Hydraulic turbines, storage pumps and pump-turbines - Rehabilitation and performance improvement



EESTI STANDARDI EESSÕNA

NATIONAL FOREWORD

	This Estonian standard EVS-EN 62256:2017 consists of the English text of the European standard EN 62256:2017.
Standard on jõustunud sellekohase teate avaldamisega EVS Teatajas.	This standard has been endorsed with a notification published in the official bulletin of the Estonian Centre for Standardisation.
Euroopa standardimisorganisatsioonid on teinud Euroopa standardi rahvuslikele liikmetele kättesaadavaks 01.09.2017.	Date of Availability of the European standard is 01.09.2017.
Standard on kättesaadav Eesti Standardikeskusest.	The standard is available from the Estonian Centre for Standardisation.

Tagasisidet standardi sisu kohta on võimalik edastada, kasutades EVS-i veebilehel asuvat tagasiside vormi või saates e-kirja meiliaadressile <u>standardiosakond@evs.ee</u>.

ICS 27.140

Standardite reprodutseerimise ja levitamise õigus kuulub Eesti Standardikeskusele

Andmete paljundamine, taastekitamine, kopeerimine, salvestamine elektroonsesse süsteemi või edastamine ükskõik millises vormis või millisel teel ilma Eesti Standardikeskuse kirjaliku loata on keelatud.

Kui Teil on küsimusi standardite autorikaitse kohta, võtke palun ühendust Eesti Standardikeskusega: Koduleht <u>www.evs.ee</u>; telefon 605 5050; e-post <u>info@evs.ee</u>

The right to reproduce and distribute standards belongs to the Estonian Centre for Standardisation

No part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, without a written permission from the Estonian Centre for Standardisation.

If you have any questions about copyright, please contact Estonian Centre for Standardisation:

Homepage www.evs.ee; phone +372 605 5050; e-mail info@evs.ee

EUROPEAN STANDARD NORME EUROPÉENNE EUROPÄISCHE NORM

EN 62256

September 2017

ICS 27.140

Supersedes EN 62256:2008

English Version

Hydraulic turbines, storage pumps and pump-turbines -Rehabilitation and performance improvement (IEC 62256:2017)

Turbines hydrauliques, pompes d'accumulation et pompes turbines - Réhabilitation et amélioration des performances (IEC 62256:2017)

Wasserturbinen, Speicherpumpen und Pumpturbinen -Modernisierung und Verbesserung der Leistungseigenschaften (IEC 62256:2017)

This European Standard was approved by CENELEC on 2017-07-04. CENELEC members are bound to comply with the CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations which stipulate the conditions for giving this European Standard the status of a national standard without any alteration.

Up-to-date lists and bibliographical references concerning such national standards may be obtained on application to the CEN-CENELEC Management Centre or to any CENELEC member.

This European Standard exists in three official versions (English, French, German). A version in any other language made by translation under the responsibility of a CENELEC member into its own language and notified to the CEN-CENELEC Management Centre has the same status as the official versions.

CENELEC members are the national electrotechnical committees of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, the Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, the Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey and the United Kingdom.



European Committee for Electrotechnical Standardization Comité Européen de Normalisation Electrotechnique Europäisches Komitee für Elektrotechnische Normung

CEN-CENELEC Management Centre: Avenue Marnix 17, B-1000 Brussels

European foreword

The text of document 4/323/FDIS, future edition 2 of IEC 62256, prepared by IEC TC 4 "Hydraulic turbines" was submitted to the IEC-CENELEC parallel vote and approved by CENELEC as EN 62256:2017.

The following dates are fixed:

- latest date by which the document has to be implemented at national level by publication of an identical national standard or by endorsement
- latest date by which the national standards conflicting with (dow) 2020-07-04 the document have to be withdrawn

This document supersedes EN 62256:2008.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. CENELEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

Endorsement notice

The text of the International Standard IEC 62256:2017 was approved by CENELEC as a European Standard without any modification.

