

**RAUDTEEALASED RAKENDUSED. SIDE-,
SIGNALISATSIOONI- JA ANDMETÖÖTLUSSÜSTEEMID.
RAUDTEE JUHTIMIS- JA TURVANGUSÜSTEEMIDE
TARKVARA**

**Railway applications - Communications, signalling and
processing systems - Software for railway control and
protection systems**

EESTI STANDARDI EESSÕNA**NATIONAL FOREWORD**

See Eesti standard EVS-EN 50128:2011 sisaldab Euroopa standardi EN 50128:2011 ja selle paranduse AC:2014 ingliskeelset teksti.	This Estonian standard EVS-EN 50128:2011 consists of the English text of the European standard EN 50128:2011 and its corrigendum AC:2014.
Standard on jõustunud sellekohase teate avaldamisega EVS Teatajas.	This standard has been endorsed with a notification published in the official bulletin of the Estonian Centre for Standardisation.
Euroopa standardimisorganisatsioonid on teinud Euroopa standardi rahvuslikele liikmetele kättesaadavaks 17.06.2011.	Date of Availability of the European standard is 17.06.2011.
Standard on kättesaadav Eesti Standardikeskusest.	The standard is available from the Estonian Centre for Standardisation.

Tagasisidet standardi sisu kohta on võimalik edastada, kasutades EVS-i veebilehel asuvat tagasiside vormi või saates e-kirja meiliaadressile standardiosakond@evs.ee.

ICS 35.240.60, 45.020, 93.100

Standardite reprodutseerimise ja levitamise õigus kuulub Eesti Standardikeskusele

Andmete paljundamine, taastekitamine, kopeerimine, salvestamine elektroonsesse süsteemi või edastamine ükskõik millises vormis või millisel teel ilma Eesti Standardikeskuse kirjaliku loata on keelatud.

Kui Teil on küsimusi standardite autorikaitse kohta, võtke palun ühendust Eesti Standardikeskusega:

Aru 10, 10317 Tallinn, Eesti; koduleht www.evs.ee; telefon 605 5050; e-post info@evs.ee

The right to reproduce and distribute standards belongs to the Estonian Centre for Standardisation

No part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, without a written permission from the Estonian Centre for Standardisation.

If you have any questions about copyright, please contact Estonian Centre for Standardisation:

Aru 10, 10317 Tallinn, Estonia; homepage www.evs.ee; phone +372 605 5050; e-mail info@evs.ee

**Railway applications -
Communication, signalling and processing systems -
Software for railway control and protection systems**

Applications ferroviaires -
Systèmes de signalisation, de
télécommunication et de traitement -
Logiciels pour systèmes de commande et
de protection ferroviaire

Bahnanwendungen -
Telekommunikationstechnik,
Signaltechnik und
Datenverarbeitungssysteme -
Software für Eisenbahnsteuerungs- und
Überwachungssysteme

This European Standard was approved by CENELEC on 2011-04-25. CENELEC members are bound to comply with the CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations which stipulate the conditions for giving this European Standard the status of a national standard without any alteration.

Up-to-date lists and bibliographical references concerning such national standards may be obtained on application to the Central Secretariat or to any CENELEC member.

This European Standard exists in three official versions (English, French, German). A version in any other language made by translation under the responsibility of a CENELEC member into its own language and notified to the Central Secretariat has the same status as the official versions.

CENELEC members are the national electrotechnical committees of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, the Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, the Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland and the United Kingdom.

