

CEN

CWA 16221

WORKSHOP

October 2010

AGREEMENT

ICS 93.080.30

English version

Vehicle security barriers - Performance requirements, test methods and guidance on application

This CEN Workshop Agreement has been drafted and approved by a Workshop of representatives of interested parties, the constitution of which is indicated in the foreword of this Workshop Agreement.

The formal process followed by the Workshop in the development of this Workshop Agreement has been endorsed by the National Members of CEN but neither the National Members of CEN nor the CEN Management Centre can be held accountable for the technical content of this CEN Workshop Agreement or possible conflicts with standards or legislation.

This CEN Workshop Agreement can in no way be held as being an official standard developed by CEN and its Members.

This CEN Workshop Agreement is publicly available as a reference document from the CEN Members National Standard Bodies.

CEN members are the national standards bodies of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland and United Kingdom.



EUROPEAN COMMITTEE FOR STANDARDIZATION
COMITÉ EUROPÉEN DE NORMALISATION
EUROPÄISCHES KOMITEE FÜR NORMUNG

Management Centre: Avenue Marnix 17, B-1000 Brussels

Contents

	age
Foreword.....	5
1 Scope	8
2 Normative references	8
3 Terms and definitions	8
4 General.....	11
4.1 Selection of test method	11
4.2 Documentation.....	11
4.3 Profile.....	11
4.4 Test conditions	12
4.4.1 General.....	12
4.4.2 Conformity between test item and documentation	12
4.4.3 Impact point.....	12
4.4.4 System operation.....	12
5 Vehicle impact method.....	13
5.1 Classification.....	13
5.2 Test vehicle specification	14
5.3 Test impact criteria	16
5.4 Performance requirements	16
5.5 Test method.....	17
5.5.1 Principle.....	17
5.5.2 Apparatus	17
5.5.3 Pedestrian intruder access.....	20
5.5.4 Test facility	20
5.5.5 Test item preparation	21
5.5.6 Test vehicle preparation	21
5.5.7 Occupant severity indices (optional).....	22
5.6 Test procedure	23
5.6.1 Pre-impact data	23
5.6.2 Impact	23
5.6.3 Impact data	23
5.6.4 Post-impact data	24
5.6.5 Post-impact vehicle encroachment data	28
5.6.6 Post-impact person access data	28
5.6.7 Further impact tests	28
5.7 Test report	28
6 Design method	29
6.1 Classification.....	29
6.2 Design criteria	29
6.3 Design procedure	30
6.4 Design data.....	30
Annex A normative Alternative methods of testing single bollards at low impact energy	31
Annex B normative Modifications	32
Annex C normative Generic rigid test foundation for a single fixed bollard for vehicle impact tests.....	34
Annex D normative Introduction to hostile vehicle mitigation	37
D.1 General.....	37
D.2 Selection of a VSB	38

Annex E in ormative The threat.....	39
E.1 Identify and quantify the threat.....	39
E.2 Duration of deployment	39
Annex F in ormative The assets.....	41
F.1 Identification of the critical assets	41
F.2 Identification of stakeholders	41
F.3 Consideration of collateral damage	41
Annex G in ormative Site assessment	42
G.1 Review of existing security arrangements	42
G.2 Site survey	42
G.3 Civil works.....	42
G.3.1 Ground types	42
G.3.2 Foundations	43
G.3.3 Surface mounted VSB.....	43
G.4 Traffic survey.....	43
Annex H in ormative Site design.....	44
H.1 Traffic management.....	44
H.2 Aesthetics.....	45
Annex I in ormative VSB performance	46
I.1 Impact performance	46
I.1.1 General	46
I.1.2 Vehicle type.....	46
I.1.3 Vehicle speed.....	46
I.1.4 Impact angle.....	47
I.1.5 Vehicle penetration and dispersion.....	47
I.2 Operational performance.....	48
I.2.1 Vehicle access control.....	48
I.2.2 Speed of legitimate access.....	48
I.2.3 Power requirement	48
I.2.4 Durability and reliability	49
I.2.5 Environmental considerations	49
I.2.6 VSB integrity	49
I.2.7 Staff, skills and availability.....	50
Annex J in ormative Procurement strategy	51
J.1 General	51
J.2 Availability and maintenance of the VSB	51
J.3 Quality.....	51
J.4 Cost.....	51
J.5 Commissioning and handover	52
Annex K in ormative Deployment and removal	53
K.1 Highway/local authority approval	53
K.2 Logistics of deployment	53
K.3 Setting out.....	53
K.4 Lifting and placement issues	53
K.5 Removal considerations	53
Annex L in ormative Types of VSBs	54
L.1 General	54
L.1.1 Passive VSBs.....	54
L.1.2 Active VSBs	54
L.2 Examples of passive VSBs.....	55
L.2.1 Fixed bollards	55
L.2.2 Planters.....	55
L.3 Examples of active VSBs.....	56
L.3.1 General	56
L.3.2 Rising bollards.....	56
L.3.3 Road blockers	57