In the official version, for Bibliography, the following notes have to be added for the standards indicated:

IEC 60041	NOTE	Harmonized as EN 60041.
IEC 60193	NOTE	Harmonized as EN 60193.
IEC 60609 (Series)	NOTE	Harmonized as EN 60609 (Series).
IEC 60994	NOTE	Harmonized as EN 60994.
IEC 62097	NOTE	Harmonized as EN 62097.
IEC 62364	NOTE	Harmonized as EN 62364.
		9_
		9,

CONTENTS

FC	DREWO	RD	7
IN	TRODU	CTION	9
1	Scop	e	. 10
2	Norm	native references	. 10
3	Term	s, definitions and nomenclature	.10
4		ons for rehabilitating	
	4.1	General	
	4.2	Reliability and availability increase	
	4.3	Life extension and performance restoration	
	4.4	Performance improvement	
	4.5	Plant safety improvement	
	4.6		
		Environmental, social and regulatory issues	
	4.7	Maintenance and operating cost reduction	
_	4.8	Other considerations	
5	Phas	es of a rehabilitation project	
	5.1	General	
	5.2	Decision on organization	
	5.2.1		
	5.2.2		
	5.2.3	Contract arrangement	. 17
	5.3	Level of assessment and determination of scope	.18
	5.3.1	General	. 18
	5.3.2	Feasibility study – Stage 1	.19
	5.3.3	Feasibility study – Stage 2	.19
	5.3.4		
	5.4	Contractual issues	.23
	5.4.1	General	.23
	5.4.2		
	5.4.3		
	5.4.4		
	5.5	Execution of project	
	5.5.1	Model test activities	
	5.5.1		
	5.6	Evaluation of results and compliance with guarantees	
	5.6.1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	5.6.2	General	
		γ	
	5.6.3		
_	5.6.4		
6	Sche	duling, cost analysis and risk analysis	
	6.1	Scheduling	.27
	6.1.1	General	.27
	6.1.2	Scheduling – Assessment, feasibility and detailed study phases	.28
	6.1.3	Evaluating the scheduling component of alternatives	.28
	6.1.4	Scheduling specification and tendering phase	.29
	6.1.5	Scheduling project execution phases	. 29
	6.2	Economic and financial analyses	.29

	6.2.1	General	29
	6.2.2	Benefit-cost analysis	30
	6.2.3	Identification of anticipated benefits	31
	6.2.4	Identification of anticipated costs and benefits	32
	6.2.5	Sensitivity analysis	33
	6.2.6	Conclusions	34
	6.3 Risk	c analysis	34
	6.3.1	General	34
	6.3.2	Non-achievement of performance risk	34
	6.3.3	Risk of continued operation without rehabilitation	35
	6.3.4	Extension of outage risk	35
	6.3.5	Financial risks	35
	6.3.6	Project scope risk	36
	6.3.7	Other risks	36
7	Assessme	ent and determination of scope of the work	37
	7.1 Ger	neral	37
		essment of the site	
	7.2.1	Hydrology	37
	7.2.2	Actual energy production	38
	7.2.3	Environmental, social and regulatory issues	38
	7.3 The	assessment of the turbine	39
	7.3.1	General	39
	7.3.2	Turbine integrity assessment	
	7.3.3	Residual life	52
	7.3.4	Turbine performance assessment	61
	7.4 The	assessment of related equipment	
	7.4.1	General	83
	7.4.2	Generator and thrust bearing	
	7.4.3	Turbine governor	
	7.4.4	Turbine inlet and outlet valves, pressure relief valve	
	7.4.5	Auxiliary equipment	
	7.4.6	Equipment for erection, dismantling and maintenance	
	7.4.7	Penstock and other water passages	
	7.4.8	Consequences of changes in plant specific hydraulic energy (head)	
	7.4.9	Grid integration	
8	=	design and performance testing options	
		neral	
	8.2 Con	nputational hydraulic design	
	8.2.1	General	
	8.2.2	The role of CFD	
	8.2.3	The process of a CFD cycle	
	8.2.4	The accuracy of CFD results	
	8.2.5	How to use CFD for rehabilitation	
	8.2.6	CFD versus model tests	
		del tests	
	8.3.1	General	
	8.3.2	Model test similitude	
	8.3.3	Model test content	
	021	Model test application	0.3