CENELEC

European Committee for Electrotechnical Standardization
Comité Européen de Normalisation Electrotechnique
Europäisches Komitee für Elektrotechnische Normung

Management Centre: Avenue Marnix 17, B - 1000 Brussels

Contents

Foreword	6
Introduction	7
1 Scope	10
2 Normative references	11
3 Terms, definitions and abbreviations	11
3.1 Terms and definitions	11
3.2 Abbreviations	15
4 Objectives, conformance and software safety integrity levels	16
5 Software management and organisation	17
5.1 Organisation, roles and responsibilities	17
5.2 Personnel competence	20
5.3 Lifecycle issues and documentation	21
6 Software assurance	23
6.1 Software testing	23
6.2 Software verification	25
6.3 Software validation	27
6.4 Software assessment	28
6.5 Software quality assurance	30
6.6 Modification and change control	33
6.7 Support tools and languages	34
7 Generic software development	37
7.1 Lifecycle and documentation for generic software	37
7.2 Software requirements	37
7.3 Architecture and Design	40
7.4 Component design	46
7.5 Component implementation and testing	49
7.6 Integration	50
7.7 Overall Software Testing / Final Validation	52
8 Development of application data or algorithms: systems configured by application data or algorithms	54

8.1 Objectives	54
8.2 Input documents	55
8.3 Output documents	55
8.4 Requirements	55
9 Software deployment and maintenance	60
9.1 Software deployment	60
9.2 Software maintenance	62
Annex A (normative) Criteria for the Selection of Techniques and Measures	65
A.1 Clauses tables	66
A.2 Detailed tables	73
Annex B (normative) Key software roles and responsibilities	79
Annex C (informative) Documents Control Summary	88
Annex D (informative) Bibliography of techniques	90
D.1 Artificial Intelligence Fault Correction	90
D.2 Analysable Programs	90
D.3 Avalanche/Stress Testing	91
D.4 Boundary Value Analysis	91
D.5 Backward Recovery	92
D.6 Cause Consequence Diagrams	92
D.7 Checklists	92
D.8 Control Flow Analysis	93
D.9 Common Cause Failure Analysis	93
D.10 Data Flow Analysis	94
D.11 Data Flow Diagrams	94
D.12 Data Recording and Analysis	95
D.13 Decision Tables (Truth Tables)	95
D.14 Defensive Programming	96
D.15 Coding Standards and Style Guide	96
D.16 Diverse Programming	97
D.17 Dynamic Reconfiguration	98
D.18 Equivalence Classes and Input Partition Testing	98
D.19 Error Detecting and Correcting Codes	98
D.20 Error Guessing	99
D.21 Error Seeding	99
D.22 Event Tree Analysis	99
D.23 Fagan Inspections	100
D.24 Failure Assertion Programming	100
D.25 SEEA – Software Error Effect Analysis	100
D.26 Fault Detection and Diagnosis	101
D.27 Finite State Machines/State Transition Diagrams	102
D.28 Formal Methods	102
D.29 Formal Proof	108

D.30 Forward Recovery.....	108
D.31 Graceful Degradation.....	108
D.32 Impact Analysis.....	109
D.33 Information Hiding / Encapsulation	109
D.34 Interface Testing	110
D.35 Language Subset.....	110
D.36 Memorising Executed Cases	110
D.37 Metrics	111
D.38 Modular Approach.....	111
D.39 Performance Modelling	112
D.40 Performance Requirements.....	112
D.41 Probabilistic Testing.....	113
D.42 Process Simulation	113
D.43 Prototyping / Animation	114
D.44 Recovery Block	114
D.45 Response Timing and Memory Constraints.....	114
D.46 Re-Try Fault Recovery Mechanisms.....	115
D.47 Safety Bag	115
D.48 Software Configuration Management	115
D.49 Strongly Typed Programming Languages	115
D.50 Structure Based Testing	116
D.51 Structure Diagrams.....	116
D.52 Structured Methodology.....	117
D.53 Structured Programming.....	117
D.54 Suitable Programming languages.....	118
D.55 Time Petri Nets	119
D.56 Walkthroughs / Design Reviews	119
D.57 Object Oriented Programming	119
D.58 Traceability.....	120
D.59 Metaprogramming.....	121
D.60 Procedural programming	121
D.61 Sequential Function Charts.....	121
D.62 Ladder Diagram	122
D.63 Functional Block Diagram	122
D.64 State Chart or State Diagram	122
D.65 Data modelling	122
D.66 Control Flow Diagram/Control Flow Graph	123
D.67 Sequence diagram.....	124
D.68 Tabular Specification Methods	124
D.69 Application specific language.....	124
D.70 UML (Unified Modeling Language)	125
D.71 Domain specific languages.....	126
Bibliography	127

