
**Water quality — The variability of
test results and the uncertainty of
measurement of microbiological
enumeration methods**

*Qualité de l'eau - Variabilité des résultats d'essais et incertitude de
mesure des méthodes d'énumération microbienne*



This document is a preview generated by EVS



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO 2012

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm, without permission in writing from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
Case postale 56 • CH-1211 Geneva 20
Tel. + 41 22 749 01 11
Fax + 41 22 749 09 47
E-mail copyright@iso.org
Web www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

Contents

Page

Foreword	v
Introduction	vi
1 Scope	1
2 Key concepts	1
2.1 Uncertainty of measurement	1
2.2 Estimation of the uncertainty of measurement	1
2.3 Intralaboratory reproducibility	2
2.4 Combined standard uncertainty	2
2.5 Relative standard uncertainty	2
2.6 Relative variance	3
2.7 Expanded uncertainty and expanded relative uncertainty	3
3 Microbiological methods	4
3.1 Common basis	4
3.2 Quantitative instruments	4
3.3 Uncertainty structure	4
3.4 Expression of combined uncertainty	4
4 Choices of approach	5
4.1 General	5
4.2 Choices of evaluation approach	6
4.3 Choices of expression and use of measurement uncertainty	7
5 The component approach to the evaluation of operational uncertainty	7
5.1 General	7
5.2 Identification of the components of uncertainty	7
5.3 Evaluation	7
6 The global approach to the determination of the operational uncertainty	8
6.1 General	8
6.2 Evaluation	9
7 Combined uncertainty of the test result	10
7.1 Basic principle	10
7.2 Operational variability	10
7.3 Intrinsic variability	10
7.4 Combined uncertainty	10
7.5 Borderline cases	10
Annex A (informative) Symbols and definitions	11
Annex B (normative) General principles for combining components of uncertainty	13
Annex C (normative) Intrinsic variability — Relative distribution uncertainty of colony counts	18
Annex D (normative) Intrinsic variability of most probable number estimates	20
Annex E (normative) Intrinsic variability (standard uncertainty) of confirmed counts	23
Annex F (normative) Global approach for determining the operational and combined uncertainties	26
Annex G (normative) Component approach to evaluation of the combined relative uncertainty under intralaboratory reproducibility conditions	31
Annex H (normative) Experimental evaluation of subsampling variance	35
Annex I (normative) Relative repeatability and intralaboratory reproducibility of volume measurements	38
Annex J (normative) Relative uncertainty of a sum of test portions	40
Annex K (normative) Relative uncertainty of dilution factor F	44

Annex L (normative) Repeatability and intralaboratory reproducibility of counting	46
Annex M (normative) Incubation effects — Uncertainty due to position and time.....	50
Annex N (informative) Expression and use of measurement uncertainty	55
Bibliography.....	61

This document is a preview generated by EVS

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

International Standards are drafted in accordance with the rules given in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

The main task of technical committees is to prepare International Standards. Draft International Standards adopted by the technical committees are circulated to the member bodies for voting. Publication as an International Standard requires approval by at least 75 % of the member bodies casting a vote.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

ISO 29201 was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 147, *Water quality*, Subcommittee SC 4, *Microbiological methods*.

Introduction

Testing laboratories are required to apply procedures for estimating uncertainty of measurement (see ISO/IEC 17025^[5]). Without such an indication, measurement results cannot be compared, either among themselves or with reference values (see ISO/IEC Guide 98-3:2008^[7]).

General guidelines for the evaluation and expression of uncertainty in measurement have been elaborated by experts in physical and chemical metrology, and published by ISO and IEC in ISO/IEC Guide 98-3:2008.^[7] However, ISO/IEC Guide 98-3:2008^[7] does not address measurements in which the observed values are counts.

The emphasis in ISO/IEC Guide 98-3:2008^[7] is on the “law of propagation of uncertainty” principle, whereby combined estimates of the uncertainty of the final result are built up from separate components evaluated by whatever means are practical. This principle is referred to as the “component approach” in this International Standard. It is also known as the “bottom-up” or “step-by-step” approach.

It has been suggested that the factors that influence the uncertainty of microbiological enumerations are not well enough understood for the application of the component approach (see ISO/TS 19036:2006^[6]). It is possible that this approach underestimates the uncertainty because some significant uncertainty contributions are missed. Reference [19] shows, however, that the concepts of ISO/IEC Guide 98-3:2008^[7] are adaptable and applicable to count data as well.