L.3.4	Rising arm barriers	58
L.3.5	Sliding and swing gates	59
Annex M in normative Active VSBs.....		61
M.1	General.....	61
M.2	Categories of active VSBs	62
M.2.1	General.....	62
M.2.2	VACP	62
M.2.3	Anti-ram VSB.....	62
M.2.4	Counter-terrorist VSB.....	62
M.3	Layout of active VSBs at VACPs.....	62
M.3.1	General.....	62
M.3.2	Single line of VSBs	63
M.3.3	Interlocked VSBs	64
M.3.4	Final denial VSB.....	65
M.3.5	Traffic throughput.....	66
M.4	Safety issues	66
M.5	Training.....	68
M.6	Maintenance, service and inspection.....	68
M.7	Control system.....	68
Annex N in normative Operational requirements		70
N.1	General.....	70
N.1.1	Introduction	70
N.1.2	Level 1 OR	70
N.1.3	Level 2 OR	72
N.2	Level 2 OR proforma	73
N.2.1	Document references	73
N.2.2	Level 1 OR references	73
N.2.3	Level 2 OR references	74
N.2.4	Area of concern.....	75
N.2.5	Period of Concern.....	76
N.2.6	Vulnerabilities	77
N.2.7	HVM measure(s) function	81
N.2.8	Performance requirements - Modus Operandi (MO)	82
N.2.9	Impact and performance requirement (hostile vehicle)	83
N.2.10	Performance requirement (normal operation)	84
N.2.11	Physical constraints	86
N.2.12	Environment constraints	87
N.2.13	Rules and regulations	88
N.2.14	Success criteria	89
N.2.15	Integration	90
N.2.16	Management	91
N.2.17	Service and maintenance.....	92
Annex O (Informative) Proforma test report		93
O.1	Impact test report	93
O.2	Contents of report	93
Bibliography		94

Foreword

The production of this E or shop agreement specifying *Vehicle security barriers — Performance requirements, test methods and guidance on application* was formally accepted at the E or shop scientific meeting on June

The document has been developed through the collaboration of a number of contributing partners in this E or shop representing the interest of industry academics Standard bodies government bodies and research establishments

This E has received the support of representatives of the following organisations

- T Security Systems T
- Attorney General's Department Australian Government
- Eoba Limited incorporating E
- Ristorini Ri
- Entreprise for the Protection of National Infrastructure
- E T Europa mb E
- Devon County Council
- French Home and Security Technical Enterprise
- Undacine Cidaut
- Unnebold Ltd
- Eras E S
- Laboratoire des Services d'Équipements de la Route E
- Eda Vannaci pty td V
- International Ltd
- Ministry of Defence
- td
- National Advisory Enterprise for the Critical Infrastructure V
- Newgate War td E
- Norwegian Defence Estates Agency E
- Perimeter Security Suppliers Association SS

- odney oate Security dviser S
- Technica and Test nstitute or onstruction rague T S raha
- Te as Transportation nstitute T
- Transport esearch aboratory T
- S epartment o State os