Figures

Figure 1 – Illustrative Software Route Map	9
Figure 2 – Illustration of the preferred organisational structure	18
Figure 3 – Illustrative Development Lifecycle 1	22
Figure 4 – Illustrative Development Lifecycle 2	23

Tables

Table 1 - Relation between tool class and applicable sub-clauses	37
Table A.1– Lifecycle Issues and Documentation (5.3)	66
Table A.2 – Software Requirements Specification (7.2).....	68
Table A.3 – Software Architecture (7.3).....	69
Table A.4– Software Design and Implementation (7.4).....	70
Table A.5 – Verification and Testing (6.2 and 7.3)	71
Table A.6 – Integration (7.6).....	71
Table A.7 – Overall Software Testing (6.2 and 7.7).....	71
Table A.8 – Software Analysis Techniques (6.3).....	72
Table A.9 – Software Quality Assurance (6.5).....	72
Table A.10 – Software Maintenance (9.2).....	72
Table A.11 – Data Preparation Techniques (8.4)	73
Table A.12 – Coding Standards.....	73
Table A.13 – Dynamic Analysis and Testing	74
Table A.14 – Functional/Black Box Test.....	74
Table A.15 – Textual Programming Languages	75
Table A.16 – Diagrammatic Languages for Application Algorithms	75
Table A.17 – Modelling	76
Table A.18 – Performance Testing.....	76
Table A.19 – Static Analysis	76
Table A.20 – Components	77
Table A.21 – Test Coverage for Code.....	77
Table A.22 – Object Oriented Software Architecture.....	78
Table A.23 – Object Oriented Detailed Design.....	78
Table B.1 – Requirements Manager Role Specification	79
Table B.2 – Designer Role Specification	80
Table B.3 – Implementer Role Specification.....	81
Table B.4 – Tester Role Specification	82
Table B.5 – Verifier Role Specification	83
Table B.6 – Integrator Role Specification	84
Table B.7 – Validator Role Specification.....	85
Table B.8 – Assessor Role Specification.....	86
Table B.9 – Project Manager Role Specification	87
Table B.10 – Configuration Manager Role Specification	87
Table C.1 – Documents Control Summary.....	88

Foreword

This European Standard was prepared by SC 9XA, Communication, signalling and processing systems, of Technical Committee CENELEC TC 9X, Electrical and electronic applications for railways. .

It was submitted to the Formal Vote and was approved by CENELEC as EN 50128 on 2011-04-25.

This document supersedes EN 50128:2001.

The main changes with respect to EN 50128:2001 are listed below:

- requirements on software management and organisation, definition of roles and competencies, deployment and maintenance have been added;
- a new clause on tools has been inserted, based on EN 61508-2:2010;
- tables in Annex A have been updated.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. CEN and CENELEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

The following dates were fixed:

- | | | |
|--|-------|------------|
| – latest date by which the EN has to be implemented at national level by publication of an identical national standard or by endorsement | (dop) | 2012-04-25 |
| – latest date by which the national standards conflicting with the EN have to be withdrawn | (dow) | 2014-04-25 |

This European Standard should be read in conjunction with EN 50126-1:1999 *"Railway applications – The specification and demonstration of Reliability, Availability, Maintainability and Safety (RAMS) – Part 1: Basic requirements and generic process"* and EN 50129:2003 *"Railway applications – Communication, signalling and processing systems – Safety related electronic systems for signalling"*.

Introduction

This European Standard is part of a group of related standards. The others are EN 50126-1:1999 "*Railway applications – The specification and demonstration of Reliability, Availability, Maintainability and Safety (RAMS) – Part 1: Basic requirements and generic process*" and EN 50129:2003 "*Railway applications – Communication, signalling and processing systems – Safety related electronic systems for signalling*".

