CEN

CWA 16180-1

September 2010

WORKSHOP

AGREEMENT

ICS 03.100.99

English version

The CEN ORCHID Roadmap Standardising Information Across the Plant Engineering Supply Chain - Part 1: Direction and Framework

This CEN Workshop Agreement has been drafted and approved by a Workshop of representatives of interested parties, the constitution of which is indicated in the foreword of this Workshop Agreement.

The formal process followed by the Workshop in the development of this Workshop Agreement has been endorsed by the National Members of CEN but neither the National Members of CEN nor the CEN Management Centre can be held accountable for the technical content of this CEN Workshop Agreement or possible conflicts with standards or legislation.

This CEN Workshop Agreement can in no way be held as being an official standard developed by CEN and its Members.

This CEN Workshop Agreement is publicly available as a reference document from the CEN Members National Standard Bodies.

CEN members are the national standards bodies of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland and United Kingdom.



EUROPEAN COMMITTEE FOR STANDARDIZATION COMITÉ EUROPÉEN DE NORMALISATION EUROPÄISCHES KOMITEE FÜR NORMUNG

Management Centre: Avenue Marnix 17, B-1000 Brussels

© 2010 CEN All rights of exploitation in any form and by any means reserved worldwide for CEN national Members.

Contents

Foreword	4
Introduction	6
1 Scope	9
2 Normative References	9
 Definitions, symbols and abbreviations 	10
3.1 Definitions	10
3.2 Symbols	10
3.3 Abbreviations	10
4. The ORCHID Roadmap	11
4.1 Objectives of the Roadmap	11
4.2 Vision of the process industry	12
4.3 Understanding interoperability	13
4.4 Business benefits of standardisation	13
4.4.1 Drivers and initiations	13
4.4.2 Estimates and actual quantitative benefits of standards	16
4.5 The ORCHID Roadmap Model	18
4.5.1 General	18
4.5.2 Phases of growing improved information maturity	19
4.5.3 Phases of growing external information maturity	20
4.5.4 The logical sequence of the phases	21
4.5.5 Interrelationships between internal and external phases	22
4.5.6 Information Maturity Levels and Requirements	22
4.5.7 Industry advancement in the readmap	23
4.5.8 Understanding early project experiences	25
4.6 Standards	26
4.7 Perspectives of stakeholders in the process industry supply chain	26
4.7.1 General	26
4.7.2 Perspective of owner/operators	26
4.7.3 Perspective of (EPC) Contractors	27
4.7.4 Perspective of equipment suppliers and manufacturers	27
4.7.5 Perspective of trade associations and standardisation consortia	27
4.7.6 Perspective of software vendors and ICT consultants	28
4.7.7 Perspective of engineering consultancy firms, training institutes, universities	28
4.7.8 Perspective of standardisation consortia and bodies	28
4.7.9 Government and regulatory bodies	28
4.8 Guidance on standards adoption using the roadmap	28
4.9 Version control	30
Annex A Information maturity levels, implementation phases and requirements {Normative}	31
A.1 General	31
A.2 Internal information maturity Levels and requirements	32
A.2.1 Business processes	32
A.2.2 Strategic alignment	33
A.2.3 People & organisation	34
A.2.4 Plant lifecycle information	35
A.2.5 ICT Technology & Infrastructure	36
A.3 External Information Maturity Level and requirements	37
A.3.1 Business processes	37
A.3.2 Strategic alignment	37
A.3.3 People & organisation	37
A.3.4 Plant lifecycle information	38
A.3.5 ICT Technology & Infrastructure	
Annex B Plant Engineering Activity Model {Informative}	40
Annex C Associations and consortia by country	42
Annex D The ORCHID Roadmap full scale {Normative}	43
Bibliography	
	·····

Figures

Figure 1 - Bubble chart of standardisation consortia	7
Figure 2 - From paper to integrated data	12
Figure 3 - Drivers of standardisation in process industry	14
Figure 4 - Inhibitors of standardisation in process industry	15
Figure 5 - Conflicting forces between for "Greenfield" and "Brownfield" sites	16
Figure 6 - ORCHID Roadmap for plant lifecycle information sharing and storing	19
Figure 7 - Roadmap phases of growing internal information maturity	20
Figure 8 - Roadmap phases of growing external information maturity	21
Figure 9 - Information maturity individual companies in process industry	24
Figure 10 - Position of industry assessments in Roadmap	24
Figure 11 - Position of joint industry projects in the Roadmap	25
Figure 12 - Past and Future on the Roadmap	29
Figure 13 - Maturity steps taken by successful companies	30
Figure 14 - AcknowledgementPISTEP	40
Figure 15 - Main process plan engineering activities model	41
Table 3	
Table 1 - Key information standardisation and sharing principles	13

Table

Table 1 - Key information standardisation and sharing principles	13
U.	
ð	
× A	
ČQ,	
Q.	
4	
íQ_	
6	
1	
0,	

3

Foreword

The production of this CEN Workshop Agreement, CWA 16180-1 CEN ORCHID Roadmap: Direction and Framework, was formally accepted at the CEN ORCHID Workshop's kick-off meeting on 7th May 2009 in Brussels.

