Risk management - Risk assessment techniques



### **FESTI STANDARDI FESSÕNA**

teate avaldamisel EVS Teatajas.

### **NATIONAL FOREWORD**

Käesolev Eesti standard EVS-EN 31010:2010 sisaldab Euroopa standardi EN 31010:2010 ingliskeelset teksti.

Standard on kinnitatud Eesti Standardikeskuse 31.05.2010 käskkirjaga ja jõustub sellekohase

Euroopa standardimisorganisatsioonide poolt rahvuslikele liikmetele Euroopa standardi teksti kättesaadavaks tegemise kuupäev on 09.10.2009.

Standard on kättesaadav Eesti standardiorganisatsioonist.

This Estonian standard EVS-EN 31010:2010 consists of the English text of the European standard EN 31010:2010.

This standard is ratified with the order of Estonian Centre for Standardisation dated 31.05.2010 and is endorsed with the notification published in the official bulletin of the Estonian national standardisation organisation.

Date of Availability of the European standard text 09.10.2009.

The standard is available from Estonian standardisation organisation.

ICS 03.100.01

#### Standardite reprodutseerimis- ja levitamisõigus kuulub Eesti Standardikeskusele

Andmete paljundamine, taastekitamine, kopeerimine, salvestamine elektroonilisse süsteemi või edastamine ükskõik millises vormis või millisel teel on keelatud ilma Eesti Standardikeskuse poolt antud kirjaliku loata.

Kui Teil on küsimusi standardite autorikaitse kohta, palun võtke ühendust Eesti Standardikeskusega: Aru 10 Tallinn 10317 Eesti; <a href="www.evs.ee">www.evs.ee</a>; Telefon: 605 5050; E-post: <a href="mailto:info@evs.ee">info@evs.ee</a></a>

### Right to reproduce and distribute Estonian Standards belongs to the Estonian Centre for Standardisation

No part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, without permission in writing from Estonian Centre for Standardisation.

If you have any questions about standards copyright, please contact Estonian Centre for Standardisation: Aru str 10 Tallinn 10317 Estonia; www.evs.ee; Phone: +372 605 5050; E-mail: info@evs.ee

### **EUROPEAN STANDARD**

## **EN 31010**

# NORME EUROPÉENNE EUROPÄISCHE NORM

May 2010

ICS 03.100.01

English version

### Risk management -Risk assessment techniques (IEC/ISO 31010:2009)

Gestion des risques -Techniques d'évaluation des risques (CEI/ISO 31010:2009) Risikomanagement -Verfahren zur Risikobeurteilung (IEC/ISO 31010:2009)

This European Standard was approved by CENELEC on 2010-05-01. CENELEC members are bound to comply with the CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations which stipulate the conditions for giving this European Standard the status of a national standard without any alteration.

Up-to-date lists and bibliographical references concerning such national standards may be obtained on application to the Central Secretariat or to any CENELEC member.

This European Standard exists in three official versions (English, French, German). A version in any other language made by translation under the responsibility of a CENELEC member into its own language and notified to the Central Secretariat has the same status as the official versions.

CENELEC members are the national electrotechnical committees of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, the Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, the Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland and the United Kingdom.

## **CENELEC**

European Committee for Electrotechnical Standardization Comité Européen de Normalisation Electrotechnique Europäisches Komitee für Elektrotechnische Normung

Management Centre: Avenue Marnix 17, B - 1000 Brussels

#### Foreword

The text of document 56/1329/FDIS, future edition 1 of IEC/ISO 31010, prepared by IEC TC 56, Dependability, together with the ISO TMB "Risk management" working group, was submitted to the IEC-CENELEC parallel vote and was approved by CENELEC as EN 31010 on 2010-05-01.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. CEN and CENELEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

The following dates were fixed:

 latest date by which the EN has to be implemented at national level by publication of an identical national standard or by endorsement

(dop) 2011-02-01

 latest date by which the national standards conflicting with the EN have to be withdrawn

(dow) 2013-05-01

Annex ZA has been added by CENELEC.

### **Endorsement notice**

The text of the International Standard IEC/ISO 31010:2009 was approved by CENELEC as a European Standard without any modification.

In the official version, for Bibliography, the following notes have to be added for the standards indicated:

IEC 60300-3-11	NOTE Harmonized as EN 60300-3-11.
IEC 61078	NOTE Harmonized as EN 61078.
IEC 61165	NOTE Harmonized as EN 61165.
IEC 61508 series	NOTE Harmonized in EN 61508 series (not modified)
IEC 61511 series	NOTE Harmonized in EN 61511 series (not modified)
IEC 61649	NOTE Harmonized as EN 61649.
ISO 22000	NOTE Harmonized as EN ISO 22000.
	2
	`
	$O_{\lambda}$

# Annex ZA (normative)

# Normative references to international publications with their corresponding European publications

The following referenced documents are indispensable for the application of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

NOTE When an international publication has been modified by common modifications, indicated by (mod), the relevant EN/HD applies.

