9.2.1 Selection of data elements | 9 |
| 9.2.2 Dealing with size and smallest permitted maximum | 10 |
| 9.2.3 Data elements from multiple namespaces | 12 |
| 9.2.4 Adding local data elements | 12 |
| 9.3 Obligation of Data Elements | 12 |
| 9.4 Value Space | 14 |
| 9.5 Relationship and dependency | 15 |
| 9.6 Data Type profiling | 15 |
| 9.7 Application Profile Binding | 15 |
| 9.8 Conclusion | 16 |
| 10 Examples | 16 |
| 10.1 LOM based | 16 |
| 10.2 Learner Information Profile | 16 |
| 11 Implementation issues | 17 |
| 11.1 Overview of Modifications that are not allowed | 17 |
| 11.2 Source / Target profiles | 18 |
| 11.3 Cascading profiles | 19 |
| 11.4 Dealing with modifications and incompatible application profiles | 20 |
| 11.4.1 Incompatible cardinality | 21 |
| 11.4.2 Additional elements or sub-elements | 21 |
| 11.4.3 Different value spaces | 21 |
| 11.4.4 Extensive modification (changing mandatory element to optional one) | 21 |
| 11.5 Validation / Testing / Conformance - XML Schema binding | 21 |

Foreword

This CEN Workshop Agreement (CWA) provides guidance on how to build application profiles for e-learning.

Application profiles enable “mixing and matching” metadata elements, in order to meet specific requirements for a particular context. As an example, some communities may want to make certain elements mandatory or restrict the value space of a particular element.

However, there is much confusion and only limited experience and expertise in the development and deployment of application profiles. That is why the CEN/ISSS Learning Technologies Workshop decided to develop guidelines on the use of application profiles for (e-)learning. This document is the concrete result of this work.

Although many of the guidelines presented in this document can be applied to any kind of application profile, the focus here is on application profiles for metadata, more specifically for learning object metadata. In addition, application profiles of other metadata standards, such as for instance the Learner Information Package (LIP), have also been considered.

In parallel to this CWA, an online registry for Application Profiles equally with a particular focus on the IEEE LTSC LOM standard has been developed.

The decision for this work item was taken by the Learning Technologies Workshop at the 19th meeting on July 05/06, 2004. Work on the CWA actually started at the 23rd meeting on June 16/17, 2005. The editing team consisted of Neil Smith (Knowledge Integration Ltd), Marc Van Coillie (Eifel) and Erik Duval (Dept Computerwetenschappen, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven).

The document has been developed through the collaboration of a number of contributing partners, representing a wide mix of interests, from universities to commercial companies representatives. The names of the individuals and their affiliations that have expressed support for this CWA is available from the CEN/ISSS Secretariat.

The final review/endorsement round for this CWA was started on 2006-02-17 and closed on 2006-04-21.

The final text of this CWA was submitted to CEN for approval and publication on 2006-04-28.

Comments and feedback are explicitly solicited, and can be sent by email to erik.duval@cs.kuleuven.be

This CEN Workshop Agreement is publicly available as a reference document from the National Members of CEN: AENOR, AFNOR, ASRO, BSI, CSNI, CYS, DIN, DS, ELOT, EVS, IBN, IPQ, IST, LVS, LST, MSA, MSZT, NEN, NSAI, ON, PKN, SEE, SIS, SIST, SFS, SN, SNV, SUTN and UNI.

1 Scope

Although many of the guidelines presented in this document can be applied to any kind of application profile, the focus here is on application profiles for metadata, more specifically for learning object metadata¹. In addition, we will also consider application profiles of other metadata standards, such as for instance the Learner Information Package (LIP)².

2 Normative references

This CEN Workshop Agreement incorporates by dated or undated reference, provisions from other publications.

These normative references are cited at the appropriate places in the text, and the publications are listed hereafter. For dated references, subsequent amendments to or revisions of any of these publications do not apply. However, parties to agreements based on this CWA are encouraged to investigate the possibility of applying the most recent editions of the normative documents indicated below. For undated references, the latest edition of the normative document referred to applies.

3 General references

1. Erik Duval, Wayne Hodgins, Stuart Sutton, Stuart L. Weibel, *Metadata Principles and Practicalities*, D-Lib Magazine, April 2002, Volume 8 Number 4 (<http://www.dlib.org/dlib/april02/weibel/04weibel.html>)
2. BS 8419:2005 *Interoperability between metadata systems used for learning, education and training*
3. CWA14855 - Dublin Core Application Profile guidelines
4. CWA15248 - Guidelines for machine-processable representation of Dublin Core Application Profiles
5. CWA15249 - Guidance for naming, versioning, evolution and maintenance of element declarations and Application Profiles
6. IMS Guidelines for Application Profiling, 2005 (<http://www.imsglobal.org/ap/>)
7. TELCERT : Technology Enhanced Learning Conformance European Requirements & Testing (<http://www.opengroup.org/telcert>)
8. Jehad Najjar, Stefaan Ternier and Erik Duval, *Interoperability of Learning Object Repositories: Complications and Guidelines*, IADIS International Journal of WWW/Internet, 2004. <http://www.cs.kuleuven.ac.be/~najjar/papers/ladiswwwJournal.pdf>

4 Terms and definitions

application profile: “An application profile is an assemblage of metadata elements selected from one or more metadata schemas and combined in a compound schema. [...] The purpose of an application profile is to adapt or combine existing schemas into a package that is tailored to the functional requirements of a particular application, while retaining interoperability with the original base schemas.” [1]

¹ <http://ieeeltsc.org/wg12LOM/>

² <http://www.imsglobal.org/profiles/>