The orma process owed by the or shop in the deve opment o the E or shop greement has been endorsed by the ational embers o E but neither the ationa embers o E nor the E – E E E anagement entre can be he d accountab e or the technica content o the E or shop greement or possib e con ict with standards or egislation This E or shop greement can in no way be he d as being an o cia standard deve oped by E and its members

The ina review endorsement round or this was started on and was success u y cosed on
The ina te t o this was submitted to E or pub ication on

This E or shop greement is pub ly avai ab e as a re erence document rom the ational embers o E E S S S S S S E T EVS ST VS ST S S T E S SEE S S S S S S S V S T and

omments or suggestions rom the users o the E or shop greement are we come and shou d be addressed to the E E E E anagement entre

Product testing. sers o this are advised to consider the desirabi ity o third party testing o product con ormity with this appropriate con ormity attestation arrangements are described in E S E enera re uirements or the competence o testing and ca libration aboratories sers see ing assistance in identi ying appropriate con ormity assessment bodies or schemes may as S or any ational Standards ody in Europe to onward their en uirements to the re evant association products that have been tested to the re uirements o S S and have been approved are deemed to satis y and be approved to the re uirements o E and wi be cassi ed according y

Introduction

This E or shop agreement has been prepared to address the needs of organisations who wish to have assurance that vehicle security barriers VS s will provide the level of impact resistance which is sought.

Many systems are available that are either promoted or considered suitable for use as VS s as their characteristics differ in both function and form a comparative means of assessing their performance is required.

This identifies impact test methods, test vehicle type and performance criteria that need to be met in order to conform to it.

This also provides guidance on the selection, installation and use of VS s to ensure that they are selected and placed as effectively as possible. This is intended to be used by designers, planners, architects, security managers and facilities managers within the public and private sectors.

The guidance highlights the issues to be addressed when considering the use of traffic calming and VS s as part of an overall security regime. The topics considered are by no means exhaustive and the user is encouraged to consider additional questions and responses to cater for specific issues.

Changes are subsequently proposed or the security package that has been designed or a site decisions based on this should be used to confirm why the original security decisions were made and how they will be affected by any changes. Decisions should be recorded and records retained for audit purposes and periodic review.

VS s by virtue of their basic design may not be intended to provide any ballistic resistance but may be affected by any explosives that detonate following the impact or arrest of a hostile vehicle despite providing variable stand-off distance to an asset. VS s may add to secondary fragmentation created by an explosion and specifiers should identify acceptable measures (see Annex A).

VS installation particularly at a vehicle access control point V may need to be integrated with TV security lighting, perimeter intruder detection systems, automatic access control systems, physical security measures and security procedures including guarding regimes in order to achieve an integrated security solution. It is imperative from the outset to define the performance requirements and interfaces between these systems. This is where security operational requirements add significant value to the installation and commissioning process and life cycle management.

Annex D describes in more detail the process of producing operational requirements.

1 Scope

This specifies a classification system or the performance of a vehicle security barrier VS when subjected to a single horizontal impact.

This specifies two methods for determining the performance classification of a VS:

- the vehicle impact method for types of VSs using a test vehicle classified in accordance with Directive 2007/46/EC and registered for use in Europe
- the design method for types of VSs

This annex refers to alternative test methods for determining the performance classification of a VS. See Annex E.

This annex also provides guidance on the selection, installation and use of VSs. See Annex F.

This annex describes the process of producing "operational requirements". See Annex G.

This annex does not cover the performance of a VS or its control apparatus when subjected to:

- ballast position
- ballistic impact
- manual attack with the aid of tools exceeding vehicles

Attention is drawn to EN 16221 which covers test methods for assessing burglary resistance of building components such as doors, windows, shutters, grilles, strongpoints and security enclosures.

2 Normative references

The following referenced documents are indispensable for the application of this document. References on the edition cited applies or undated references the latest edition of the referenced document including any amendments applies.

E *Load restraint systems – Part 1: Terminology and general criteria for test methods*

E *Eurocode 2: Design of concrete structures – Part 1-1: General rules and rules for buildings*

E *Testing hardened concrete – Part 2: Making and curing specimens for strength tests*

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document the following terms and definitions apply:

3.1

ballast

mass securely fixed to the vehicle