The document has been developed through the collaboration of the key European groups active in plant and product lifecycle information management and standardisation in the oil & gas, process and power industry plant engineering supply chain. These groups are represented as partners in the CEN ORCHID WS project.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. CEN [and/or CENELEC] shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

This CWA Part 1 CEN ORCHID Roadmap: Direction and Framework has been available on the CEN website for public comments during the period 19 April 2010 to 19 June 2010.

At the ORCHID Open conference held on 19-20 May 2010 in Monheim, Germany this CWA Part 1. CEN ORCHID Roadmap: Direction and Framework was presented to the conference participants and was accepted. From various members of the CEN ORCHID WS and from some outside companies comments have been received that have been addressed.

This CWA Part 1 Direction and Framework has now been agreed by all 39 members of the CEN ORCHID WS project. These are:

Bayer Technology Services SOASYS Limited CC3P - Catalogues and Classifications Dublic and Private Procurement University of Groningen **CURE** Maintenance Consultants Pragmetica Knowledge Clout Stichting ETIM Nederland eCl@ss e.V. University of Applied Science Aachen Corena Deutschland GmbH BGS Beratungsgesellschaft Software systemplanung retated by FLS LSC Group BGS Beratungsgesellschaft Software systemplanung AB Royal DSM, CSHE&M, GMCC Process Control Jacobs Engineering Group Inc. Corena Deutschland GmbH DAMO Tec Ov Endress+Hauser Indi Consulting Limited PLM Consultancy GmbH Siemens (ICAAMC) Fluor BV VTT Technical Research Centre of Finland VCZ – Ver.d.deutschen Zentralheiz.wirt.e.V. Paradine GmbH Fluor BV BGS Beratungsgesellschaft Software systemplanung AB Endress+Hauser PCA Corena Deutschland GmbH Shell Global Solutions International University of Groningen Stichting USPI-NL Croon Elektrotechniek BV Fachhochschule Vorarlberg GmbH WCS Cataloguing Solutions

CWA 16180-1:2010 (E)

FernUniversität in Hagen LyonedllBasell CESI/VUB STARLab

This CEN Workshop Agreement is publicly available as a reference document from the National Members of CEN: AENOR, AFNOR, BSI, CSNI, CYS, DIN, DS, ELOT, EVS, IBN, IPQ, IST, HZN, LVS, LST, MSA, MSZT, NEN, NSAI, ON, PKN, SEE, SIS, SIST, SFS, SN, SNV, SUTN and UNI.

Comments or suggestions from the users of the CEN Workshop Agreement are welcome and should be addressed to the CEN-CENELEC Management Centre.

This document is a preview generated by FLS

Introduction

By 1994, the process industry globally had already agreed on the following vision statement:

"Companies in the process industries shall be able to share and/or exchange electronically the information needed to design, build, operate and maintain process and power plants using internationally accepted standards".

Since then, this vision has been reviewed a number of times and found to be valid still.

The interoperability problem

In the plant and product econeering supply chain plant owners & operators, EPC (Engineering, Procurement and Construction) contractors and equipment suppliers and manufacturers collaborate in all lifecycle phases of a product and a plant installation. Many companies are involved in this collaboration. Often a number of plant owners invest jointly in a plant, contracting to a group of EPC contractors and sub-contractors, which in turn order equipment from a multitude of equipment manufacturers and suppliers. It is therefore important that the engineering information arout all aspects of the plant can be easily exchanged, shared and understood. This, in practice, is very efficult due to:

- 1. Each plant of facility in the process power industries tends to be a "one-off".
- 2. The very large numbers and volume information types and the variety of processes in which they may be used thus the levels of granularity needs to meet needs of various supply chain members.
- 3. The high degree of fragmentation amongst copply chain participants of process industry.
- 4. The variety of IT applications with different configurations being used.

In general, this is called the "interoperability problem" but perhaps it would be better to call it the "Information Interoperability problem". A different but related problem semetimes also referred to as "interoperability problem" is for different computer applications to work with each other without human interfacing. The interoperability case of machine-to-machine exchange without human interfacing is many times more difficult to resolve than the case whereby two persons exchange engineering information having similar and highlevel engineering education, training and experience.