8.3.	5 Model test location	95
8.4	Prototype performance test	96
8.4.	1 General	96
8.4	2 Prototype performance test accuracy	97
8.4.	3 Prototype performance test types	97
8.4.	4 Evaluation of results	98
9 Spe	cifications	99
9.1	General	99
9.2	Reference standards	99
9.3	Information to be included in the tender documents	100
9.4	Documents to be developed in the course of the project	101
Annex A	(informative) Check-list for evaluation of existing turbine	103
Annex B	(informative) Assessment examples	136
B.1	General	136
B.2	Runner (applicable to Francis, Kaplan, propeller and Pelton)	136
B.2	.1 Documentation – available data	136
B.2	.2 Design review	137
B.2	.3 Inspection items	137
B.2	.4 Assessment of inspection results	138
B.2		
B.2		
B.3	Stay ring	
B.3		
B.3	S .	
B.3		
B.3		
B.3		
B.3	7	
B.4	Guide vanes	
B.4		
B.4 B.4		
В.4 В.5	Real life example: Pelton runner with severe crack	
B.5		
B.5		
B.5		
B.5	,	
	(informative) Checklist for evaluation of related equipment	
	aphy	
וטווטוים	γριι y	130
Fig	Class diagram deniation the lastic of the neb abitto the contraction	U,
-	- Flow diagram depicting the logic of the rehabilitation process	
-	- Critical zones for cracks "A" and "B" in Pelton runner buckets	
•	- Bathtub curve	
Figure 4	- Process of residual life estimation	54
Figure 5	- Schematic behaviour for the different stages in the fatigue process	55

Figure 6 – Start-up and full load strain gauge signal on Francis blade	60
Figure 7 – Relative efficiency versus relative output – Original and new runners	63
Figure 8 – Relative efficiency versus output – Original and new runners – Outardes 3 generating station	64
Figure 9 – Efficiency and distribution of losses versus specific speed for Francis turbines (model) in 2005	65
Figure 10 – Relative efficiency gain following modification of the blades on the La Grande 3 runner, in Quebec, Canada	67
Figure 11 – Potential efficiency improvement for Francis turbine rehabilitation	71
Figure 12 – Potential efficiency improvement for Kaplan turbine rehabilitation	72
Figure 13 – Cavitation and corrosion-erosion in Francis runner	74
Figure 14 – Back side erosion of the entrance into a Pelton bucket	75
Figure 15 – Leading edge cavitation erosion on a Francis pump-turbine caused by extended periods of operation at very low loads	76
Figure 16 – Severe particle erosion damage in a Francis runner	78
Table 1 – Expected life of a hydropower plant and its subsystems before major work	13
Table 2 – Typical routine inspections	
Table 3 – Example of a rating system for the inspection results	
Table 4 – Example of a typical list of turbine components for Francis and Kaplan with different weight factors X_1 to X_7 based on relative importance	
Table 5 – Example of rating of a single component assessment including three assessment criteria	59
Table 6 – Francis turbine potential efficiency improvement (%) for runner profile modifications only	66
Table 7 – Potential impact of design and condition of runner seals on Francis turbine efficiency with new replacement runner or rehabilitated runner (%)	69
Table 8 – Potential total gain in efficiency from the replacement of a Francis turbine runner including the blade profile improvements, the restoration of surface condition and the reduction of seal losses	69
Table 9 – Potential additional efficiency improvement by rehabilitation/replacement of other water passage components on a Francis turbine (%)	
Table A.1 – Assessment of turbine embedded parts – Stay ring	103
Table A.2 – Assessment of turbine embedded parts – Spiral or semi-spiral case	104
Table A.3 – Assessment of turbine embedded parts – Discharge ring	105
Table A.4 – Assessment of turbine embedded parts – Draft tube	107
Table A.5 – Assessment of turbine non-embedded, non-rotating parts – Headcover	109
Table A.6 – Assessment of turbine non-embedded, non-rotating parts – Intermediate and inner headcovers	112
Table A.7 – Assessment of turbine non embedded, non-rotating parts – Bottom ring	113
Table A.8 – Assessment of turbine non embedded, non-rotating parts – Guide vanes	115
Table A.9 – Assessment of turbine non embedded, non-rotating parts – Guide vane operating mechanism	. 117
Table A.10 – Assessment of turbine non embedded, non-rotating parts – Operating ring	118
Table A.11 – Assessment of turbine non embedded, non-rotating parts – Servomotors	119
Table A.12 – Assessment of turbine non embedded, non-rotating parts – Guide bearings.	120