Another principle, a “black-box” approach known as the “top-down” or “global” approach, is based on statistical analysis of series of repeated observations of the final result (see ISO/TS 19036:2006^[6]). In the global approach it is not necessary to quantify or even know exactly what the causes of uncertainty in the black box are.

According to the global philosophy, once evaluated for a given method applied in a particular laboratory, the uncertainty estimate may be reliably applied to subsequent results obtained by the method in the same laboratory, provided that this is justified by the relevant quality control data (EURACHEM/CITAC CG 4^[10]). Every analytical result produced by a given method thus should have the same predictable uncertainty. This statement is understandable against its background of chemical analysis. In chemical analyses the uncertainty of the analytical procedure and the uncertainty of the final result of analysis are usually the same. The global principle dismisses the possibility that there might be something unique about the uncertainty of a particular analysis.

The uncontrollable “variation without a cause” that always accompanies counts alters the situation for microbiological enumerations. The full uncertainty of a test result can be estimated only after the final result has been secured. This applies to both the global and the component approaches.

The unpredictable variation that accompanies counts increases rapidly when counts get low. The original global design is therefore not suitable for low counts, and therefore also not applicable to most probable number (MPN) methods and other low-count applications, such as confirmed counts.

It is often necessary, and always useful, to distinguish between two precision parameters: the uncertainty of the technical measuring procedure (operational variability), which is more or less predictable, and the unpredictable variation that is due to the distribution of particles. A modification of the global principle that takes into account these two sources of uncertainty is free from the low-count restriction. This is the global model detailed in this International Standard.

In theory, the two quantitative approaches to uncertainty should give the same result. A choice of two approaches is presented in this International Standard. Offering two approaches is appropriate not only because some parties might prefer one approach to the other. Depending on circumstances one approach may be more efficient or more practical than the other.

Neither of the main strategies is, however, able to produce unequivocal estimates of uncertainty. Something always has to be taken for granted without the possibility of checking its validity in a given situation. The estimate of uncertainty is based on prior empirical results (experimental standard uncertainties) and/or reasonable general assumptions.

Water quality — The variability of test results and the uncertainty of measurement of microbiological enumeration methods

1 Scope

This International Standard gives guidelines for the evaluation of uncertainty in quantitative microbiological analyses based on enumeration of microbial particles by culture. It covers all variants of colony count methods and most probable number estimates.

Two approaches, the component (also known as bottom-up or step-by-step) and a modified global (top-down) approach are included.

The aim is to specify how values of intralaboratory operational variability and combined uncertainty for final test results can be obtained.

The procedures are not applicable to methods other than enumeration methods.

NOTE 1 Most annexes are normative. However, only the annexes relevant to each case are to be applied. If the choice is the global approach, then all normative annexes that belong to the component approach can be skipped and vice versa.

NOTE 2 Pre-analytical sampling variance at the source is outside the scope of this International Standard, but needs to be addressed in sampling designs and monitoring programmes.

NOTE 3 The doubt or uncertainty of decisions based on the use of analytical results whose uncertainty has been estimated is outside the scope of this International Standard.

NOTE 4 The extra-analytical variations observed in proficiency tests and intercalibration schemes are also not detailed in this International Standard, but it is necessary to take them into consideration in analytical control. The use of intercalibration data in uncertainty estimation offers the possibility for the bias between laboratories to be included (Nordtest Report TR 537^[12]).

2 Key concepts

2.1 Uncertainty of measurement

Uncertainty of measurement according to ISO/IEC Guide 98-3:2008^[7] is defined as a “parameter, associated with the result of measurement, that characterizes the dispersion of the values that could reasonably be attributed to the measurand”. It is a measure of imprecision. The parameter is expressed as a standard uncertainty or relative standard uncertainty.

2.2 Estimation of the uncertainty of measurement

According to ISO/IEC Guide 98-3:2008,^[7] the parameter can be evaluated by statistical analysis of series of observations. This is termed type A estimation of uncertainty.

Any other type of procedure is called type B estimation of uncertainty. The most common type B estimates in microbiological analysis are those based on assumed statistical distributions in the component approach.

Types A and B may refer to the uncertainty of individual components of uncertainty as well as to the combined uncertainty of the final result.

Type A evaluations of standard uncertainty are not necessarily more reliable than type B evaluations. In many practical measurement situations where the number of observations is limited, the components obtained from type B evaluations can be better known than the components obtained from type A evaluations (ISO/IEC Guide 98-3:2008^[7]).