The interoperability problem can only be resolved through using common agreed international information standards. Such standards include both product model information exchange standards such as ISO 10303 (STEP) and ISO13584 (PLIB) and product and plant information integration standards such as the ISO 15926 family.

In 2001, multinational companies operating in the process industry in The Netherlands developed a **Roadmap** for product and plant lifecycle information¹ i.e. the engineering information required to design, build, operate and maintain a plant installation.

The Roadmap distinguishes between internal information maturity i.e. the capacity to manage information within the company and external information maturity i.e. the capability of an organisation to manage the information exchanged with external partners e.g. in projects.

Both internally and externally, the process industry will need to progress through four implementation phases to achieve full interoperability.

Fulfilling the process industry vision. "A Roadmap to competitive advantage by sharing and storing plant lifecycle data. December 2001".

The Roadmap was originally established by a group of senior engineering and information managers from multinationals as well as participants in the project supply chain in The Netherlands.

The objectives of the USPI Roadmap for lifecycle information created in 2002 were:

- 1. To define what major future steps process industry would take in lifecycle information standards development and their implementation to achieve the common vision and
- 2. To define who should be doing what to realise these steps.

The core of that work was published as a brochure that has been used in many management teams to communicate issues and approaches to resolve the information interoperability.

In the years 2005-2009, USPI invested significantly in establishing relationships with other international industry standardisation groups. Many of them are located in Europe and some in USA and Asia. It was clear that there was an absolute need for more critical mass and focus on international standardisation, and it was felt could be best achieved by starting from national strength to European clustering and finally global alignment.

For that reason, the informal ORCHID group was created i.e. a group of some 20 industry representatives from individual companies and from companies participating in the various process industry groups in Europe such as USPI, PROLISTOTHTH, <u>eCI@ss</u>, ICAAMC. Groups include equipment suppliers. Companies include plant owners, contractors, equipment suppliers, software and solution providers such as: Shell, Bayer, Basell-Lyondell, Siemens. A full list will be included in the CEN brochure about the project that is planned for publication in June 2010.

To kick off matters the ORCHID Group initial made an inventory of existing standards used by industry. This resulted in the "bubble chart" which visualises the large number of overlapping standard shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1 - Bubble chart of standardisation consortia²

The Group then agreed the need to have European-wide projects to address these issues. As a result, the formal CEN ORCHID WS project was proposed and approved for funding by the EU in May 2009 and Dalip Sud from Shell elected Chairman.

² Courtesy Raymond Betz who created the chart for ORCHID

The CEN ORCHID WS project has produced:

- 1) An update of the existing Roadmap to fit the current European context. The main adaptations concern the separation between timeframe and the logic of the Roadmap, the inclusion of five key dimensions to assess a company's information maturity and expansion on views of stakeholders.
- 2) A standards landscape technical advising what the standards of relevance are and how they relate in typical industry exchanges.
- 3) An implementation guide giving practical guidance on how industry can adopt the Roadmap focussing on the next 2 to 3 years.

stration within a comment is a preview denenated by the second s

1. Scope

This document is part of a family of standards consisting of

- CWA Part 1. CEN ORCHID Roadmap: Direction and Framework (this document)
- CWA Part 2. CEN ORCHID Roadmap: Implementation Guide
- CWA Part 3. CEN ORCHID Roadmap: Standards Landscape

The scope of the various parameters for the ORCHID Roadmap Part 1 Direction and Framework is defined as follows:

- Stakeholders (
 - The process industry supply chain i.e.: plant owners, EPC contractors, equipment suppliers and manufacturers, service companies, software vendors, standardisation consortia, trade associations.

This of course is not limited to Europe. It includes process industry globally.

- Authorities, regulatory bodies
- Standards
 - All standards that are relevant for the implementation of the Roadmap in practice.
 - The standards landscape report lists those.
- Timeframe
 - The timeframe covered by the Roamap
 - Up until now covers from about 1994 2010

n,

- From 2010 5-10 years further away
- Normative part of document
 - o Annex A.
 - Annex D.

Not in scope

- Real time process and asset information i.e. DCS
- Smart equipment i.e. equipment in the field transmitting intelligent information to a central unit about conditions in the field.
- Part of transition standards
- The business process used by supply chain participants to create information
- Standardisation of process flow scheme designs such as progressed by CAPE. Although this is an important subject, it was not included in the study in order for the project to be feasible.

2. Normative References

This CWA does not make normative references to other standards.