EN 50126-1 addresses system issues on the widest scale, while EN 50129 addresses the approval process for individual systems which can exist within the overall railway control and protection system. This European Standard concentrates on the methods which need to be used in order to provide software which meets the demands for safety integrity which are placed upon it by these wider considerations.

This European Standard provides a set of requirements with which the development, deployment and maintenance of any safety-related software intended for railway control and protection applications shall comply. It defines requirements concerning organisational structure, the relationship between organisations and division of responsibility involved in the development, deployment and maintenance activities. Criteria for the qualification and expertise of personnel are also provided in this European Standard.

The key concept of this European Standard is that of levels of software safety integrity. This European Standard addresses five software safety integrity levels where 0 is the lowest and 4 the highest one. The higher the risk resulting from software failure, the higher the software safety integrity level will be.

This European Standard has identified techniques and measures for the five levels of software safety integrity. The required techniques and measures for software safety integrity levels 0-4 are shown in the normative tables of Annex A. In this version, the required techniques for level 1 are the same as for level 2, and the required techniques for level 3 are the same as for level 4. This European Standard does not give guidance on which level of software safety integrity is appropriate for a given risk. This decision will depend upon many factors including the nature of the application, the extent to which other systems carry out safety functions and social and economic factors.

It is within the scope of EN 50126-1 and EN 50129 to define the process of specifying the safety functions allocated to software.

This European Standard specifies those measures necessary to achieve these requirements.

EN 50126-1 and EN 50129 require that a systematic approach be taken to

- a) identify hazards, assessing risks and arriving at decisions based on risk criteria,
- b) identify the necessary risk reduction to meet the risk acceptance criteria,
- c) define an overall System Safety Requirements Specification for the safeguards necessary to achieve the required risk reduction,
- d) select a suitable system architecture,
- e) plan, monitor and control the technical and managerial activities necessary to translate the System Safety Requirements Specification into a Safety-Related System of a validated safety integrity.

As decomposition of the specification into a design comprising safety-related systems and components takes place, further allocation of safety integrity levels is performed. Ultimately this leads to the required software safety integrity levels.

The current state-of-the-art is such that neither the application of quality assurance methods (so-called fault avoiding measures and fault detecting measures) nor the application of software fault tolerant approaches can guarantee the absolute safety of the software. There is no known way to prove the absence of faults in reasonably complex safety-related software, especially the absence of specification and design faults.

The principles applied in developing high integrity software include, but are not restricted to

- top-down design methods,
- modularity,
- verification of each phase of the development lifecycle,
- verified components and component libraries,
- clear documentation and traceability,
- auditable documents,
- validation,
- assessment,
- configuration management and change control and
- appropriate consideration of organisation and personnel competency issues.

The System Safety Requirements Specification identifies all safety functions allocated to software and determines their system safety integrity level. The successive functional steps in the application of this European Standard are shown in Figure 1 and are as follows:

- a) define the Software Requirements Specification and in parallel consider the software architecture. The software architecture is where the safety strategy is developed for the software and the software safety integrity level (7.2 and 7.3);
- b) design, develop and test the software according to the Software Quality Assurance Plan, software safety integrity level and the software lifecycle (7.4 and 7.5);
- c) integrate the software on the target hardware and verify functionality (7.6);
- d) accept and deploy the software (7.7 and 9.1);
- e) if software maintenance is required during operational life then re-activate this European Standard as appropriate (9.2).

A number of activities run across the software development. These include testing (6.1), verification (6.2), validation (6.3), assessment (6.4), quality assurance (6.5) and modification and change control (6.6).

Requirements are given for support tools (6.7) and for systems which are configured by application data or algorithms (Clause 8).

Requirements are also given for the independence of roles and the competence of staff involved in software development (5.1, 5.2 and Annex B).

This European Standard does not mandate the use of a particular software development lifecycle. However, illustrative lifecycle and documentation sets are given in 5.3, Figure 3 and Figure 4 and in 7.1.

