

This document is a preview generated by EVS

Information technology - Security techniques -  
Methodology for IT security evaluation (ISO/IEC  
18045:2008)

## EESTI STANDARDI EESSÕNA

## NATIONAL FOREWORD

See Eesti standard EVS-EN ISO/IEC 18045:2020 sisaldab Euroopa standardi EN ISO/IEC 18045:2020 ingliskeelset teksti.	This Estonian standard EVS-EN ISO/IEC 18045:2020 consists of the English text of the European standard EN ISO/IEC 18045:2020.
Standard on jõustunud sellekohase teate avaldamisega EVS Teatajas	This standard has been endorsed with a notification published in the official bulletin of the Estonian Centre for Standardisation.
Euroopa standardimisorganisatsioonid on teinud Euroopa standardi rahvuslikele liikmetele kättesaadavaks 18.03.2020.	Date of Availability of the European standard is 18.03.2020.
Standard on kättesaadav Eesti Standardikeskusest.	The standard is available from the Estonian Centre for Standardisation.

Tagasisidet standardi sisu kohta on võimalik edastada, kasutades EVS-i veebilehel asuvat tagasiside vormi või saates e-kirja meiliaadressile [standardiosakond@evs.ee](mailto:standardiosakond@evs.ee).

ICS 35.030

Standardite reprodutseerimise ja levitamise õigus kuulub Eesti Standardikeskusele

Andmete paljundamine, taastekitamine, kopeerimine, salvestamine elektroonsesse süsteemi või edastamine ükskõik millises vormis või millisel teel ilma Eesti Standardikeskuse kirjaliku loata on keelatud.

Kui Teil on küsimusi standardite autorikaitse kohta, võtke palun ühendust Eesti Standardikeskusega:  
Koduleht [www.evs.ee](http://www.evs.ee); telefon 605 5050; e-post [info@evs.ee](mailto:info@evs.ee)

The right to reproduce and distribute standards belongs to the Estonian Centre for Standardisation

No part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, without a written permission from the Estonian Centre for Standardisation.

If you have any questions about copyright, please contact Estonian Centre for Standardisation:

Homepage [www.evs.ee](http://www.evs.ee); phone +372 605 5050; e-mail [info@evs.ee](mailto:info@evs.ee)

English version

## Information technology - Security techniques - Methodology for IT security evaluation (ISO/IEC 18045:2008)

Technologies de l'information - Techniques de sécurité  
- Méthodologie pour l'évaluation de sécurité TI  
(ISO/IEC 18045:2008)

Informationstechnik - Sicherheitstechniken - Methodik  
für die Bewertung der IT-Sicherheit (ISO/IEC  
18045:2008)

This European Standard was approved by CEN on 2 March 2020.

CEN and CENELEC members are bound to comply with the CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations which stipulate the conditions for giving this European Standard the status of a national standard without any alteration. Up-to-date lists and bibliographical references concerning such national standards may be obtained on application to the CEN-CENELEC Management Centre or to any CEN and CENELEC member.

This European Standard exists in three official versions (English, French, German). A version in any other language made by translation under the responsibility of a CEN and CENELEC member into its own language and notified to the CEN-CENELEC Management Centre has the same status as the official versions.

CEN and CENELEC members are the national standards bodies and national electrotechnical committees of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Republic of North Macedonia, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey and United Kingdom.



**CEN-CENELEC Management Centre:  
Rue de la Science 23, B-1040 Brussels**

## European foreword

The text of ISO/IEC 18045:2008 has been prepared by Technical Committee ISO/IEC JTC 1 "Information technology" of the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) and has been taken over as EN ISO/IEC 18045:2020 by Technical Committee CEN/CLC/JTC 13 "Cybersecurity and Data Protection" the secretariat of which is held by DIN.

This European Standard shall be given the status of a national standard, either by publication of an identical text or by endorsement, at the latest by September 2020, and conflicting national standards shall be withdrawn at the latest by September 2020.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. CEN shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

According to the CEN-CENELEC Internal Regulations, the national standards organizations of the following countries are bound to implement this European Standard: Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Republic of North Macedonia, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey and the United Kingdom.

## Endorsement notice

The text of ISO/IEC 18045:2008 has been approved by CEN as EN ISO/IEC 18045:2020 without any modification.