Publication ISO/IEC Guide 73	<u>Year</u> -	<u>Title</u> Risk management - Vocabulary - Guidelines	EN/HD -	<u>Year</u> -
		for use in standards		
ISO 31000		for use in standards Risk management - Principles and guidelines		

### **CONTENTS**

FO	REWO	ORD	4
INT	RODU	UCTION	6
1	Scop	pe	7
2	Norm	native references	7
3		ns and definitions	
4		assessment concepts	
·	4.1	Purpose and benefits	
	4.2	Risk assessment and the risk management framework	
	4.3	Risk assessment and the risk management process	
		4.3.1 General	
		4.3.2 Communication and consultation	
		4.3.3 Establishing the context	9
		4.3.4 Risk assessment	
		4.3.5 Risk treatment	10
		4.3.6 Monitoring and review	
5	Risk	assessment process	
	5.1	Overview	11
	5.2	Risk identification	
	5.3	Risk analysis	
		5.3.1 General	12
		5.3.2 Controls Assessment	13
		5.3.3 Consequence analysis	14
		5.3.4 Likelihood analysis and probability estimation	14
		5.3.5 Preliminary Analysis	15
		5.3.6 Uncertainties and sensitivities	15
	5.4	Risk evaluation	
	5.5	16	
	5.6	Monitoring and Reviewing Risk Assessment	
	5.7	Application of risk assessment during life cycle phases	
6	Selec	ection of risk assessment techniques	17
	6.1	General	17
	6.2	Selection of techniques	17
		6.2.1 Availability of Resources	
		6.2.2 The Nature and Degree of Uncertainty	18
		6.2.3 Complexity	
	6.3	Application of risk assessment during life cycle phases	
	6.4	Types of risk assessment techniques	
		(informative) Comparison of risk assessment techniques	
Anr	nex B	(informative) Risk assessment techniques	27
Bib	liogra	aphy	90
Fig	ure 1 -	- Contribution of risk assessment to the risk management process	11
-		B.1 – Dose-response curve	
_		8.2 – Example of an FTA from IEC 60-300-3-9	
_		B.3 – Example of an Event tree	
9	J. J D.		

Figure B.5 – Example of Ishikawa or Fishbone diagram  Figure B.6 – Example of tree formulation of cause-and-effect analysis  Figure B.7 – Example of Human reliability assessment  Figure B.8 – Example Bow tie diagram for unwanted consequences  Figure B.9 – Example of System Markov diagram  Figure B.10 – Example of State transition diagram  Figure B.11 – Sample Bayes' net  Figure B.12 – The ALARP concept.  Figure B.13 – Part example of a consequence criteria table  Figure B.14 – Part example of a risk ranking matrix  Figure B.15 – Part example of a probability criteria matrix  Table A.1 – Applicability of tools used for risk assessment  Table A.2 – Attributes of a selection of risk assessment tools  Table B.1 – Example of possible HAZOP guidewords	58 64 66 70 71 77 79 84
Figure B.7 – Example of Human reliability assessment  Figure B.8 – Example Bow tie diagram for unwanted consequences  Figure B.9 – Example of System Markov diagram  Figure B.10 – Example of State transition diagram  Figure B.11 – Sample Bayes' net  Figure B.12 – The ALARP concept  Figure B.13 – Part example of a consequence criteria table  Figure B.14 – Part example of a risk ranking matrix  Figure B.15 – Part example of a probability criteria matrix  Table A.1 – Applicability of tools used for risk assessment  Table A.2 – Attributes of a selection of risk assessment tools	64 66 70 71 77 79 84
Figure B.8 – Example Bow tie diagram for unwanted consequences  Figure B.9 – Example of System Markov diagram  Figure B.10 – Example of State transition diagram  Figure B.11 – Sample Bayes' net  Figure B.12 – The ALARP concept  Figure B.13 – Part example of a consequence criteria table  Figure B.14 – Part example of a risk ranking matrix  Figure B.15 – Part example of a probability criteria matrix  Table A.1 – Applicability of tools used for risk assessment  Table A.2 – Attributes of a selection of risk assessment tools	66 70 71 77 79 84
Figure B.9 – Example of System Markov diagram  Figure B.10 – Example of State transition diagram  Figure B.11 – Sample Bayes' net  Figure B.12 – The ALARP concept  Figure B.13 – Part example of a consequence criteria table  Figure B.14 – Part example of a risk ranking matrix  Figure B.15 – Part example of a probability criteria matrix  Table A.1 – Applicability of tools used for risk assessment  Table A.2 – Attributes of a selection of risk assessment tools	70 71 77 79 84 84
Figure B.10 – Example of State transition diagram.  Figure B.11 – Sample Bayes' net.  Figure B.12 – The ALARP concept.  Figure B.13 – Part example of a consequence criteria table.  Figure B.14 – Part example of a risk ranking matrix.  Figure B.15 – Part example of a probability criteria matrix.  Table A.1 – Applicability of tools used for risk assessment  Table A.2 – Attributes of a selection of risk assessment tools.	71 77 79 84 84
Figure B.11 – Sample Bayes' net  Figure B.12 – The ALARP concept.  Figure B.13 – Part example of a consequence criteria table.  Figure B.14 – Part example of a risk ranking matrix.  Figure B.15 – Part example of a probability criteria matrix.  Table A.1 – Applicability of tools used for risk assessment  Table A.2 – Attributes of a selection of risk assessment tools.	77 79 84 84
Figure B.12 – The ALARP concept  Figure B.13 – Part example of a consequence criteria table  Figure B.14 – Part example of a risk ranking matrix  Figure B.15 – Part example of a probability criteria matrix  Table A.1 – Applicability of tools used for risk assessment  Table A.2 – Attributes of a selection of risk assessment tools	79 84 84
Figure B.13 – Part example of a consequence criteria table  Figure B.14 – Part example of a risk ranking matrix  Figure B.15 – Part example of a probability criteria matrix  Table A.1 – Applicability of tools used for risk assessment  Table A.2 – Attributes of a selection of risk assessment tools	84 84
Figure B.14 – Part example of a risk ranking matrix  Figure B.15 – Part example of a probability criteria matrix  Table A.1 – Applicability of tools used for risk assessment  Table A.2 – Attributes of a selection of risk assessment tools	84
Figure B.15 – Part example of a probability criteria matrix	
Figure B.15 – Part example of a probability criteria matrix	
Table A.2 – Attributes of a selection of risk assessment tools	
	22
Table B.1 – Example of possible HAZOP guidewords	23
	34
Table B.2 – Markov matrix	70
Table B.3 – Final Markov matrix	72
Table B.4 – Example of Monte Carlo Simulation	74
Table B.5 – Bayes' table data	77
Table B.6 – Prior probabilities for nodes A and B	77
Table B.7 – Conditional probabilities for node C with node A and node B defined	77
Table B.8 – Conditional probabilities for node D with node A and node C defined	78
Table B.9 – Posterior probability for nodes A and B with node D and Node C defined	78
Table B.10 – Posterior probability for node A with node D and node C defined	78