seal (mechanical seal or packing box)	
Table A.14 – Assessment of turbine non embedded, non-rotating parts – Thrust	400
bearing support	
Table A.15 – Assessment of turbine non embedded, non-rotating parts – Nozzles	123
Table A.16 – Assessment of turbine non embedded, non-rotating parts – Deflectors and energy dissipation	124
Table A.17 – Assessment of turbine rotating parts – Runner	125
Table A.18 – Assessment of turbine rotating parts – Runner	128
Table A.19 – Assessment of turbine rotating parts – Runner	130
Table A.20 – Assessment of turbine rotating parts – Turbine shaft	131
Table A.21 – Assessment of turbine rotating parts – Oil head and oil distribution pipes	132
Table A.22 – Assessment of turbine auxiliaries – Speed and load regulation system	
(governor)	133
Table A.23 – Assessment of turbine auxiliaries – Turbine aeration system	134
Table A.24 – Assessment of turbine auxiliaries – Lubrication system (guide vane	405
mechanism)	
Table C.1 – Assessment of related equipment – Governor	
Table C.2 – Assessment of related equipment – Generator and thrust bearing	
Table C.3 – Assessment of related equipment – Penstock and turbine inlet valves	
Table C.4 – Assessment of related equipment – Civil works	
Table C.5 – Assessment of related equipment – Crane, erection equipment	
	5

INTRODUCTION

Hydro plant owners make significant investments annually in rehabilitating plant equipment (turbines, generators, transformers, penstocks, gates etc.) and structures in order to improve the level of service to their customers and to optimize their revenue. In the absence of guidelines, owners may be spending needlessly, or may be taking unnecessary risks and thereby achieving results that are less than optimal. This document is intended to be a tool in the optimisation and decision process.

ternation /droelectric . Edition 1 of this International Standard was based on the IEA document Guidelines on Methodology for Hydroelectric Francis Turbine Upgrading by Runner Replacement.

HYDRAULIC TURBINES, STORAGE PUMPS AND PUMP-TURBINES – REHABILITATION AND PERFORMANCE IMPROVEMENT

1 Scope

This document covers turbines, storage pumps and pump-turbines of all sizes and of the following types:

- Francis:
- Kaplan;
- · propeller;
- Pelton (turbines only)
- · bulb turbines.

This document also identifies without detailed discussion, other powerhouse equipment that could affect or be affected by a turbine, storage pump, or pump-turbine rehabilitation.

The object of this document is to assist in identifying, evaluating and executing rehabilitation and performance improvement projects for hydraulic turbines, storage pumps and pumpturbines. This document can be used by owners, consultants, and suppliers to define:

- needs and economics for rehabilitation and performance improvement;
- scope of work;
- specifications;
- evaluation of results.

This document is intended to be:

- an aid in the decision process;
- an extensive source of information on rehabilitation;
- an identification of the key milestones in the rehabilitation process;
- an identification of the points to be addressed in the decision processes.

This document is not intended to be a detailed engineering manual nor a maintenance document.

2 Normative references

There are no normative references in this document.

3 Terms, definitions and nomenclature

ISO and IEC maintain terminological databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- IEC Electropedia: available at http://www.electropedia.org/
- ISO Online browsing platform: available at http://www.iso.org/obp