# Contents

Page

1	Scope .....	1
2	Normative references .....	1
3	Terms and definitions .....	1
4	Symbols and abbreviated terms .....	3
5	Overview.....	3
5.1	Organisation of this International Standard .....	3
6	Document Conventions .....	3
6.1	Terminology .....	3
6.2	Verb usage .....	3
6.3	General evaluation guidance .....	4
6.4	Relationship between ISO/IEC 15408 and ISO/IEC 18045 structures.....	4
7	Evaluation process and related tasks .....	4
7.1	Introduction.....	4
7.2	Evaluation process overview .....	5
7.2.1	Objectives .....	5
7.2.2	Responsibilities of the roles .....	5
7.2.3	Relationship of roles .....	5
7.2.4	General evaluation model.....	6
7.2.5	Evaluator verdicts .....	6
7.3	Evaluation input task .....	8
7.3.1	Objectives .....	8
7.3.2	Application notes .....	8
7.3.3	Management of evaluation evidence sub-task.....	8
7.4	Evaluation sub-activities .....	9
7.5	Evaluation output task.....	9
7.5.1	Objectives .....	9
7.5.2	Management of evaluation outputs.....	9
7.5.3	Application notes .....	10
7.5.4	Write OR sub-task .....	10
7.5.5	Write ETR sub-task.....	10
8	Class APE: Protection Profile evaluation .....	15
8.1	Introduction.....	15
8.2	Application notes .....	15
8.2.1	Re-using the evaluation results of certified PPs.....	15
8.3	PP introduction (APE_INT) .....	16
8.3.1	Evaluation of sub-activity (APE_INT.1) .....	16
8.4	Conformance claims (APE_CCL).....	17
8.4.1	Evaluation of sub-activity (APE_CCL.1).....	17
8.5	Security problem definition (APE_SPD).....	21
8.5.1	Evaluation of sub-activity (APE_SPD.1).....	21
8.6	Security objectives (APE_OBJ) .....	23
8.6.1	Evaluation of sub-activity (APE_OBJ.1).....	23
8.6.2	Evaluation of sub-activity (APE_OBJ.2).....	23
8.7	Extended components definition (APE_ECD) .....	25
8.7.1	Evaluation of sub-activity (APE_ECD.1) .....	25
8.8	Security requirements (APE_REQ).....	29
8.8.1	Evaluation of sub-activity (APE_REQ.1) .....	29
8.8.2	Evaluation of sub-activity (APE_REQ.2) .....	32
9	Class ASE: Security Target evaluation .....	36
9.1	Introduction.....	36

9.2	Application notes.....	37
9.2.1	Re-using the evaluation results of certified PPs.....	37
9.3	ST introduction (ASE_INT).....	37
9.3.1	Evaluation of sub-activity (ASE_INT.1).....	37
9.4	Conformance claims (ASE_CCL).....	40
9.4.1	Evaluation of sub-activity (ASE_CCL.1).....	40
9.5	Security problem definition (ASE_SPD).....	45
9.5.1	Evaluation of sub-activity (ASE_SPD.1).....	45
9.6	Security objectives (ASE_OBJ).....	47
9.6.1	Evaluation of sub-activity (ASE_OBJ.1).....	47
9.6.2	Evaluation of sub-activity (ASE_OBJ.2).....	47
9.7	Extended components definition (ASE_ECD).....	49
9.7.1	Evaluation of sub-activity (ASE_ECD.1).....	49
9.8	Security requirements (ASE_REQ).....	53
9.8.1	Evaluation of sub-activity (ASE_REQ.1).....	53
9.8.2	Evaluation of sub-activity (ASE_REQ.2).....	56
9.9	TOE summary specification (ASE_TSS).....	60
9.9.1	Evaluation of sub-activity (ASE_TSS.1).....	60
9.9.2	Evaluation of sub-activity (ASE_TSS.2).....	61
10	Class ADV: Development.....	62
10.1	Introduction.....	62
10.2	Application notes.....	63
10.3	Security Architecture (ADV_ARC).....	63
10.3.1	Evaluation of sub-activity (ADV_ARC.1).....	63
10.4	Functional specification (ADV_FSP).....	67
10.4.1	Evaluation of sub-activity (ADV_FSP.1).....	67
10.4.2	Evaluation of sub-activity (ADV_FSP.2).....	70
10.4.3	Evaluation of sub-activity (ADV_FSP.3).....	75
10.4.4	Evaluation of sub-activity (ADV_FSP.4).....	80
10.4.5	Evaluation of sub-activity (ADV_FSP.5).....	85
10.4.6	Evaluation of sub-activity (ADV_FSP.6).....	90
10.5	Implementation representation (ADV_IMP).....	90
10.5.1	Evaluation of sub-activity (ADV_IMP.1).....	90
10.5.2	Evaluation of sub-activity (ADV_IMP.2).....	92
10.6	TSF internals (ADV_INT).....	93
10.6.1	Evaluation of sub-activity (ADV_INT.1).....	93
10.6.2	Evaluation of sub-activity (ADV_INT.2).....	95
10.6.3	Evaluation of sub-activity (ADV_INT.3).....	97
10.7	Security policy modelling (ADV_SPM).....	97
10.7.1	Evaluation of sub-activity (ADV_SPM.1).....	97
10.8	TOE design (ADV_TDS).....	97
10.8.1	Evaluation of sub-activity (ADV_TDS.1).....	97
10.8.2	Evaluation of sub-activity (ADV_TDS.2).....	100
10.8.3	Evaluation of sub-activity (ADV_TDS.3).....	105
10.8.4	Evaluation of sub-activity (ADV_TDS.4).....	113
10.8.5	Evaluation of sub-activity (ADV_TDS.5).....	122
10.8.6	Evaluation of sub-activity (ADV_TDS.6).....	122
11	Class AGD: Guidance documents.....	122
11.1	Introduction.....	122
11.2	Application notes.....	122
11.3	Operational user guidance (AGD_OPE).....	122
11.3.1	Evaluation of sub-activity (AGD_OPE.1).....	122
11.4	Preparative procedures (AGD_PRE).....	125
11.4.1	Evaluation of sub-activity (AGD_PRE.1).....	125
12	Class ALC: Life-cycle support.....	127
12.1	Introduction.....	127
12.2	CM capabilities (ALC_CMC).....	127
12.2.1	Evaluation of sub-activity (ALC_CMC.1).....	127