### INTRODUCTION

Organizations of all types and sizes face a range of risks that may affect the achievement of their objectives.

These objectives may relate to a range of the organization's activities, from strategic initiatives to its operations, processes and projects, and be reflected in terms of societal, environmental, technological, safety and security outcomes, commercial, financial and economic measures, as well as social, cultural, political and reputation impacts.

All activities of an organization involve risks that should be managed. The risk management process aids decision making by taking account of uncertainty and the possibility of future events or circumstances (intended or unintended) and their effects on agreed objectives.

Risk management includes the application of logical and systematic methods for

- · communicating and consulting throughout this process;
- establishing the context for identifying, analysing, evaluating, treating risk associated with any activity, process, function or product;
- monitoring and reviewing risks;
- reporting and recording the results appropriately.

Risk assessment is that part of risk management which provides a structured process that identifies how objectives may be affected, and analyses the risk in term of consequences and their probabilities before deciding on whether further treatment is required.

Risk assessment attempts to answer the following fundamental questions:

- what can happen and why (by risk identification)?
- what are the consequences?
- what is the probability of their future occurrence?
- are there any factors that mitigate the consequence of the risk or that reduce the probability of the risk?

Is the level of risk tolerable or acceptable and does it require further treatment? This standard is intended to reflect current good practices in selection and utilization of risk assessment techniques, and does not refer to new or evolving concepts which have not reached a satisfactory level of professional consensus.

This standard is general in nature, so that it may give guidance across many industries and types of system. There may be more specific standards in existence within these industries that establish preferred methodologies and levels of assessment for particular applications. If these standards are in harmony with this standard, the specific standards will generally be sufficient.

# RISK MANAGEMENT – RISK ASSESSMENT TECHNIQUES

### 1 Scope

This International Standard is a supporting standard for ISO 31000 and provides guidance on selection and application of systematic techniques for risk assessment.

Risk assessment carried out in accordance with this standard contributes to other risk management activities.

The application of a range of techniques is introduced, with specific references to other international standards where the concept and application of techniques are described in greater detail.

This standard is not intended for certification, regulatory or contractual use.

This standard does not provide specific criteria for identifying the need for risk analysis, nor does it specify the type of risk analysis method that is required for a particular application.

This standard does not refer to all techniques, and omission of a technique from this standard does not mean it is not valid. The fact that a method is applicable to a particular circumstance does not mean that the method should necessarily be applied.

NOTE This standard does not deal specifically with safety. It is a generic risk management standard and any references to safety are purely of an informative nature. Guidance on the introduction of safety aspects into IEC standards is laid down in ISO/IEC Guide 51.

### 2 Normative references

The following referenced documents are indispensable for the application of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO/IEC Guide 73, Risk management - Vocabulary - Guidelines for use in standards

ISO 31000, Risk management – Principles and guidelines

### 3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the terms and definitions of ISO/IEC Guide 73 apply.

### 4 Risk assessment concepts

#### 4.1 Purpose and benefits

The purpose of risk assessment is to provide evidence-based information and analysis to make informed decisions on how to treat particular risks and how to select between options.

Some of the principal benefits of performing risk assessment include:

understanding the risk and its potential impact upon objectives;