Tables have been formulated ranking various techniques/measures against the software safety integrity levels 0-4. The tables are in Annex A. Cross-referenced to the tables is a bibliography giving a brief description of each technique/measure with references to further sources of information. The bibliography of techniques is in Annex D.




Figure 1 – Illustrative Software Route Map

1 Scope

1.1 This European Standard specifies the process and technical requirements for the development of software for programmable electronic systems for use in railway control and protection applications. It is aimed at use in any area where there are safety implications. These systems can be implemented using dedicated microprocessors, programmable logic controllers, multiprocessor distributed systems, larger scale central processor systems or other architectures.

1.2 This European Standard is applicable exclusively to software and the interaction between software and the system of which it is part.

1.3 This European Standard is not relevant for software that has been identified as having no impact on safety, i.e. software of which failures cannot affect any identified safety functions.

1.4 This European Standard applies to all safety related software used in railway control and protection systems, including

- application programming,
- operating systems,
- support tools,
- firmware.

Application programming comprises high level programming, low level programming and special purpose programming (for example: Programmable logic controller ladder logic).

1.5 This European Standard also addresses the use of pre-existing software and tools. Such software may be used, if the specific requirements in 7.3.4.7 and 6.5.4.16 on pre-existing software and for tools in 6.7 are fulfilled.

1.6 Software developed according to any version of this European Standard will be considered as compliant and not subject to the requirements on pre-existing software.

1.7 This European Standard considers that modern application design often makes use of generic software that is suitable as a basis for various applications. Such generic software is then configured by data, algorithms, or both, for producing the executable software for the application. The general Clauses 1 to 6 and 9 of this European Standard apply to generic software as well as for application data or algorithms. The specific Clause 7 applies only for generic software while Clause 8 provides the specific requirements for application data or algorithms.

1.8 This European Standard is not intended to address commercial issues. These should be addressed as an essential part of any contractual agreement. All the clauses of this European Standard will need careful consideration in any commercial situation.

1.9 This European Standard is not intended to be retrospective. It therefore applies primarily to new developments and only applies in its entirety to existing systems if these are subjected to major modifications. For minor changes, only 9.2 applies. The assessor has to analyse the evidences provided in the software documentation to confirm whether the determination of the nature and scope of software changes is adequate. However, application of this European Standard during upgrades and maintenance of existing software is highly recommended.

2 Normative references

The following referenced documents are indispensable for the application of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

EN 50126-1:1999	Railway applications – The specification and demonstration of Reliability, Availability, Maintainability and Safety (RAMS) – Part 1: Basic requirements and generic process
EN 50129:2003	Railway applications – Communication, signalling and processing systems – Safety related electronic systems for signalling
EN ISO 9000	Quality management systems – Fundamentals and vocabulary (ISO 9000:2005)
EN ISO 9001	Quality management systems – Requirements (ISO 9001:2008)
ISO/IEC 90003:2004	Software engineering – Guidelines for the application of ISO 9001:2000 to computer software
ISO/IEC 9126 series	Software engineering – Product quality

3 Terms, definitions and abbreviations

3.1 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply.

3.1.1

assessment

process of analysis to determine whether software, which may include process, documentation, system, subsystem hardware and/or software components, meets the specified requirements and to form a judgement as to whether the software is fit for its intended purpose. Safety assessment is focused on but not limited to the safety properties of a system

3.1.2

assessor

entity that carries out an assessment

3.1.3

commercial off-the-shelf (COTS) software

software defined by market-driven need, commercially available and whose fitness for purpose has been demonstrated by a broad spectrum of commercial users

3.1.4

component

a constituent part of software which has well-defined interfaces and behaviour with respect to the software architecture and design and fulfils the following criteria:

- it is designed according to “Components” (see Table A.20);
- it covers a specific subset of software requirements;
- it is clearly identified and has an independent version inside the configuration management system or is a part of a collection of components (e. g. subsystems) which have an independent version