12.2.2	Evaluation of sub-activity (ALC_CMC.2)	128
12.2.3	Evaluation of sub-activity (ALC_CMC.3)	130
12.2.4	Evaluation of sub-activity (ALC_CMC.4)	133
12.2.5	Evaluation of sub-activity (ALC_CMC.5)	139
12.3	CM scope (ALC_CMS)	145
12.3.1	Evaluation of sub-activity (ALC_CMS.1)	145
12.3.2	Evaluation of sub-activity (ALC_CMS.2)	146
12.3.3	Evaluation of sub-activity (ALC_CMS.3)	147
12.3.4	Evaluation of sub-activity (ALC_CMS.4)	148
12.3.5	Evaluation of sub-activity (ALC_CMS.5)	149
12.4	Delivery (ALC_DEL)	150
12.4.1	Evaluation of sub-activity (ALC_DEL.1)	150
12.5	Development security (ALC_DVS)	152
12.5.1	Evaluation of sub-activity (ALC_DVS.1)	152
12.5.2	Evaluation of sub-activity (ALC_DVS.2)	154
12.6	Flaw remediation (ALC_FLR)	157
12.6.1	Evaluation of sub-activity (ALC_FLR.1)	157
12.6.2	Evaluation of sub-activity (ALC_FLR.2)	159
12.6.3	Evaluation of sub-activity (ALC_FLR.3)	162
12.7	Life-cycle definition (ALC_LCD)	167
12.7.1	Evaluation of sub-activity (ALC_LCD.1)	167
12.7.2	Evaluation of sub-activity (ALC_LCD.2)	168
12.8	Tools and techniques (ALC_TAT)	170
12.8.1	Evaluation of sub-activity (ALC_TAT.1)	170
12.8.2	Evaluation of sub-activity (ALC_TAT.2)	171
12.8.3	Evaluation of sub-activity (ALC_TAT.3)	174
13	Class ATE: Tests	176
13.1	Introduction	176
13.2	Application notes	176
13.2.1	Understanding the expected behaviour of the TOE	177
13.2.2	Testing vs. alternate approaches to verify the expected behaviour of functionality	177
13.2.3	Verifying the adequacy of tests	177
13.3	Coverage (ATE_COV)	178
13.3.1	Evaluation of sub-activity (ATE_COV.1)	178
13.3.2	Evaluation of sub-activity (ATE_COV.2)	179
13.3.3	Evaluation of sub-activity (ATE_COV.3)	180
13.4	Depth (ATE_DPT)	180
13.4.1	Evaluation of sub-activity (ATE_DPT.1)	180
13.4.2	Evaluation of sub-activity (ATE_DPT.2)	182
13.4.3	Evaluation of sub-activity (ATE_DPT.3)	184
13.4.4	Evaluation of sub-activity (ATE_DPT.4)	186
13.5	Functional tests (ATE_FUN)	187
13.5.1	Evaluation of sub-activity (ATE_FUN.1)	187
13.5.2	Evaluation of sub-activity (ATE_FUN.2)	189
13.6	Independent testing (ATE_IND)	190
13.6.1	Evaluation of sub-activity (ATE_IND.1)	190
13.6.2	Evaluation of sub-activity (ATE_IND.2)	193
13.6.3	Evaluation of sub-activity (ATE_IND.3)	198
14	Class AVA: Vulnerability assessment	198
14.1	Introduction	198
14.2	Vulnerability analysis (AVA_VAN)	198
14.2.1	Evaluation of sub-activity (AVA_VAN.1)	198
14.2.2	Evaluation of sub-activity (AVA_VAN.2)	203
14.2.3	Evaluation of sub-activity (AVA_VAN.3)	209
14.2.4	Evaluation of sub-activity (AVA_VAN.4)	217
14.2.5	Evaluation of sub-activity (AVA_VAN.5)	224
15	Class ACO: Composition	224
15.1	Introduction	224

15.2	Application notes.....	224
15.3	Composition rationale (ACO_COR) .....	225
15.3.1	Evaluation of sub-activity (ACO_COR.1).....	225
15.4	Development evidence (ACO_DEV) .....	231
15.4.1	Evaluation of sub-activity (ACO_DEV.1) .....	231
15.4.2	Evaluation of sub-activity (ACO_DEV.2) .....	232
15.4.3	Evaluation of sub-activity (ACO_DEV.3) .....	234
15.5	Reliance of dependent component (ACO_REL) .....	236
15.5.1	Evaluation of sub-activity (ACO_REL.1) .....	236
15.5.2	Evaluation of sub-activity (ACO_REL.2) .....	238
15.6	Composed TOE testing (ACO_CTT).....	241
15.6.1	Evaluation of sub-activity (ACO_CTT.1).....	241
15.6.2	Evaluation of sub-activity (ACO_CTT.2).....	243
15.7	Composition vulnerability analysis (ACO_VUL).....	246
15.7.1	Evaluation of sub-activity (ACO_VUL.1) .....	246
15.7.2	Evaluation of sub-activity (ACO_VUL.2) .....	249
15.7.3	Evaluation of sub-activity (ACO_VUL.3) .....	252
<b>Annex A</b>	<b>(informative) General evaluation guidance .....</b>	<b>257</b>
A.1	Objectives .....	257
A.2	Sampling .....	257
A.3	Dependencies.....	259
A.3.1	Dependencies between activities.....	259
A.3.2	Dependencies between sub-activities .....	259
A.3.3	Dependencies between actions .....	259
A.4	Site Visits .....	259
A.4.1	Introduction .....	259
A.4.2	General Approach.....	260
A.4.3	Orientation Guide for the Preparation of the Check List.....	261
A.4.4	Example of a checklist .....	262
A.5	Scheme Responsibilities .....	264
<b>Annex B</b>	<b>(informative) Vulnerability Assessment (AVA) .....</b>	<b>266</b>
B.1	What is Vulnerability Analysis.....	266
B.2	Evaluator construction of a Vulnerability Analysis.....	266
B.2.1	Generic vulnerability guidance .....	267
B.2.2	Identification of Potential Vulnerabilities .....	274
B.3	When attack potential is used .....	276
B.3.1	Developer.....	276
B.3.2	Evaluator.....	277
B.4	Calculating attack potential .....	278
B.4.1	Application of attack potential .....	278
B.4.2	Characterising attack potential .....	278
B.5	Example calculation for direct attack .....	284

## Introduction

The target audience for this International Standard is primarily evaluators applying ISO/IEC 15408 and certifiers confirming evaluator actions; evaluation sponsors, developers, PP/ST authors and other parties interested in IT security are a secondary audience.

This International Standard recognises that not all questions concerning IT security evaluation will be answered herein and that further interpretations will be needed. Individual schemes will determine how to handle such interpretations, although these can be subject to mutual recognition agreements. A list of methodology-related activities that can be handled by individual schemes can be found in Annex A.

# Information technology — Security techniques — Methodology for IT security evaluation

## 1 Scope

This International Standard is a companion document to the evaluation criteria for IT security defined in ISO/IEC 15408. It defines the minimum actions to be performed by an evaluator in order to conduct an ISO/IEC 15408 evaluation, using the criteria and evaluation evidence defined in ISO/IEC 15408.

This International Standard does not define evaluator actions for certain high assurance ISO/IEC 15408 components, where there is as yet no generally agreed guidance.

## 2 Normative references

The following referenced documents are indispensable for the application of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO/IEC 15408 (all parts), *Information technology — Security techniques — Evaluation criteria for IT security*

## 3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply.

NOTE Terms which are presented in bold-faced type are themselves defined in this clause.

### 3.1

#### **action**

evaluator action element of ISO/IEC 15408-3

NOTE These actions are either explicitly stated as evaluator actions or implicitly derived from developer actions (implied evaluator actions) within ISO/IEC 15408-3 assurance components.

### 3.2

#### **activity**

application of an assurance class of ISO/IEC 15408-3

### 3.3

#### **check**

generate a **verdict** by a simple comparison

NOTE Evaluator expertise is not required. The statement that uses this verb describes what is mapped.

### 3.4

#### **evaluation deliverable**

any resource required from the sponsor or developer by the evaluator or evaluation authority to perform one or more evaluation or evaluation oversight activities

### 3.5

#### **evaluation evidence**

tangible **evaluation deliverable**